## **BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS**

La Crosse, WI DECISION UPON APPEAL

John Bayer having appealed from an order of the Building Inspector denying a permit with regard to requirement that an accessory structure cannot exceed 17 feet in height from the finished floor
at a property known as2703 Hass St. , La Crosse, Wisconsin
and described as:
ELM GROVE ADDITION LOT 12 BLOCK 9 LOT SZ: 134 X 60
and due notice having been given by mail to all City of La Crosse property owners and lessees within 100 feet of the property which is the subject of this appeal, and similar notice having been published in the La Crosse Tribune more than five (5) days prior to the time of the hearing hereon, and testimony having been received and heard by said Board in respect thereto, and having been duly considered, and being fully advised in the premises,
WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED: That the decision of the Building Inspector be: Affirmed   (See attached)
Dated this
Date Filed: 7/20/2018
ATTEST Cler K  Phil Nohr, Chairman  Cler K
Concurring:  Cheer Clemence  Chily 1 1 Ohr  Carol Haess
Dissenting:
The decision of the Board may be appealed to circuit court within 30 days of the decision being filed pursuant to Wisconsin Statute sec. 62,23(7)(e)10.
NOTE: WORK SHALL REGIN WITHIN 180 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF THIS DETERMINATION

## **DECISION UPON APPEAL**

2613 – John Bayer – An appeal regarding the requirement that an accessory structure cannot exceed 17 feet in height from the finished floor at 2703 Hass St., La Crosse, Wisconsin.

Farmer: the motion will be the same for this item except this is the overall height of 17 feet, a variance of 1 foot, six inches for allowing an overall height of 17 feet. I would make the same points as I made previously because the garage is already built, it is a fact of life. The difference being that it was only 60 days ago as opposed to 60 years and that creates the unique limitation. Again, this property has no harm to the public interest. The garage will blend in very well with the neighborhood and in this case the garage has the chance to match the house because of the construction of the new house. Finally his costs will be even more significant because the trusses will have to be discarded and new trusses ordered, creating the unnecessary hardship. For these reasons I move for approval.

Haefs seconded.

CONCURRING:

Anastasia Gentry

Carol Haefs Phil Nohr

Charles Clemence

James Cherf

DISSENTING:

None

Date Filed:

July 20, 2018

ATTEST:

Nikki Elsen, Deputy City Clerk