## **BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS**

La Crosse, WI DECISION UPON APPEAL

5

Maria Norberg having appealed from an order of the Building Inspector denying a permit with regard to the requirement to provide a rear yard setback of 20 feet
at a property known as: 411 3 <sup>rd</sup> St. S., La Crosse, Wisconsin
and described as:
C & F J DUNN, H L DOUSMAN & PETER CAMERONS ADDITION S 20FT OF W 100FT LOT 2 BLOCK 10 LOT SZ: 20X100
and due notice having been given by mail to all City of La Crosse property owners and lessees within 100 feet of the property which is the subject of this appeal, and similar notice having been published in the La Crosse Tribune more than five (5) days prior to the time of the hearing hereon, and testimony having been received and heard by said Board in respect thereto, and having been duly considered, and being fully advised in the premises,
WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED: That the decision of the Building Inspector be: Affirmed  Reversed
(See attached)
Dated this
Date Filed: 6/20/19
ATTEST  Teri Lehrke, Secretary  Phil Nohr, Chairman
Concurring:  Carol Haels  Chily & Hopp  Cheel Curve  Conglan Tarmen  Concurring:  Conglan Tarmen  Conglan Tarmen
Dissenting:
The decision of the Board may be appealed to circuit court within 30 days of the decision being filed pursuant to Wisconsin Statute sec. 62.23(7)(e)10.
NOTE: WOOK SHALL RECIN WITHIN 180 DAVS AFTER THE DATE OF THIS DETERMINATION

## **DECISION UPON APPEAL**

2625 – Maria Norberg - An appeal regarding the requirement to provide a rear yard setback of 20 feet at 411 3rd St. S., La Crosse, Wisconsin.

Clemence: It seems to me the unique property limitation, really, you can't do anything except have a beer garden there unless you have a variance. Anything that they put in that area, it is only a 20 foot yard and that is the setback so anything you do back there is going to be impossible, so that is the unique property limitation. There is no harm to the public interest; it is an alley and it's not going to impact neighbors or anything like that. The unnecessary hardship, again, you can't do any updates at all to the building or what is being done now. That would be a hardship. So it seems to me it meets the three criteria and therefore I move to approve.

Seconder: Gentry.

CONCURRING:

Anastasia Gentry

Charles Clemence

Phil Nohr Carol Haefs Douglas Farmer

DISSENTING:

None

Date Filed:

June 20, 2019

ATTEST:

Teri Lehrke, City Clerk