

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

La Crosse, WI
DECISION UPON APPEAL

Austin Siewert having appealed from an order of the Building Inspector denying a permit with regard to the regulation that limits wall height of residential accessory structures to a maximum of 10 feet

at a property known as 1423 20th St. S., La Crosse, Wisconsin

and described as:

H L TAYLORS ADDN LOT 5 BLOCK 8 LOT SZ: 44.1 X 150

and due notice having been given by mail to all City of La Crosse property owners and lessees within 100 feet of the property which is the subject of this appeal, and similar notice having been published in the La Crosse Tribune more than five (5) days prior to the time of the hearing hereon, and testimony having been received and heard by said Board in respect thereto, and having been duly considered, and being fully advised in the premises,

WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED: That the decision of the Building Inspector be: Affirmed ☐ Reversed ☒

(See attached)

Dated this 7/18/2018

Date Filed: 7/20/2018

ATTEST

Teri Lehrke, Secretary
John M. Elsen, deputy city clerk

Philip Nohr

Phil Nohr, Chairman

Concurring:

Charles Amere
Philip Nohr
Carol Hayes

Anastasia Bentry
Douglas L. Tamm

Dissenting:

The decision of the Board may be appealed to circuit court within 30 days of the decision being filed pursuant to Wisconsin Statute sec. 62.23(7)(e)10.

NOTE: WORK SHALL BEGIN WITHIN 180 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF THIS DETERMINATION

DECISION UPON APPEAL

2612 – Austin Siewert – An appeal regarding the regulation that limits wall height of residential accessory structures to a maximum of 10 feet at 1423 20th St. S., La Crosse, Wisconsin.

Farmer: I move for approval of the variance of one foot, one inch. This is for appeal 2612 with a property address of 1423 20th St. S. The unique property limitation is like many other properties in that the garage is partially built, but the difference with this one versus others in the City of La Crosse is that it is built in the last 60 days and not 60 years ago. Nevertheless, it exists and it is not going away. There is no harm to the public interest because in this case it is nice to see that the garage is not disproportionate in size to the rest of the neighborhood, its design is similar to the rest of the neighborhood, and when it is done it will blend in quite well. The unnecessary hardship is that it would be a significant cost to dismantle and reduce each individual stud by two feet to get it underneath the proper size requirement.

Clemence seconded.

CONCURRING: Anastasia Gentry
 Carol Haefs
 Phil Nohr
 Charles Clemence
 James Cherf

DISSENTING: None

Date Filed: July 20, 2018

ATTEST: Nikki Elsen, Deputy City Clerk