

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

La Crosse, WI
DECISION UPON APPEAL

Karla Doolittle having appealed from an order of the Building Inspector denying a permit with regard to the requirement to provide 7,200 square feet of lot area for a lot created after 1966

at a parcel known as: 1925 Avon St., La Crosse, Wisconsin.

and described as:

TILLMAN & FELBER ADDITION LOTS 6 & 7 BLOCK 4 LOT SZ: 100 X 140.5 570/65 871/706

also concerning 1927 Avon St. described as: PRT SW-NW ABUTTING LOTS 6 & 7 BLK 4 TILLMAN & FELBER ADDN & LYG E OF LN P/W & 140.5FT W OF E LN SD LOTS & S OF S LN LIVINGSTON ST EXTD LOT SZ: IRR

and due notice having been given by mail to all City of La Crosse property owners and lessees within 100 feet of the property which is the subject of this appeal, and similar notice having been published in the La Crosse Tribune more than five (5) days prior to the time of the hearing hereon, and testimony having been received and heard by said Board in respect thereto, and having been duly considered, and being fully advised in the premises,

WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED: That the decision of the Building Inspector be: Affirmed ☐ Reversed ☒

Dated this 21st of March, 2018

Date Filed: 22nd of March, 2018

ATTEST

Teri Lehrke
Teri Lehrke, Secretary

(See attached)

Douglas R. Farmer
Phil Nohr, Chairman
Douglas Farmer, Vice Chair

Concurring:

Maria Stasia Gentry
Debra Clemence
JAS CHIEF

Douglas R. Farmer

Dissenting:

The decision of the Board may be appealed to circuit court within 30 days of the decision being filed pursuant to Wisconsin Statute sec. 62.23(7)(e)10.

NOTE: WORK SHALL BEGIN WITHIN 180 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF THIS DETERMINATION

DECISION UPON APPEAL

2604 - An appeal regarding the requirement to provide 7,200 square feet of lot area for a lot created after 1966 at 1925 Avon St., La Crosse, Wisconsin.

Cherf: on the matter of the appeal 2604 at 1927 and 1925 Avon St., I would recommend a variance of 350 square feet for the new Parcel A. The reason for that is this is not contrary to the public interest. The unique and special condition currently is that you have a grossly undersized triangle of land which the house partially exists on and it is in the floodplain. In order to raise it out of the floodplain, making these more conforming lots makes sense. Lastly, the current configuration of the lots does create an unnecessary hardship, by making this a more conforming shape that rectifies that. Once again this is for a variance of 350 square feet.

Farmer adds that the odd shaped lot was created by an abandonment of the railroad right-of-way and thus, the petitioner had nothing to do with the odd shape. Cherf accepts that as a friendly amendment.

Clemence seconds.

CONCURRING: Lu Seloover
 James Cherf
 Douglas Farmer
 Charles Clemence
 Anastasia Gentry

DISSENTING: None

Date Filed: March 22, 2018

ATTEST: Teri Lehrke, City Clerk