Notice of Board of Review Determination

Under state law (sec. 70.47(12), Wis. Stats.), your property assessment for the current year 20_19 as finalized by the Board of Review (BOR) is listed below.

Property owner	Date issued 6 - 5 - 2019
Buchner Properties #3 LLC 2704 7th St. S. La Crosse, WI 54601	Parcel no. 17-50774-75 Address 2647 15th St. S. Legal description
	☐ Town ☐ Village ☒ City Municipality La Crosse

20 <u>19</u> Origin	nal Assessm	nent	2019 Fina (determ	al Assessme ined by BOR)	ent
Land	\$	24,900	Land	\$	24,900
Improvements	\$	146,500	Improvements	\$	146,500
Personal property	\$		Personal property	\$	
Personal property	\$		Personal property	\$	
Personal property	\$		Personal property	\$	
Total personal property	\$		Total personal property	\$	
Total all property	\$	171,400	Total all property	\$	171,400

Appeal information

If you are not satisfied with the BOR's decision, there are appeal options available. **Note:** Each appeal option has filing requirements. For more information on the appeal process, review the Property Assessment Appeal Guide. Visit <u>revenue.wi.gov</u> and search keyword "Assessment Appeal."

Appeal to:

Department of Revenue (DOR) – must file within 20 days after receipt of the BOR's determination notice or within 30 days after the date specified on the affidavit if there is no return receipt. A \$100 filing fee is required. The fair market value of the items or parcels cannot exceed \$1 million dollars. DOR may revalue the property any time before November 1 of the assessment year or within 60 days after receiving the appeal, whichever is later. If adjusted, the value is substituted for the original value and taxes paid accordingly. (sec. 70.85, Wis. Stats.)

Circuit Court - Action for Certiorari – must file within 90 days after receiving the determination notice. The Court decides based on the written record from the BOR. You cannot submit new evidence. (sec. 70.47(13), Wis. Stats.)

Municipality - Excessive Assessment – must first appeal to the BOR and have not appealed the BOR's decision to Circuit Court or to DOR. You cannot claim an excessive assessment under sec. 74.37, Wis. Stats., unless the tax is timely paid. A claim under section 74.37 must be filed with the municipality by January 31 of the year the tax is payable.

•

City of La Crosse Board of Review Findings of Fact, Determinations and Decision

A. PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION AND FINDINGS OF FACT

Assessment Year: 20 19 Tax Key Number: 17-50774-75
Personal Property Account Number(If applicable)
Property Address: 2647 15th St. S.
Property Owner: Buchner Properties #3 LLC
Mailing Address: 2704 7th St. S., La Crosse, WI 54601
171 100
January 1, 20 19 Assessment Value: 171,400
Land: 24,900 Improvements: 146,500 Total: 171,400
Hearing Date: June 3, 2019 Time: 11:00 a.m.
Hearing Date: June 3, 2019 Time: 11:00 a.m.
Objector Received written confirmation of Hearing Date: Yes: Vo.: (OR)
Both Objector and Assessor waived 48-hour notice of hearing: Yes: No:
{Note: Taxpayer must have filed written objection before or at Board of Review}
Check one of the following:
Timely notice of "Intent to File an Objection" was provided by objector to clerk (either in writing or orally) at least 48 hours prior to first full session of Board of Review (OR)
Waiver was granted by Board of Review for:
☐ Good Cause <u>or</u>
Extraordinary Circumstances
Board members present:
Nick Passe, Dan Ryan, Kenna Christians, Mike Brown, Susan Dillenbeck

Board members removed (if any):				
				:
Board Counsel present:			*	
loard Counser present.				
Property Owner/Objector's Lattorney or Representative:				
toard Members with certified training (m	nust have at	least one):		
Nick Passe, Mike Brown, Susan I	Dillenbeck			
B. TESTIMONY		•		
he following individuals were sworn as	witnesses by	y the Board of Rev	view Clerk {inc	clude Property
Owner/Objector (or his/her representative	e, if testifyin	g) and Assessor):		
Pat Burns, Josh Benrud, Doug Buch	ner			
				Aug.
				errennen var den de La La La Companya de La Companya d
. Sworn testimony by Property Owner				included:
) A recent sale of the subject property:	Yes: ☑ N	No: [_]		6/15/17
f yes: The subject property was sold for	\$ 83,500			Date of sale 6/15/17
) Recent sales of comparable properties	∷ Yes: □ N	Io: ☑	•	
f yes: A total number of	other	properties were pr	esented:	
Addresses of other properties:				
				·
•				
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
e) Other factors or reasons (if presented)	∵ Yes: 🗹	No: \square		
f yes: List of summary factors or reason			objector (if ev	vidence presented only
vailable to one side - list corroboration of	of that evide	nce):	- `	-

