

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

La Crosse, WI
DECISION UPON APPEAL

University of Wisconsin- La Crosse / Board of Regents having appealed from an order of the Building Inspector denying a permit with regard to the regulation that requires 7,200 square feet of lot area for each lot

at a property known as 2319 Madison St., La Crosse, Wisconsin

and described as:

CARGILL & HYDES ADDN LOTS 16 & 17 BLOCK 3 LOT SZ: 133.1 X 83

and due notice having been given by mail to all City of La Crosse property owners and lessees within 100 feet of the property which is the subject of this appeal, and similar notice having been published in the La Crosse Tribune more than five (5) days prior to the time of the hearing hereon, and testimony having been received and heard by said Board in respect thereto, and having been duly considered, and being fully advised in the premises,

WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED: That the decision of the Building Inspector be: Affirmed ☐ Reversed ☒

(See attached)

Dated this 15th of March, 2017

Date Filed: 03/16/2017

ATTEST

Teri Lehrke
Teri Lehrke, Secretary

Concurring:

Tom Knothe
Charles Demerut

Phil Nohr
Dissenting:

Douglas E. Farmer
Phil Nohr, Chairman
Doug Farmer, Vice Chair

Douglas E. Farmer

The decision of the Board may be appealed to circuit court within 30 days of the decision being filed pursuant to Wisconsin Statute sec. 62.23(7)(e)10.

NOTE: WORK SHALL BEGIN WITHIN 180 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF THIS DETERMINATION

DECISION UPON APPEAL

DECISION UPON APPEAL

File 2574 – University of Wisconsin-La Crosse/Board of Regents - An appeal regarding the regulation that requires 7,200 square feet of lot area for each lot at 2319 Madison St., La Crosse, Wisconsin.

Knothe: with regard to File 2574 regarding the property located at 2319 Madison Street, I hereby move that we grant said motion which allows for two variances. First a variance of 1,670 square feet for lot 1, and secondly, a variance of 1,667 square feet for lot 2. The unique condition of this property is the fact that it was built in such a way that the lots were under today's square footage requirements for the City. There is no hardship to the City; in fact, there would be better usage of the property if they can sell it as two individual residences. There would be undue hardship if the applicant is not allowed to proceed in this fashion.

Konradt seconded.

Motion carried.

CONCURRING: Joe Konradt
 Doug Farmer
 Charles Clemence
 Tom Knothe

DISSENTING: None

Date Filed: March 16, 2017

ATTEST: Teri Lehrke, City Clerk