Notice of Board of Review Determination

Under state law (sec. 70.47(12), Wis. Stats.), your property assessment for the current year 20_19 as finalized by the Board of Review (BOR) is listed below.

Andar LLC c/o Darvin Klatt N1955 Wedgewood Dr. W.	Date issued 6 - 5 - 2019 Parcel no. 17-10109-90 Address 1512 Charles St. Legal description
La Crosse, WI 54601	☐ Town ☐ Village ☒ City Municipality La Crosse

20 <u>19</u> Origin	nal Assessm	ent	2019 Final Assessment (determined by BOR)							
Land	\$	13,000	Land	\$	13,000					
Improvements	\$	93,100	Improvements	\$	93,100					
Personal property	\$	_	Personal property	\$						
Personal property	\$	·	Personal property	\$	•					
Personal property	\$		Personal property	\$						
Total personal property	\$		Total personal property	\$						
Total all property	\$	106,100	Total all property	\$.	106,100					

Appeal information

If you are not satisfied with the BOR's decision, there are appeal options available. **Note:** Each appeal option has filing requirements. For more Information on the appeal process, review the Property Assessment Appeal Guide. Visit <u>revenue.wi.gov</u> and search keyword "Assessment Appeal."

Appeal to:

Department of Revenue (DOR) – must file within 20 days after receipt of the BOR's determination notice or within 30 days after the date specified on the affidavit if there is no return receipt. A \$100 filing fee is required. The fair market value of the items or parcels cannot exceed \$1 million dollars. DOR may revalue the property any time before November 1 of the assessment year or within 60 days after receiving the appeal, whichever is later. If adjusted, the value is substituted for the original value and taxes paid accordingly. (sec. 70.85, Wis. Stats.)

Circuit Court - Action for Certiorari – must file within 90 days after receiving the determination notice. The Court decides based on the written record from the BOR. You cannot submit new evidence. (sec. 70.47(13), Wis. Stats.)

Municipality - Excessive Assessment – must first appeal to the BOR and have not appealed the BOR's decision to Circuit Court or to DOR. You cannot claim an excessive assessment under sec. 74.37, Wis. Stats., unless the tax is timely paid. A claim under section 74.37 must be filed with the municipality by January 31 of the year the tax is payable.

.

City of La Crosse Board of Review Findings of Fact, Determinations and Decision

A. PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION AND FINDINGS OF FACT

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Assessment Year: 20 19	Tax Key Number: 17-10109-90	
Personal Property Account		
Number(If applicable) ⁵		
Property Address:	1512 Charles St.	
earing Date: June 4, 2019 Total: 106,100 Total: 106,100 Total: 106,100 Time: 9:20 a.m. bjector Received written confirmation of Hearing Date: Yes: (OR)		
Mailing Address	NIOSE W. J. C. DAT EACOL	
Mailing Address: N1955 Wedgewood Dr. W., La Crosse, WI 54601 January 1, 20 19 Assessment Value: 106,100 Land: 13,000 Improvements: 93,100 Total: 106,100 Hearing Date: June 4, 2019 Time: 9:20 a.m. Objector Received written confirmation of Hearing Date: Yes: No: (OR) Both Objector and Assessor waived 48-hour notice of hearing: Yes: No: \(\square\$\text{No:}\square\$\square\$\square\$\square\$\text{No:}\square\$\square\$\text{No:}\square\$\square\$\square\$\square\$\square\$\square\$\square\$\square\$\square\$\square		
January 1, 20 19 Assessm	ent Value: 106,100	
13 000	03 100	
Land: 13,000	Improvements: 193,100 Total: 1100,100	
Hearing Date: June 4, 2019	Time: 9:20 a.m.	
O1' 4' D ' 1 '4' 6"		
Objector Received written confi		
Both Objector and Assessor wair		
Notos Tarnavan	must have filed written objection before or at Board of Review}	
inote: Taxpayeri	nusi nuve jueu wriaen objection before or at Boara of Review}	
Check one of the following:		
	File an Objection" was provided by objector to clerk (either in writing or or to first full session of Board of Review	
orany) at rough to nours pri	(OR)	
☐ Waiver was granted by Boa	ard of Review for:	
☐ Good Cause <u>or</u>		
☐ Extraordinary Circumst	ances	
Board members present:		
	a Christians, Mike Brown, Susan Dillenbeck	