Stated opinion of value is \$50,000 increase over last year. Same identical duplex with better condition sold for \$236,000. Purchased for \$83,500 on June 15, 2017. No improvements since then. Talked to assessor and said it floods and was improperly built and is lower; has a melt off. Since aware of this, set up pumps. Property next door has the same reason. Neighbors are just as low too. Built as a duplex not a twindo. Doesn't have firewall or separate water service. Never should have been allowed to be split up as a twindo.

Purchased portion to the south in 2010 or 2011 - the other side. Doesn't feel it is right to sell as a twindo because of improper firewalls. Flooded before I bought it. Pumps ran and was able to keep up; it seeped into the carpet. Has had flooding on other side.

Purchased second half of the property because they couldn't sell it as a half. Bought it from Peg Shilling. It was not listed. Knew the owner of the property next door and they helped each other out. No debt between the two. Cannot build duplex as a condo with separate water service. Exact same build; same problems.

•	
	, <u></u>
Summary of testimony of other witnesses for objector (if any):	
	411.40V4-P4 (1)
•	
3. Sworn testimony by Assessor Josh Benrud	included:
·	
a) Estimated level of assessment for the current year is 100 %	
ay Estimated 19791 01 dispubblication 197 date of 19701	
ay Estimated 10,010 of appending 101 and opinions your is	Date of sale
b) A recent sale of the subject property: Yes: Vo:	Date of sale
b) A recent sale of the subject property: Yes: No: If yes: The subject property was sold for \$	Date of sale
b) A recent sale of the subject property: Yes: No: If yes: The subject property was sold for \$ C) Recent sales of comparable properties: Yes: No: No: Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes: No: No: No: No: No: Yes: No: No: No:	Date of sale
b) A recent sale of the subject property: Yes: No: If yes: The subject property was sold for \$	Date of sale
b) A recent sale of the subject property: If yes: The subject property was sold for \$ E) Recent sales of comparable properties: Yes: No: No: If yes: A total number of 4 other properties were presented: Addresses of other properties: 4650 Juniper St.	Date of sale
b) A recent sale of the subject property: If yes: The subject property was sold for \$ C) Recent sales of comparable properties: Yes: No: No: If yes: A total number of 4 Addresses of other properties:	Date of sale

d) Other factors or reasons (if presented):

Yes: ☑ No: L

If yes: List of summary factors or reasons presented by Assessor::

Reviewed Report with the Board. Property is classified as a twindo. Made adjustments due to flooding concerns. Home was built up to code at the time; grandfathered in. Not all twindos in the city have separate water utilities; standard practice when they were built. They can be sold as individual units; are separate entities. If Inspection took away twindo rating, then it would be called a duplex. He reviewed the comps in the Report. The highest and best use for property is sold as individual units with full capability as a twindo. When he bought the property, it wasn't exposed to the market and the sale price didn't reflect market value. Not an arms length transaction. The objector's comparable is from 2016, is outdated and not arms length.

Summary of togtimo	ny of other witnesses for assessor (if any):	
ummary of testimo	ny of other witnesses for assessor (if any):	
summary of testimo	ny of other witnesses for assessor (if any):	

