BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

La Crosse, WI DECISION UPON APPEAL

Danny Claussen having appealed from an order of the Building Inspector denying a permit to allow a concrete parking pad/driveway in the front yard
at a parcel known as: 131 26 th St. S., La Crosse, Wisconsin.
and described as:
S & K'S SUBD OF LOTS 6, 7, 8 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 & 14 BLK 1 OF ARLINGTON ADDITION LOT 9 BLOCK 1 SUBJ TO SIDEWALK ESMT LOT SZ: 70X119
and due notice having been given by mail to all City of La Crosse property owners and lessees within 100 feet of the property which is the subject of this appeal, and similar notice having been published in the La Crosse Tribune more than five (5) days prior to the time of the hearing hereon, and testimony having been received and heard by said Board in respect thereto, and having been duly considered, and being fully advised in the premises,
WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED: That the decision of the Building Inspector be: Affirmed Reversed
(See attached)
Dated this 7/18/2018
Date Filed: 7/20/2018
Phil Nohr, Chairman
TITLEST CHULL GEPUTY Fort Lebrke, Secretary Chyclerk
Concurring:
Theren Chineres Mastasra Gentry
J'hilly now
Disserting: Carol Facts
The decision of the Board may be appealed to circuit court within 30 days of the decision being filed pursuant to Wisconsin Statute sec. 62.23(7)(e)10.
NOTE: WORK SHALL BEGIN WITHIN 180 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF THIS DETERMINATION

DECISION UPON APPEAL

2609 - Danny Claussen - An appeal to allow a concrete parking pad/driveway in the front yard at 131 26th St. S.

Cherf: in regard to file 2609, for 131 26th St. S. we need to grant two variances. The first variance is to allow a nonrequired driveway in the front yard and the second variance would be to allow parking on said nonrequired driveway in the front yard. We discussed the unique property limitation which is there is an inability to expand the garage and to accommodate two cars across, it needs a wider driveway, but they can't comply by adding a garage space. There is no apparent harm to the public interest in allowing this parking for their usage. The unnecessary hardship is that if we don't grant this variance, we are not helping facilitate the Americans with Disabilities Act accessibility for the home, which the homeowner indicated was a concern and a potential necessity.

Gentry seconded.

CONCURRING:

Anastasia Gentry

Phil Nohr

Charles Clemence

James Cherf

DISSENTING:

Carol Haefs

Date Filed:

July 20, 2018

ATTEST:

Nikki Elsen, Deputy City Clerk