Board members removed (if any):		
		•
Board Counsel present:		gg v y
Doard Counsel present.		
•	makada al 2000 (1000 tra seconomensalada da 2007 (100 tra	
Property Owner/Objector's Attorney or Representative:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Board Members with certified training (must have at least one):		
Nick Passe, Mike Brown, Susan Dillenbeck		·
B. TESTIMONY		
The following individuals were sworn as witnesses by the Board of Owner/Objector (or his/her representative, if testifying) and Assesse	Review Clerk {includ or}:	e Property
Pat Burns, Josh Benrud, Darvin Klatt, Paul Magnuson		
1. Sworn testimony by Property Owner/Objector: Darvin Klat	tt	included:
a) A recent sale of the subject property: Yes: ☐ No: ☑		5
If yes: The subject property was sold for \$	Date	of sale
1) Described a feature properties of the Fig. 17		
b) Recent sales of comparable properties: Yes: No:	. 1	
If yes: A total number ofother properties wer	re presented:	
Addresses of other properties:	•	
·		1
		·
c) Other factors or reasons (if presented): Yes: ✓ No:		
If yes: List of summary factors or reasons presented by property or available to one side - list corroboration of that evidence):	wner/objector (if evide	ence presented only
One of his worst houses. Same gentlemen lived ther	re for 30 years. H	lad market
lanalysis by Jim Berns. Nice looking house on outside	e, but work on ins	ide. Will sell
for less than assessed value. Would like to have a si	ingle family home	and bring it up
to specs, but it is not there yet.		

2. Sworn testimony on behalf of property owner/objector was presented by the following other

Paul Magnuson	
Summary of testimony of other witnesses for objector (if any):	
Look at kitchen. It was original kitchen when built 100 ye feet long, which is out of market. No front porch. Flooring make this rental presentable to next renter. Tenant has be for \$525. The way kitchen is designed a refrigerator is in to happen to this unit to make it habitable for the next replay. To say someone would pay \$106,100 is the most egovervaluation he has ever seen. It needs substantial important important in the bathroom fixtures, bedrooms. Tiny house. Has a Berns. Highest and best use for this property is rental right now. distinction between those. Huge demand for rental house complexes. Highest and best use is someone living in the	g is atrocious. \$25,000 to been here 20 years. Rented front of a widow. A lot has nter, or for someone to regious example of rovements - flooring, a market analysis from Jim Long term, doesn't make a s vs. apartment home and enjoying and
	y; not on realtor.com
providing value to them. Gillette St. property listed in May anymore; thinks it was sold.	y; not on realtor.com
	y; not on realtor.com
anymore; thinks it was sold.	TO THE PROPERTY OF THE THE STATE OF THE SECOND SECO
anymore; thinks it was sold. 3. Sworn testimony by Assessor Josh Benrud	y; not on realtor.com included:
anymore; thinks it was sold. 3. Sworn testimony by Assessor Josh Benrud a) Estimated level of assessment for the current year is 100 %	TO THE PROPERTY OF THE THE STATE OF THE SECOND SECO
anymore; thinks it was sold. 3. Sworn testimony by Assessor Josh Benrud a) Estimated level of assessment for the current year is 100 % b) A recent sale of the subject property: Yes: No: V	included:
anymore; thinks it was sold. 3. Sworn testimony by Assessor Josh Benrud a) Estimated level of assessment for the current year is 100 % b) A recent sale of the subject property: Yes: No: V	TO THE PROPERTY OF THE THE STATE OF THE SECOND SECO
anymore; thinks it was sold. 3. Sworn testimony by Assessor Josh Benrud a) Estimated level of assessment for the current year is 100 % b) A recent sale of the subject property: Yes: No: V If yes: The subject property was sold for \$	included:
anymore; thinks it was sold. 3. Sworn testimony by Assessor Josh Benrud a) Estimated level of assessment for the current year is 100 % b) A recent sale of the subject property: Yes: No: 4 If yes: The subject property was sold for \$ c) Recent sales of comparable properties: Yes: No: 1 If yes: A total number of 5 other properties were presented:	included:
anymore; thinks it was sold. 3. Sworn testimony by Assessor Josh Benrud a) Estimated level of assessment for the current year is 100 % b) A recent sale of the subject property: Yes: No: V If yes: The subject property was sold for \$ c) Recent sales of comparable properties: Yes: No: \(\sqrt{No} \)	included:
anymore; thinks it was sold. 3. Sworn testimony by Assessor Josh Benrud a) Estimated level of assessment for the current year is 100 % b) A recent sale of the subject property: Yes: No: ✓ If yes: The subject property was sold for \$ c) Recent sales of comparable properties: Yes: ✓ No: ✓ If yes: A total number of 5 other properties were presented: Addresses of other properties: 1333 Kane St. 1333 Charles St. 1312 Charles St.	included:
anymore; thinks it was sold. 3. Sworn testimony by Assessor Josh Benrud a) Estimated level of assessment for the current year is 100 % b) A recent sale of the subject property: Yes: No: ✓ If yes: The subject property was sold for \$ c) Recent sales of comparable properties: Yes: ✓ No: ✓ If yes: A total number of 5 other properties were presented: Addresses of other properties: 1333 Kane St. 1333 Charles St. 1312 Liberty St. 1131 Charles St.	included:

Reviewed report with the Board; inspected in March 2017. This property was not discussed during open book. Doesn't think it was in negotiations with Dawn Reinhart. No data was contradicted. Sales grid sheet equalizing to the subject. \$50,000 homes in the city are hard to find unless they are foreclosures. Grade is C which takes a look at style of home, effective age adjusts for age and lack of improvements. Porch on front and back; don't consider living area. One story and unfinished attic area. It is worth \$106,100. Highest and best use is single family.

any):		######################################

C. DETERMINATIONS

100	%	determined to be
		verter Veg [] Na. [7]
a)	Board of Review finds that there was a recent sale of the subject properties. The sale was an arm's-length transaction.	
b)	L CC · Instant	
c)	TO 100 land	
d)	The Board finds that the sale supports the assessment. Yes: If all answers are 'yes':	No: L.
u)	grand to the state of the state	
	d1. What is the sale price?	
	d2. What if any adjustments, based on the evidence presented, should be as time between the date of sale and the January 1 assessment date, non-selling price (ag-use value and fractionally assessed classes), and/or othe occurred to the property between the sale date and the January 1 assessment date.	market class value in the er physical changes that
		•
	d3. What is the full market value?	
	onses in 2 through 2c were "yes", upon completion of the section, proceed t apply and determine the assessed value.	to section D, Decision, check
examp equali:	corrections for prior year over or under charges within a municipality—ile if the assessed value of all property subject to property tax in the municed value (with no prior corrections) in the municipality is \$3,000,000 the 60% (\$2,700,000/\$3,000,000 = .90 or 90%).	cipality is \$2,700,000 and the
	Board of Review finds that there are recent sales of comparable propanswer the following:	erties: Yes: 🗹 No: 🗌
	ty Owner	•
	the Property Owner present testimony of recent sales of comparable	
-	ties in the market area:	Yes: ☑ No: □
b) If ye	es, were the attributes satisfactorily adjusted for their differences from the t and their contribution to value?	Yes: ☐ No: ☑
Assess	<u>or</u>	
	the Assessor present testimony of recent sales of comparable properties in rket area:	Yes: ☑ No: ☐
	es, were the attributes satisfactorily adjusted for their differences from the and their contribution to value?	Yes: ☑ No: □
Conclu	usion .	
	T THE PROPERTIES AND VALUES THAT THE BOARD OF REVIEW RMINTION AS TO FAIR MARKET VALUE:	RELIES ON TO MAKE ITS
See A	ssessor Report	