C. DETERMINATIONS

100 %	
2. The Board of Review finds that there was a recent sale of the subject prop	perty: Yes: 🗹 No: 🗌
a) The sale was an arm's-length transaction. Yes:	•
b) The sale was representative of the value as of January 1 Yes:	No: ☑
c) The Board finds that the sale supports the assessment. Yes:	No: ☑
d) If all answers are 'yes':	
d1. What is the sale price?	
d2. What if any adjustments, based on the evidence presented, should be as time between the date of sale and the January 1 assessment date, non-selling price (ag-use value and fractionally assessed classes), and/or othe occurred to the property between the sale date and the January 1 assessment	market class value in the er physical changes that
d3. What is the full market value?	
If responses in 2 through 2c were "yes", upon completion of the section, proceed all that apply and determine the assessed value. * The relationship between the assessed value and the equalized value of non-minus corrections for prior year over or under charges within a municipality-example if the assessed value of all property subject to property tax in the municipalized value (with no prior corrections) in the municipality is \$3,000,000 th to be 90% (\$2,700,000/\$3,000,000 = .90 or 90%).	manufacturing property town, city, or village. For icipality is \$2,700,000 and the
3. The Board of Review finds that there are recent sales of comparable prop If Yes, answer the following:	
	perties: Yes: 🗹 No: 🗌 .
Property Owner	perties: Yes: 🗹 No: 🗌
Property Owner a) Did the Property Owner present testimony of recent sales of comparable properties in the market area:	erties: Yes: ☑ No: ☐ Yes: ☐ No: ☑
a) Did the Property Owner present testimony of recent sales of comparable	Yes: ☐ No: ☑
a) Did the Property Owner present testimony of recent sales of comparable properties in the market area:b) If yes, were the attributes satisfactorily adjusted for their differences from the	Yes: □ No: ☑
a) Did the Property Owner present testimony of recent sales of comparable properties in the market area:b) If yes, were the attributes satisfactorily adjusted for their differences from the subject and their contribution to value?	Yes: ☐ No: ☑ Yes: ☐ No: ☐
 a) Did the Property Owner present testimony of recent sales of comparable properties in the market area: b) If yes, were the attributes satisfactorily adjusted for their differences from the subject and their contribution to value? Assessor c) Did the Assessor present testimony of recent sales of comparable properties in 	Yes: ☐ No: ☑ Yes: ☐ No: ☐ 1 Yes: ☑ No: ☐
 a) Did the Property Owner present testimony of recent sales of comparable properties in the market area: b) If yes, were the attributes satisfactorily adjusted for their differences from the subject and their contribution to value? Assessor c) Did the Assessor present testimony of recent sales of comparable properties in the market area: d) If yes, were the attributes satisfactorily adjusted for their differences from the 	Yes: ☐ No: ☑ Yes: ☐ No: ☐ Yes: ☑ No: ☐ Yes: ☑ No: ☐
a) Did the Property Owner present testimony of recent sales of comparable properties in the market area: b) If yes, were the attributes satisfactorily adjusted for their differences from the subject and their contribution to value? Assessor c) Did the Assessor present testimony of recent sales of comparable properties in the market area: d) If yes, were the attributes satisfactorily adjusted for their differences from the subject and their contribution to value? Conclusion e) LIST THE PROPERTIES AND VALUES THAT THE BOARD OF REVIEW	Yes: ☐ No: ☑ Yes: ☐ No: ☐ Yes: ☑ No: ☐ Yes: ☑ No: ☐

shou	he Board of Review finds that the assessment Yes: No: No: No: No: No: No: No: No: No: No
Wh	at was the most credible evidence presented:
D.	DECISION (Motion must be made and seconded.)
1.	
·	own
	ves: Exercising its judgment and discretion, pursuant to Sec. 70.47(9)(a) of Wis. Statutes, the Board deview by majority and roll call vote hereby determines:
Section Section 1	ristians
-	onds, (mark all that apply):
	that the Assessor's valuation is correct;
	that the Assessor presented evidence of the fair market value of the subject property using assessment methods which conform to the statutory requirements and which are outlined in the Wisconsin Property Assessment Manual;
	that the Assessor presented evidence of the proper classification of the subject property using assessment methods which conform to the statutory requirements and which are outlined in the <u>Wisconsin Property Assessment Manual</u> ;
	that the proper use values were applied to the agricultural land;
	that the proper fractional assessments were applied to undeveloped land and agricultural forest land classifications;
V	that the property owner did not present sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption of correctness granted by law to the Assessor;
V	that the Assessor's valuation is reasonable in light of all the relevant evidence;
Y	and sustains the same valuation as set by the Assessor;
	(in certain cases), It is not relevant to present assessments of other properties as a basis for the market value of the appeal property.

