

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

La Crosse, WI
DECISION UPON APPEAL

Wayne Fox having appealed from an order of the Building Inspector denying a permit with regard to the regulation that limits wall height of residential accessory structures to a maximum of 10 feet

at a parcel known as: 1825 Wood St., La Crosse, Wisconsin.

and described as:

LOSEY ADDITION LOT 3 BLOCK 20 LOT SZ: 40 X 140

and due notice having been given by mail to all City of La Crosse property owners and lessees within 100 feet of the property which is the subject of this appeal, and similar notice having been published in the La Crosse Tribune more than five (5) days prior to the time of the hearing hereon, and testimony having been received and heard by said Board in respect thereto, and having been duly considered, and being fully advised in the premises,

WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED: That the decision of the Building Inspector be: Affirmed ☐ Reversed ☒

(See attached)

Dated this May 16, 2018

Date Filed: May 17, 2018

ATTEST

Teri Lehrke
Teri Lehrke, Secretary

Phil Nohr
Phil Nohr, Chairman

Concurring:

Carol Haess
Charles Demers
Phil Nohr

Anastasia Gentry
Douglas L. Tamm

Dissenting:

The decision of the Board may be appealed to circuit court within 30 days of the decision being filed pursuant to Wisconsin Statute sec. 62.23(7)(e)10.

NOTE: WORK SHALL BEGIN WITHIN 180 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF THIS DETERMINATION

DECISION UPON APPEAL

2606 – An appeal of the regulation that limits wall height of residential accessory structures to a maximum of 10 feet at a property known as 1825 Wood St., La Crosse, Wisconsin.

Farmer: regarding File 2606, an appeal of the regulation that limits the wall height of residential accessory structures to a maximum of 10 feet at a property known as 1825 Wood Street, I move to grant the variance. The unique property condition has been addressed; if we were to require removal of the structure, the nearby power lines would severely limit range of solutions with the use of a crane. There is no harm to the public interest, in fact, a new garage is welcome especially in older neighborhoods in the City. The unnecessary hardship would be to require removal of the structure. It would be unconscionable to require dismantling of the garage and that hardship is not self-created.

Seconder: Haefs

CONCURRING: Anastasia Gentry
 Charles Clemence
 Phil Nohr
 Carol Haefs
 Douglas Farmer

DISSENTING: None

Date Filed: May 17, 2018

ATTEST: Teri Lehrke, City Clerk