<u> </u>	
Wh	at was the most credible evidence presented:
D.	DECISION (Motion must be made and seconded.)
υ.	DECISION (Motion must be made and secondary)
1.	
Ry	
Mov	es: Exercising its judgment and discretion, pursuant to Sec. 70.47(9)(a) of Wis. Statutes, the Board eview by majority and roll call vote hereby determines:
Pas	
	onds, (mark all that apply):
	that the Assessor's valuation is correct;
	that the Assessor presented evidence of the fair market value of the subject property using assessment
П	methods which conform to the statutory requirements and which are outlined in the Wisconsin Property
	Assessment Manual;
	the state of the subject an arrangement
Ш	that the Assessor presented evidence of the proper classification of the subject property using assessment methods which conform to the statutory requirements and which are outlined in the <u>Wisconsin Property</u>
	Assessment Manual;
	that the proper use values were applied to the agricultural land;
	that the proper fractional assessments were applied to undeveloped land and agricultural forest land
Ш	classifications;
$ \mathbf{V} $	that the property owner did not present sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption of correctness
<u></u>	granted by law to the Assessor;
[J]	that the Assessor's valuation is reasonable in light of all the relevant evidence;
L	
V	and sustains the same valuation as set by the Assessor;
	(in certain cases), It is not relevant to present assessments of other properties as a basis for the market
20101	value of the appeal property.

2.			nation of the Assessment of the State of the					
Mo Boa	oves: Exercising its judgment and d ard of Review, by majority and roll	iscreti call vo	on, pursuant ote hereby de	to Sec. 7 termines	7 0.47 (9)(a) s:), of Wis. S	Statutes, the	
Sec	conds, (mark all that apply):		- Constant					
	that the Assessor's valuation is inco	rrect;					•	
	that the property owner has presente by law to the Assessor;	ed suffi	icient evidenc	e to rebu	t the presu	mption of	correctness g	ranted
	that the property owner valuation is	reason	able in light o	of the rele	evant evid	ence;		
	that the fair market value of the prop Land:			you would not be a second of the second of t				
	that the level of assessment of the m	ıunicip	ality is at		AA	MAAAAA AA		The second second
	and hereby sets the new assessment Land: Improvements: Total:	at						
<u>1, T</u>	eri Lehrke		Clerk	of the I	Board of	Review,	do hereby c	ertify
<u>Na</u>	ame of Board of Review Member: k Passe	eview Yes ☑	No	llows:				
	n Ryan	Image: Control of the con						
	nna Christians	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$						
	te Brown	V						
	san Dillenbeck	V						
to : Jun	adopt these Findings of Fact te	t, Det	TO THE PARTY OF TH	and 1	Decision	on this	4th day	of
				Teri	Lehrke		······································	

Clerk of Board of Review

				•	•	
•						
			·			
						•
i e						
		•	,			
			•			
	•			•		
•						
			•			
	•					
			•			
		•				
•					•	
				•		
•						
	•					
-						
	·					
		• •				
			•			· •
÷						
				•		

CITY OF LA CROSSE BOARD OF REVIEW



Appeal by Darvin Klatt 1512 Charles St La Crosse, WI 54603

.

<u>Introduction</u>

Name: Joshua Benrud

Position: Residential Property Appraiser- Office of City Assessor

- I. Certified Property Appraiser- State of Wisconsin
- II. Certified Assessor I- State of Wisconsin
- III. Certified Assessor II- State of Wisconsin
- IV. Certified Assessor III-State of Wisconsin
- V. Wisconsin Real Estate License
- VI. Member of WAAO- Wisconsin Association of Assessing Officers
- VII. Completed Appraisal Coursework from
 - a. Wisconsin Dept. of Revenue
 - b. Institute For Municipal Assessors
 - c. IAAO-International Association of Assessing Officers
 - -Introduction to the Cost Approach to Value
 - -Introduction to the Sales Comparison Approach
 - -Mass Appraisal of Residential Property
 - -Residential Modeling Concepts
 - -121 Hours Continuing Education

Purpose/Market Value:

Market value is the most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller each acting prudently and knowledgeably, and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus.