2.	
Moves: Exercising its judgment and discretion, pursuant Board of Review, by majority and roll call vote hereby de	
Seconds, (mark all that apply): That the Assessor's valuation is incorrect;	•
that the ressessor's valuation is incorrect,	•
that the property owner has presented sufficient evidence by law to the Assessor;	e to rebut the presumption of correctness granted
that the property owner valuation is reasonable in light of	of the relevant evidence;
that the fair market value of the property is:	
Land:	
Improvements:	
Total:	
that the level of assessment of the municipality is at	
and hereby sets the new assessment at	
Land:	
Improvements:	
Total:	
I. Teri Lehrke Clerk	. Car Decal Construction Actions
I, Teri Lehrke Clerk that the members of the Board of Review voted as fo	of the Board of Review, do hereby certify llows:
Name of Board of Review Member: Yes No	
Nick Passe	
Dan Ryan ☑ □	
Kenna Christians	
Mike Brown	
Susan Dillenbeck	
to adopt these Findings of Fact, Determinations	and Decision on this 3rd day of
June , 20 19	and Decision on this uay of
	Teri Lehrke
	Clerk of Board of Review

÷						
						-
						-
						·
•						
					-	
			,			
		•	•		•	
	•					
	·					
						•
					·	
•						
						·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
			·	•		
			·			
				·		
		•				

.

.

2019

CITY OF LA CROSSE BOARD OF REVIEW



Appeal by Doug Buchner 2647 15th St S. La Crosse, WI 54601

Report Prepared by Joshua Benrud – State Certified Assessor II & III

91100

SKONO ALTO YTO

Appeal by Davig Nebraga 2007 | Selvi St. S. Vis Chasse W. Febra

HE ART Holesto A. Indicate A. Dellate Control of the Control of th

Introduction

Name: Joshua Benrud

Position: Residential Property Appraiser- Office of City Assessor

- 1. Certified Property Appraiser- State of Wisconsin
- II. Certified Assessor I- State of Wisconsin
- III. Certified Assessor II- State of Wisconsin
- IV. Certified Assessor III-State of Wisconsin
- V. Wisconsin Real Estate License
- VI. Member of WAAO- Wisconsin Association of Assessing Officers
- VII. Completed Appraisal Coursework from
 - a. Wisconsin Dept. of Revenue
 - b. Institute For Municipal Assessors
 - value collaborational Association of Assessing Officers
 - for-Introduction to the Cost Approach to Value secretar language and the
 - Mar Introduction to the Sales Comparison Approach seasons and seasons
 - -Mass Appraisal of Residential Property
 - -Residential Modeling Concepts
 - -121 Hours Continuing Education (Continuing Education (Continuing

Purpose/Market Value:

Market value is the most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller each acting prudently and knowledgeably, and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus.

systems and modified you still thought with the same of the strength of the same of the same of the same of

Determine Market Value of Subject Property:

- A. Highest and Best Use-Single family Residential

 - C. Improvement Value= \$ 146,500
 - D. Total= \$ 171,400

Santages America

Marketti RAB 18 omed 2

TO MARKET TAKA - CARAMAN

CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

humeñ autolitzarok

Parada Alarka Kalaka Kalaka

Ceermed Assessor Contain of Wilcomste. Certified Associate & State of Wiscontin

Toward Louding For Warding Language

reference of the street of the frequency of the frequency

zkrecké k majkapoliti kithedacak-

o mesakato horrasina berburak

Prince Control of the Control of th

	Apprendig - Reite of the	Carthad Property
	franke of Wiesenski	
Picture-	The state of the s	

Α.	Picture-
----	----------

B. Address-<u>2647 15th St. S</u>

C. Site- Level with walk out Basement

E. Other Improvements- NA

F. Last time inspected 02/24/2010

G. Building Permits- NA

Assessments are determined using a market modified cost approach, as part of a mass appraisal system. As further support for the assessment, a market comparison approach was done using comparable recent arm's length sales.

Sales Analysis:

- A. Subject Sale- Not a Market Sale Service 1900 2000 2000 200
- B. Comp #1- 4650 Juniper St.
- C. Comp #2- 4382 Mariah Dr.
- D. Comp #3- 13616 Parkwood Ply state of the body of a dayley state of the state of
- E. Comp #4- 3619 Parkwood Pl.
- F. Conclusion- All 4 Comps deemed reliable Valid Arm's Length Sales. -Indicated value range of \$ 175,000-190,000

Income Approach- Since properties of this type are not typically bought and sold as income producing, the income approach is not deemed applicable in the appraisal of the subject property. SAME SHEET & FOR WATER TO STREET OF

Conclusion- Based on my training, knowledge, education, and experience, along with the comparable properties in this report, it is my opinion that the market value of the subject property is- \$171,400.

