

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

La Crosse, WI
DECISION UPON APPEAL

RMJ Investments LLC having appealed from an order of the Building Inspector denying a permit with regard to the requirement to provide a 25-foot setback from the front property line

at a property known as 2118 19th Street S., La Crosse, Wisconsin

and described as:

TILLMAN UNREC LOT 4 BLOCK 1 LOT SZ: 52.9 X 130.38

and due notice having been given by mail to all City of La Crosse property owners and lessees within 100 feet of the property which is the subject of this appeal, and similar notice having been published in the La Crosse Tribune more than five (5) days prior to the time of the hearing hereon, and testimony having been received and heard by said Board in respect thereto, and having been duly considered, and being fully advised in the premises,

WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED: That the decision of the Building Inspector be: Affirmed ☐ Reversed ☒

(See attached)

Dated this November 21, 2018

Date Filed: November 27, 2018

ATTEST

Teri Lehrke
Teri Lehrke, Secretary

Phil Nohr
Phil Nohr, Chairman

Concurring:

Phil Nohr

Douglas R. Farmer

Dissenting:

Lu Selover
CHIEF

The decision of the Board may be appealed to circuit court within 30 days of the decision being filed pursuant to Wisconsin Statute sec. 62.23(7)(e)10.

NOTE: WORK SHALL BEGIN WITHIN 180 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF THIS DETERMINATION

DECISION UPON APPEAL

2617 – RMJ Investments LLC - An appeal regarding the requirement to provide a 25 foot setback from the front property line at 2118 19th Street S., La Crosse, Wisconsin.

Farmer: this is the motion for file 2617 at 2118 19th Street South; requesting a variance of seven feet to the required 25 foot setback. The unique property limitation is the larger turnaround and landing that's needed by the occupant who is both blind and 82, and there's not sufficient space in the front yard to accommodate that turnaround. There'd be no harm to the public interest; ironically in this case, the deck is wood and would be considered more temporary than a concrete one that would not require a permit, not require a variance, wouldn't be here and would be permanent. The unnecessary hardship is that the occupant is blind and 82 and I am sorry to put that in the minutes here but it does put a human face on it as to why, and it does require a larger turnaround space than normal. I give her credit for still living alone. So that being the case I would move for approval of the variance.

Seconder: Seloover

CONCURRING: Douglas Farmer
 Phil Nohr
 James Cherf
 Lu Seloover

DISSENTING: None

Date Filed: November 27, 2018

ATTEST: Teri Lehrke, City Clerk