Determine Market Value of Subject Property:

- A. Highest and Best Use-Single family Residential
- B. Land Value= ____ \$ __13,000
- C. Improvement Value= \$ 93,100

Conclusion- Based on my training, knowledge, education, and experience, along with the comparable properties in this report, it is my opinion that the market value of the subject property is-___\$ 106,100_.

Tax key number: 017-010109-090

Property address: 1512 Charles St

Traffic / water / sanitary: Light / City water / Sewer Legal description: SECOND ADDITION TO P S DAVIDSONS ADDN LOT 9 BLOCK 16 LOT SZ: 50.03 X 140

ent	\$13,000	\$93,100	\$106,100
ny of Assessm			_
Summa	Land	Improvements	Total value

	Assess Value	\$13,000																							
	ption	. H. A.																							
	Description	Total land				Manager of the second of the s					(現在) 12 (日本)						(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	() () () () () () () () () () () () () (я
and Land	Water Frontage	None Tota	Residential Building											Te .											
	re Feet Acres			864 SF	£ -a	-	,	864 SF	432 SF	ete u		216 SF	o to o Se	170 SF	54 SF							O	Average	No	100%
	. Width Depth Square Feet : . ⊿	50 140		Full basement:	Crawl space:	Rec room (rating):	Fin bsmt living area:	First floor:	Second floor:	Third floor:	Finished affic:	Unfinished attic:	Unfinished area:	Enclosed porch	Open porch	Ngan		: - <u></u>	وقدر می	we sug	₩3.	Grade:	Condition:	stment	Percent complete:
		Residential		1891		2 story w/attic	Farmhouse	Single family	Asbestos/asphalt		Asphalt shingles	Gas, forced air	No A/C	3	.	1 full, 0 half	2			•					
	Qy	1 Re		Year built:	Year remodeled:	Stories:	Style:	Use:	Exterior wall:	ljust:	Roof type:	Heating:	Cooling:	Bedrooms:	Family rooms:	Baths:	Other rooms:	Whirl / hot tubs:	Add'I plumb fixt:	Masonry FPs:	Metal FPs:	Gas only FPs:	Bsmt garage:	Shed dormers:	Gable/hip dorm:

Total living area is 1,296 SF; building assessed value is \$88,600

May 22, 2019

# of identical OBIs: 1Main StructureOther Building Improvement (OBI)Modifications (Type, Size)PhotographOBI type: GarageWidth: 18 LFGrade: CCConst type: Detached, frame or cbDepth: 20 LFCondition: AverageAveragenot availableYear built: 1930FIr area: 360 SF% complete: 100%					
Entical OBIs: 1 Wealth Structure Other Building Improvement (OBI) Wordifications (Type, Size) pe: Garage Width: 18 LF Grade: C Condition: Average type: Detached, frame or cb Depth: 20 LF Condition: Average wilt: 1930 FIr area: 360 SF % complete: 100%		Photograph	and the second of the second o	not available	
entical OBIs; 1	100 mg/m			<u> </u>	
entical OBIs: 1 Main Structure Other Building Improvement (OBI) pe: Garage Width: 18 LF Grade: C type: Detached, frame or cb Depth: 20 LF Condition: Average util: 1930 FIr area: 360 SF % complete; 100%		ons (Type, Size)		-	
entical OBIs; 1 Main Structure Order Building Improvements Order Building Improvements Order Building Improvements Order Building Improvements Order Grade: C Order Building Improvements Order	B) Company	Wodificat			
Inflical OBIS: Main Structure Other Butter pe: Garage Width: 18 LF Grade: type: Depth: 20 LF Condition: will: 1930 Fir area: 360 SF % complexition:	veme			erage	% 0
entical OBIs; 1 Main Structure pe: Garage Width: 18 LF type: Detached, frame or cb Depth: 20 LF utilt: 1930 Fir area: 360 SF	Other Bu		Grade: C	Condition: Av	% complete: 10
entical OBIss 1 pe: Garage type: Detached, wilt 1930		e.	.—		360 SF
entical OBIss 1 pe: Garage type: Detached, wilt 1930		iin Structu	Width:	Depth:	Flr area:
entical OB pe: Ga type: De tuilt 19;		W.		i, frame or cb	
# of identio	alc		Garage	: Detached	1930
	of iden		≥	Const type	Year built:

	Histor	77	Note
	Sales	Price -	0 \$
		Date In The Institute of the Institute o	1/1/2010
		Completed	
		Amount	
٠.	ing Permits	- Purpose	
	Bulla Bulla		
		Permit#	
		Issue	

Not a market sale
and the second of the second o
story

Tax key number: 017-010109-090

,	
	•
·	

City of La Crosse, La Crosse County 2019 Sales Comparison

017-010109-090 1512 Charles St, City of La Crosse Property address: Tax key number:

Tax key number: 017-010109-090 Property address: 1512 Charles St,	017-010109-090 1512 Charles St, City of La Crosse		The Manager of the Control of the Co	Estimated fair market value: \$106,100 * Comparable market value: \$108,800 (+2.5%) *
	Subject Property	Comparisol 1	Comparison 2	Comparison 3
Tax key number	10109-090	10100-020	10106-120	10/100-140
Site address	1512 Charles St	1333 Kane St	1633 Charles St	1322 Liberty Sf
27				
		Part of the second of the seco		
Summary of Comparison				
Sale date and price		Jun 2018 \$158,000	May 2017 \$133,000	Ant 2018
Net adjustments				
Comparable value		\$119,500	\$91,000	\$108,800
Comparability rating		66	66	66
Gross adjustments		37%	40%	36%
Composite rating		06	68	06
Adjustments to last valid sale				
Neighborhood group	Josh Northside	Josh Northside	Josh Northside	Josh Northside
Neighborhood	Central Northside	Central Northside	Central Northside	Central Northside
Flood plain	0	No.	0.0	No
	Light	TIGNT	Light	Light
Residential	50 front feet	50 front feet	50 front feet	50 front feet
Buildings			,	
Single family		,		
Use	Single family	Single family	Single family	Single family
Above grade area	1,512 SF	1,716 SF	1,772 SF	1,414 SF
Below grade area	864 SF	957 SF	764 SF	0 SF ·
Style	Farmhouse	nhouse	Farmhouse	Farmhouse
Grade	ပ		U	O
Yr built/Age/Eff age	1891 / 128 / 52	1885 / 134 / 38 -\$11,000	1880 / 139 / 32	-\$17,900 1880 / 139 / 35
Exterior wall	Asbestos/asphalt	Alum/vinyl	Alum/vinyl	Asbestos/asphalt
Stories	2 story w/attic	2 story	2 story	2 story

		, in the second second
•		
		3
•		•
		•
		•
•	·	
•		
	· ·	
		•
•	·	
· ·		
•		
		•

Comparison 6								
Comparison 5	10143-100 1434 Wood St	Jun 2018 \$160,000 -\$44,200 \$115,800	36%	Josh Northside Upper Northside No	50 front feet	Single family 1,752 SF 784 SF Farmhouse	C+ -\$8,100 1914 / 105 / 39 -\$10,400 Wood 2 story	884 SF -\$900 868 SF -\$13,000 0 SF \$3,800 784 SF \$900
Comparison 4	10056-130 1131 Charles St		06 %8E	Josh Northside U Central Northside U No Light L	50 front feet \$2,600	Single family 1,407 SF 1 672 SF 7 Farmhouse	-\$6,800 35 -\$11,600	735 SF \$6,000 8 672 SF -\$7,400 8 0 SF \$3,800 0 672 SF \$2,000 7
Subject Property	10109-090 1512 Charles St			Josh Northside Central Northside No Light	50 front feet	Single family 1,512 SF 864 SF Farmhouse	C 1891 / 128 / 52 Asbestos/asphalt 2 story w/attic	864 SF 432 SF 216 SF 864 SF
	Tax key number Site address	Summary of Comparison Sale date and price Net adjustments Comparable value Comparability rating	Gross adjustments Composite rating Adjustments to last valid sale	Neighborhood group Neighborhood Flood plain Traffic	Land Residential Buildings Single family	Use Above grade area Below grade area Style	Grade Yr built/Age/Eff age Exterior wall Stories	First floor SF Second floor SF Unfinished attic SF Full basement SF

Page 3 of 4

		v		
			,	
·		•		
	·			
·				
•				
	·		•.	
		· .		