Tax key number: 017-050774-075

Property address: 2647 15th St S

Traffic / water / sanitary: Light / City water / Sewer

WENDLING TRUSSONI PRT LOT 7 BLOCK 1 BEG NW COR S89D58M13SE 125.76FT TO NE COR ALG E LN S1D45M54SE 36.76FT S8BD17M44SW 125.67FT TO E RM LN S 15TH ST N1D47M 44SW 40.07FT TO POB SUBJ TO AGREE IN DOC NO. 1510329 & 1511106 Legal description:

Land \$24,900 \$24,900 \$146,500	\$171,400	Total value \$171,400	
P	\$146,500	Improvements	
	\$24,900	Land	i, ke ay

	Description Assess Value		化丁基甲基环烷 香港的 电电子电话 医电子电话 医克耳氏 电光谱 医多种						是不是是一个人,也不是一个人的,也是一个人的,也是一个人的,也是一个人的,也是一个人的,也是一个人的,也是一个人的,也是一个人的,也是一个人的,也是一个人的,也是 1965年,1965年,1965年,1965年,1965年,1965年,1965年,1965年,1965年,1965年,1965年,1965年,1965年,1965年,1965年,1965年,1965年,1965年,1																がある。	
and was the	Water Frontage	None Total land		deilla ballulig										1.4												
	Acres	// vii 4			1,196 SF	5 9 192~1	re king	1,196 SF	1,196 SF	#grs."	end in a	18 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-1 -1:04	· , « 100)	440 SF	144 SF	, Ananāwija	127.5	an o	50 V-0	· Seda t v s s	1113 - 213	S.V.			· ecys
	Width Depth Square Feet	38 126			Full basement:	Crawl space:	Rec room (rating):	Fin bsmt living area:	First floor:	Second floor:	Third floor:	Finished attic:	Unfinished affic:	Unfinished area:	Garage	Deck							Grade: C	Condition: Average	justment	
	Land Use	Residential			1998		1 story	Twindo	Single family	Alum/vinyl		Asphalt shingles	Gas, forced air	A/C, same ducts	2	,	2 full, 0 half	:	-		1 stacks, 1 openings					
	GE,	1 Re			Year built	Year remodeled:	Stories:	Style:	Use:	Exterior wall:	just					Family rooms:	Baths:	Other rooms:	Whirl / hot tubs:	Add'I plumb fixt:	Masonry FPs:	Metal FPs:	Gas only FPs:	Bsmt garage:	Shed dormers:	Gable/hip dorm

Total living area is 2,392 SF; building assessed value is \$146,500

小家家等 安京公安

The Charles

| 大型 きまり | D \$ 1755 AE

2019 Property Records for City of La Crosse, La Crosse County

May 23, 2019

SHistory	Type Type	Not a market sale	
Sale	Pilos	\$ 83,500	
	Date	6/15/2017	
	ed Permit # Completed		
	ssue		

listoryType	Not a market sale	Valid improved sale
Sales H	\$ 83,500	\$ 100,000
Date	6/15/2017	12/30/2009

										•
	•									
								,		
si S										
			•							1
					•					
			•							
							•			
				÷						٠.
					•		: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :			•
•										
		٠								
				•						**************************************
			•				."			ils Si
										AY Eur
		,		*						i de la companya de l
										er General
eger Faller		* .								ğ,
	-		•							Ó
				. 7	•					्रीक अञ्चल राज्यक
										<i>?</i> Ç√
								·		
										∯6 1500
*										
		*	·							
					•		e e			
					•					
						*.	•		1	
								$\hat{\mathcal{N}}_{-N_{1}} = 0$. "
							÷ .			
							-			
							•			
						• • • • •				
y -										
								•		
Br t										
			-		•					
									10 - 10 M N No. 1 1	

City of La Crosse, La Crosse County 2019 Sales Comparison

Estimated fair market value: \$171,400 * Comparable market value: \$183,700 (+7.2%) *

