			Survey Re	sults Indoor				
Location Preference						Location	Total	
	Survey 1	Survey 2	Survey 3	Survey 4	Survey 5	Survey 6		
1st Preference	Upper Forest Hills	Lower Forest Hills	St. Andrew St	Lower Forest Hills	St. Andrew St	Lower Forest Hills	Lower Forest Hills	
2nd Preference	Lower Forest Hills	Trane 6 Prop			Lower Forest Hills		Myrick	
3rd Preference	Myrick				Myrick		St. Andrew St.	
4th Preference					Weigent		Upper Forest Hills	
5th Preference					Upper Forest Hills		Trane 6 Prop.	
							Weigent	

Sites Not Considered	Total
Don't Support any indoor facility	1
Roellig Park	3
Riverside North	2
Will Consider Any Site	1
Upper Forest Hills	2
Weigent	1
Myrick	2

Project Cost (Max Cost Range)
\$8-10 Million

Pool Surface Area (Range Sq. Ft.)
7 500-9 000 Sq. Ft

	es (Rating: High, Med, Low, o	
Feature	Rating	Total
Green Space Sq. Ft	No	3
51 1 1 2 5 5	Low	
Shaded Area Sq. Ft	No	3
	Low	1
T.I. 101 : "	Yes	
Tables and Chairs #	Med	2
	High	1
	Low	1
	No	1
Heated	High	5
	No	1
7' x 25yds Lap Lanes #	8 Lane	4
	High	2
Lazy River	Med	2
	High	1
	No	1
	Low	1
Splash Pad	Low	3
	No	2
	High	1
	Med	1
Slide(s)	Med	2
	High	1
	Low	1
	No	1
Zero Entry - Lg or Sm	High	2
	Low	2
	Med	1
1 Meter Diving	High	4
	Low	1
3 Meter Diving	Low	3
	Med	2
Vortex Pool	Low	3
	No	2
	Yes	1
Climbing Wall	Med	5
	Low	1
	No	1
Basketball	High	3
	Med	1
	Low	1
	No	1
Volleyball	High	3
	Low	2
Movable Features	High	3
	Med	1
	Low	1

Programming	g Desires (Rating: High, N	/led, Low, or No)
Program	Rating	Total
Swim Lessons	High	5
	Yes	1
Paddle Sports	Low	2
	Med	1
	No	1
	Instruction	1
	Yes	1
Recreation Area	High	4
	No	1
	Yes	1
Exercise	High	3
	Yes	2
	Med	1
Competitive Swim	High	4
	Yes	2
Therapeutic	High	4
	Yes	2
Concessions	Med	4
	No	1
	Yes	1
Pedestrian Friendly	Low	3
	Med	1
	No	1
	Yes	1

Comments

In favor of new construction with nods of history of the Memorial Location.

Historical is less important than a well designed pool that fits the needs of the community.

Lowest priority when ranked against timeline, cost, and pool design.

Veterans as well as portions of the former bathhouse can be made inside of new construction concepts and much less.

Ex: Not in favor of keeping the old bathhouse if it drives the cost of the project up, or prevents pool from being built.

Must have 5' deep start end for competition.

Should be reverse flow like at Logan High School. 8 Lanes x 7 width

Salt Water for Disinfection

Ultra Violet for Disinfection

I support option C as proposed most recently by GENA as the most viable option.

I do not favor an indoor complex.

For outdoor, Roellig might depend upon the ability to safely flow traffic off of HWY 16.

A Y exit with La Crosse St. would be ideal. (This project could come at a later phase with help from State) Parking could hug that east edge of the park.

It's important to remember that if you are planning a "neighborhood" pool, most users will arrive by motor vehicle. Pool should be located as close to highway as possible on northern portion of park.

his plan allows for large amount of green space around pool complex, including natural shade

It provides an opportunity to revitalize this underutilized park and create a more versatile and rush experience for visitors. Some can swim, while others picnic or lounge, play Frisbee, hike in Hixon or marsh, even go play golf while kids swim.

And the location is close enough to Memorial for same users to utilize.

Planned improvements for La Crosse St. pedestrian crossings will make it very accessible.

If Memorial site is chosen, I like Jeanine's idea for paving the Crosse design into the deck. Brilliant.

In fact, selling engraved pavers to donors could be a win-win.

And incorporating history through plaques, photos, etc.. Saving the bathhouse façade and building around is an option.

Building the engraved concrete "sign" into the deck would be neat.

If Memorial is removed, its history needs to be showcased somewhere and not lost.

The structure itself is perhaps the least important component.

The pool is rather poor type of structure, the shape does not lend itself to our aquatic needs, and the bathhouse,

though I like how it looks, is of no particular historical importance in terms for the materials and architecture.

I believe the historical designation should be removed. That does nothing to diminish it's significance in our history.

Indoor pool is not what the public wants per the survey.

High Priority: pool with flexibility! Shape: Cross provides separate areas for diving.

Parking: Available but not necessary.

Availability: Close to neighbors but not too extricate Close to avoid noise and light with residents and nature

Via walking, biking, MTU