

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

La Crosse, WI
DECISION UPON APPEAL

City of La Crosse having appealed from an order of the Building Inspector denying a permit with regard to the requirement that fill around the perimeter of a building shall not be less than one foot below the flood protection elevation and shall extend at least 15 feet beyond the limits of any structures

at a property known as 721 Charles St., La Crosse, Wisconsin

and described as:

MC CONNELL & WHITTLESEY ADDN LOT 10 EXC W 8 FT BLOCK 7 LOT SZ: 50 X 142 (TWO HOUSES)

and due notice having been given by mail to all City of La Crosse property owners and lessees within 100 feet of the property which is the subject of this appeal, and similar notice having been published in the La Crosse Tribune more than five (5) days prior to the time of the hearing hereon, and testimony having been received and heard by said Board in respect thereto, and having been duly considered, and being fully advised in the premises,

WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED: That the decision of the Building Inspector be: Affirmed ☐ Reversed ☒

(See attached)

Dated this 17th of May, 2017

Date Filed: 18th of May, 2017

ATTEST

Teri Lehrke
Teri Lehrke, Secretary

Phil Nohr
Phil Nohr, Chairman

Concurring:

Apri Kowalski
Carol Hayes
Phil Nohr

Chastity Seng
Douglas E. Tamm

Dissenting:

The decision of the Board may be appealed to circuit court within 30 days of the decision being filed pursuant to Wisconsin Statute sec. 62.23(7)(e)10.

NOTE: WORK SHALL BEGIN WITHIN 180 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF THIS DETERMINATION

DECISION UPON APPEAL

DECISION UPON APPEAL

2582 – City of La Crosse – An appeal regarding the requirement that fill around the perimeter of a building shall be not less than one foot below the flood protection elevation and shall extend at least 15 feet beyond the limits of any structures at 721 Charles St, La Crosse, Wisconsin.

Farmer states that the unique property limitation is that it is a small lot. There is no testimony that there was any harm and no testimony to the harm of the public interest. The unique hardship is that there is no way to construct something on this lot and utilize the lot. In that respect it would penalize the City because you would end up with a 'gap-toothed' block with a vacant lot and that is not good for the neighborhood. Farmer motioned to grant the variance of 9 feet of fill on the north, 3 feet of fill on the east, and 9 feet of fill on the south.

Haefs seconded.

Motion carried.

CONCURRING: Anastasia Gentry
 Phil Nohr
 Douglas Farmer
 Carol Haefs
 Joe Konradt

DISSENTING: None

Date Filed: May 18, 2017

ATTEST: Teri Lehrke, City Clerk