Tax Key number: 017-050774-075 Property address: 2647 15th St S, City of La Crosse

	2			(1) 5 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	1,240
	Subject Property	Comparison 1	Gomparison 2	Comparison 3	
Tax key number	50774-075	50700-405	2025-62205	50700-065	1
Site address	2647 15th St S	4650 Juniper St	4382 Mariah Dr N	3616 Parkwood Pl	<u> </u>
With the work of the control of the					
一次 人名伊克 建二二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二					
				电影 医阴茎 医阴茎 医	eurici . n
	MANAGEMANIA ORIGINARY CERANTENTATION OF THE CONTROL				
					C355
Summary of Comparison					3
Sale date and price		Nov 2017 \$186,000	Sep 2018 \$	May 2018 \$182,500	0
Net adjustments		-\$2,900		-\$700	9
Comparable value		\$183,100	↔	\$181,800	_
Comparability rating		49	49	49	O)
Gross adjustments		42%	26%	29%	
Composite rating		51.	48	47	<u></u>
Adjustments to last valid sale					
Neighborhood group	Josh Southside	Josh Softhside	Josh Southside	Josh Southside	Γ-
Neighborhood Flood plain	South Avenue	Juniper Estates/Mill \$20,700	Wendlings SW \$34,900	Juniper Estates/Mill \$15,500	9
Traffic	Light	Light	Light	Cui de sac	
Land		The state of the s			<u> </u>
Residential	38 front feet	6,926 square feet -\$10,300	-\$10,300 6,663 square feet -\$4,400	-\$4,400 5,837 square feet -\$9,700	- 2
Buildings					
Single family					 -
Use	Single family	Single family	Single family	Single family	T
Above grade area	1,196 SF	1,262 SF	1,325 SF	1,244 SF	
Below grade area	1,196 SF	1,248 SF	1,325 SF	1,244 SF	
Style	Twindo	Twindo		Twindo	
Grade	ပ	006'6\$- +2		ţ	9
Yr built/Age/Eff age	1998 / 21 / 15	1991 / 28 / 15	2003/16/12 -\$5,400	1985 / 34 / 22 \$11,000	0
Exterior wall	Alum/vinyl	Alum/vinyl	inyl	Alum/vinyl	
Stories	1 story	1 story	1 story	1 story	
					٦

でいると

-\$15,300	\$14,300	-\$-	-\$15,300		All other adjustments
-\$600	\$1,900 204 SF	0 SF	\$200	144 SF 84 SF	Deck
-\$5,800	144 SF	0.SF		0.SF 0.SF	Enclosed parch:
-\$3,800	-\$5,900 156 SF	248.SF		OSF OSF	Open porch
	-\$900 440 SF	528 SE	-\$100	440 SF 450 SF	Attached garage
古代本意 海東 日本	-\$1,000 0				Additional fixtures
11 . 5.	-\$5,800 1 masnry/0 mtl/0 gas	/0 mtl/0 gas	0 masnry/0 mtl/0 gas \$6,300	1 masnry/0 mtl/0 gas 0 ma	Fireplaces
	Average	Average	1 年 1 日本 1 日本	Average	Condition (CDU)
	2 full/0 half	2 full/0 half	2 full/0 half	2 full/0 half 2 full	Bathrooms
	2 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	2		2	Bedrooms A Company
-\$5,900	680 SF (Average)	0 SF		0.SF	Rec room
\$26,900	\$12,600 0 SF		\$10,200 540 SF	1,196 SF 700 SF	FBLA
-\$700	-\$1,700 1,244 SF			1,196 SF 1,248 SF	Full basement SF
-\$2,900	-\$7,200 1,244 SF	-\$4,000 1,325 SF -	1,262 SF \$4,000	1,196 SF 1,26	First floor SF

Any allocation of the total value estimated in this report between land and the improvements applies only under the stated program of utilization. The separate values must not be used individually a

