

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

La Crosse, WI
DECISION UPON APPEAL

Jacob Walters/Alicia Wiegel having appealed from an order of the Building Inspector denying a permit with regard to the regulation that limits residential accessory structures to a maximum of 35 percent of the rear yard square footage, and a variance to allow a detached garage in the defined front yard

at a parcel known as: 1111 21st St. S., La Crosse, Wisconsin.

and described as:

FAIRFAX LOT 35 LOT SZ: 140.21 X 40

and due notice having been given by mail to all City of La Crosse property owners and lessees within 100 feet of the property which is the subject of this appeal, and similar notice having been published in the La Crosse Tribune more than five (5) days prior to the time of the hearing hereon, and testimony having been received and heard by said Board in respect thereto, and having been duly considered, and being fully advised in the premises,

WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED: That the decision of the Building Inspector be: Affirmed ☐ Reversed ☒

Dated this: 9th of May, 2018

Date Filed: May 10, 2018

ATTEST

Teri Lehrke
Teri Lehrke, Secretary

(See attached)

Phil Nohr

Phil Nohr, Chairman

Concurring:

Charles Oenema
Phil Nohr
Maskara Gentry

Douglas L. Farmer

Dissenting:

The decision of the Board may be appealed to circuit court within 30 days of the decision being filed pursuant to Wisconsin Statute sec. 62.23(7)(e)10.

NOTE: WORK SHALL BEGIN WITHIN 180 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF THIS DETERMINATION

DECISION UPON APPEAL

2605 – An appeal of the regulation that limits residential accessory structures to a maximum of 35 percent of the rear yard square footage, and a variance to allow a detached garage in the defined front yard at 1111 21st St. S., La Crosse, Wisconsin.

Cherf: in the matter of item number 2605 which is the appeal of the regulation that limits residential accessory structures to a maximum of 35 percent of the rear yard square footage, and a variance to allow a detached garage in the defined front yard at 1111 21st St. S., La Crosse, I would move for two variances. One would be a variance of 434 square feet in order to allow for the size of the detached garage; the second would be to allow a detached accessory structure in the front yard. The standards for area variance are being met because the proposed variance is not contrary to the public interest. The property has a special or unique condition in that it has a 21st Street South address and the location of the house just happens to be oriented closer to the alley and as the inspection department has interpreted the side, front, and back yard, the logical place to put the garage is being interpreted as the front yard but it is acknowledged that it is seen as the side yard. The special condition of the property creates an unnecessary hardship for the reasons in my previous diatribe.

Seconder: Farmer

CONCURRING: James Cherf
Anastasia Gentry
Phil Nohr
Charles Clemence
Douglas Farmer

DISSENTING: None

Date Filed: May 10, 2018

ATTEST: Teri Lehrke, City Clerk