聖官衛衛 保 智其教學以清 其典

打造的 多级 多线点

不是 等人的现在分词 斯西斯特的 國人

A CONTRACTOR

自然教教の

で 日本の の できる

· 医克里斯氏结肠炎

と変が対数との対

	Subject Property	Comparison 4	Comparison 5	Comparison 6
Tay key number	50774.075	<u> አስ</u> ታሰስ ፀዳዩ		
Site address	3647 15th St S	2614 Darkwood DI		
	00000	VOIST BIRMOOD FI		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	A L	33		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		The company of the co
				· 大学の 事件をはなる できる からない あんない
				· 在 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Summary of Comparison				
Sale date and price		Jul 2018 \$175,000	000	
Net adjustments		\$13,100	001	
Comparable value		\$188,100	001	
Comparability rating			49	
Gross adjustments		9	1%2	
Composite rating			45	•
Adjustments to last valid sale				
Neighborhood group	Josh Southside			
Neighborhood	South Avenue	Juniper Estates/Mill \$25,700	.00	
Flood plain	11.	-		
Iranic	Light	cal de sac		
Land				
Residential	38 front feet	8,189 square feet -\$10,900	006	
Buildings Single family				
Úse	Single family	Single family		
Above grade area	1,196 SF	1,208 SF		
Below grade area	1,196 SF	1,208 SF		
Style	Twindo	Twindo		
Grade	<u></u>	C+ -\$9,200	500	
Yr built/Age/Eff age	1998 / 21 / 15	1985 / 34 / 22 \$10,7	002	
Exterior wall	Alum/vinyl	Wood		
Stories	1 story			
First floor SF	1,196 SF		-\$700	
Full basement SF	1,196 SF		-\$200	
FBLA	1,196 SF	0 SF \$26,900	006	
Rec room	0.SF	557 SF (Average) -\$4,8	300	
Bedrooms	2	2		

May 23, 2019 9:42AM

Page 3 of 4

May
્રુપ્ડ
2019
9:42AM

All other adjustments	Deck	Enclosed porch	Open porch	Attached garage	Additional fixtures	Fireplaces	Condition (CDU)	Bathrooms
	144 SF	0 SF	0 SF	440 SF	Trep.	1 masnry/0 mtl/0 gas	Average	2 tull/0 half
\$15,30	0 SF \$2,10		156 SF -\$3 900	484 SF -\$50	0	1 masnry/0 mtl/0 gas	Average	2 full/0 half
)()	0		<u> </u>					である。 100mmの 100mm 10
The second								\$ A.

* Any allocation of the total value estimated in this report between land and the improvements applies only under the stated program of utilization. The separate values must not be used individually a invalid if so used.

Objection to Real Property Assessment

To file an appeal on your property assessment, you must provide the Board of Review (BOR) clerk written or oral notice of your intent, under state law (sec. 70.47(7)(a), Wis. Stats.). You must also complete this entire form and submit it to your municipal clerk. To review the best evidence of property value, see the Wisconsin Department Revenue's Property Assessment Appeal Guide for Wisconsin Real Property Owners.

Complete all sections:			
Section 1: Property Owner / Agent Information	tion	** If agent, submit written authorization (F	orm PA-105) with this form
Property owner name (on changed assessment notice)		Agent name (if applicable) RECE	VFD
Owner mailing address		Agent mailing address	
2704 5, 774 816		City MAY 1 7	2010
City La Crosse State Zi	54601		
Owner phone (669) 769 1400		Owner phone CITY ASS	SESSOR
Section 2: Assessment Information and Opi	nion of Value		
Property address 2647 5. 15th 51 City La Cross P State Zin	5	Legal description or parcel no. (on changed assessn	nent notice)
City La Crosse State Vil	54601		
Assessment snown on notice - Ideal 171, 400		Your opinion of assessed value - Total 125,	000
If this property contains non-market value class acre	age, provide your	opinion of the taxable value breakdown:	
Statutory Class	Acres	\$ Per Acre	Full Taxable Value
Residential total market value			
Commercial total market value	The property of the second		
Agricultural classification: # of tillable acres		@ \$ acre use value	
# of pasture acres		@ \$ acre use value	
# of specialty acres		@ \$ acre use value	
Undeveloped classification # of acres		@ \$ acre @ 50% of market value	
Agricultural forest classification # of acres	<u> </u>	@ \$ acre @ 50% of market value	<u> </u>
Forest classification # of acres	727	@ \$ acre @ market value	
Class 7 "Other" total market value		market value	
Managed forest land acres	4	@ \$ acre @ 50% of market value	
Managed forest land acres		@ \$ acre @ market value	
Section 3: Reason for Objection and Basis o	f Estimate		
Reason(s) for your objection: (Attach additional sheets if no	eeded)	Basis for your opinion of assessed value: (Attac	,
Don't feel that the Increase	is just fa	Twindo 1/2 of a du	iplex
Section 4: Other Property Information			
A. Within the last 10 years, did you acquire the prop	erty?	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Yes 🗌 No
If Yes, provide acquisition price \$ \(\frac{23}{3}, \frac{500}{500} \)	Date <u>{ -</u>	<i>15</i> - 26/7 ∑ Purchase ☐ Trade	Gift Inheritance
B. Within the last 10 years, did you change this prop	m. perty (ex: remodel	<i>m-dd-yyyy)</i> , addition)?	Yes ⁄ No
If Yes, describe		,	
D-to of Cost of		and the state of t	
changes changes \$	•	st include the value of all labor (including you	*
C. Within the last five years, was this property listed	I/offered for sale?		🔀 Yes 🗌 No
If Yes, how long was the property listed (provide d	ates)	to	
Asking price \$ List a	II offers received		•
D. Within the last five years, was this property appra	aised?	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Yes 🔀 No
If Yes, provide: Date - Value		Purpose of appraisal	
If this property had more than one appraisal, prov	ride the requested	information for each appraisal.	
Section 5: BOR Hearing Information			ka saidheil saa eest er hel ing minate of 190
A. If you are requesting that a BOR member(s) be re Note: This does not apply in first or second class citie	moved from your	hearing, provide the name(s):	
B. Provide a reasonable estimate of the amount of t	time you need at t	he hearing minutes.	
Property owner or Agent signature /7			Date (mm-dd-yyyy)
14.			6-17-19

PA-115A (R. 10-18)

Wisconsin Department of Revenue

•

RECEIVED

City of La Crosse 2019 Assessment Year

MAY 1.7 2019

Notice of Intent to File Objection with Board of Review

LA CROSSE CITY ASSESSOR

I, Dong	13 uc. hner_ as the property owner or as agent for
	ty owner's name or strike) with an address of 2647 5, 1574 67
hereby give n	otice of an intent to file an objection on the assessment for the following property:
for the 2010 /	Assessment Year in the City of La Crosse. (insert address of subject property)
TOT LINE ZOTS F	Assessment 1 ear in the City of La Closse.
THIS NOTIC	E OF INTENT IS BEING FILED: (please mark one)
Æ	at least 48 hours before the Board's first scheduled meeting
۵	less than 48 hours before the start of, but not later than the first two hours of, the Board's first scheduled meeting (please complete Section A)
D	after the first two hours of the Board's first scheduled session, but no later than the end of the fifth day of the session or, if the session is less than five days, the end of the final day of the session (please complete Section B)
TIMELY FI	THIS FORM DOES NOT RELIEVE THE OBJECTOR FROM THE REQUIREMENT OF LING A FULLY COMPLETED WRITTEN OBJECTION ON THE PROPER FORM WITH COF THE BOARD OF REVIEW.
(Name) //	Jours Buch
(Date) 5 -	Received by: Shula Rasmussen Date: 5/17/19 Time: 3:30 p.m.
	Date: 5/17/19 11me: 5.30 p.m.
objection if a first two hou	he Board of Review shall grant a waiver of the 48-hour notice of an intent to file a written property owner who does not meet the notice requirement appears before the Board during the irs of the meeting, SHOWS GOOD CAUSE FOR FAILURE TO MEET THE 48-HOUR QUIREMENT AND FILES A WRITTEN OBJECTION. My good cause is as follows:
property own meeting, and the property (the end of the OBJECTION	he Board of Review may waive all notice requirements and hear the objection even if the er fails to provide written or oral notice of an intent to object 48 hours before the first scheduled fails to request a waiver of the notice requirement during the first two hours of the meeting, if owner appears before the Board at any time up to the end of the fifth day of the session or up to be final day of the session if the session is less than five days, and FILES A WRITTEN AND PROVIDES EVIDENCE OF EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES. Proof of my oricumstances is as follows:

A WRITTEN OBJECTION ON THE PROPER FORM MUST BE PROPERLY FILED WITH THE CLERK OF THE BOARD OF REVIEW.

en en 1947 1940 - Nobel Silverto Ville