In 2010, Mr. Klatt purchased 18 properties from Robert Franke (Founder of the Robert and Eleanor Franke Charitable Foundation).

I inspected all 18 of Mr. Klatt's properties on 03/22/2017. Mr. Klatt then explained the history of how he was the property manager for Robert Franke and how he came to acquire these properties under the guidelines that he was not to remove the tenants and he was supposed to keep the rents low so they would not be priced out of their homes.

Mr. Klatt came to open book this year contesting 2 of his properties located at 1512 Liberty St. and 1508 Liberty St. He then stated that all his other assessments were fine. 1512 Liberty St. and 1508 Liberty St. had not previously been listed or exposed to the open market as stated on the objection form.

Mr. Klatt also told me that he was in negotiations for 1512 Liberty St. and 1508 Liberty St with Dawn Reinhart (Program Neighborhood Development Associate with the City Rehab Program).

Dawn Reinhart stated that: In 2018, Mr. Klatt refused her initial offer of \$45,000 for 1512 Liberty St. and \$60,000 for 1508 Liberty St which was the 2018 assessed value. Mr. Klatt then hired a Realtor to provide a market analysis for those properties and Dawn offered Mr. Klatt the exact price stated on his Realtor's market analysis. Mr. Klatt told Dawn they were worth more than that and he did not want to see them torn down because they still had some life left and Mr. Klatt refused that offer as well.

Mr. Klatt also made mention of being in negotiations for 4 of his properties with the La Crosse Promise Program, a program set up to offer college scholarships to home owners who invest in city rehab efforts.

I explained to Mr. Klatt that the city Rehab Program and the La Crosse Promise Program are not representative of arm's length fair market transactions because these groups are restricted by grants and funding for specific projects that included acquisition, demolition, flood plain mitigation, construction, and are often restricted to low income buyers.

During open book, when I inquired about deed restrictions and contractual obligations to the tenants from Robert Franke that he had mentioned to me prior, Mr. Klatt stated, "Robert told him to sell all the properties", which contradicted what he previously told me. I also inquired about his negotiations with Dawn Reinhart. Mr. Klatt stated, "The City wouldn't even offer him the 2018 assessed value", which contradicted what Dawn Reinhart had stated.

Mr. Klatt then filed objections for 6 of his properties, 4 of which had not been discussed at open book, stating he would get new market analysis for them.

Joshua Beurud
Residential Property Appraiser

Objection to Real Property Assessment

To file an appeal on your property assessment, you must provide the Board of Review (BOR) clerk written or oral notice of your intent, under state law (sec. 70.47(7)(a), Wis. Stats.). You must also complete this entire form and submit it to your municipal clerk. To review the best evidence of property value, see the Wisconsin Department Revenue's *Property Assessment Appeal Guide for Wisconsin Real Property Owners*.

Section 1: Property Owne		mation		submit written authorizatio	n (Form PA-105) with this form
Property owner name (on changed asses ANDAR LLC c/o Darvin G. Klatt	sment notice)	e de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la co	Agent name (i	(applicable) RECE	IVED
Owner mailing address			Agent mailing	address	
N1955 Wedgewood Drive W	·		7.5	MAY 1	7 2019
City	State	Zip	City		State Zio
La Crosse	WI	54601		LA CR	
Owner phone (608) 792 - 9698	∣ Email ∶DarvinK@yaho	o.com	Owner phone	CITY FASS	SESSOH
Section 2: Assessment Info	A THE PARTY OF THE	arxironervice articles in communication and articles are compared to the compa	···········	н и и подата на пода На подата на подата н	
Property address				ion or parcel no. (on changed ass	essment notice)
1512 Charles Street		11- <u>1</u> 10- 11- 11- 11- 11- 11- 11- 11- 11- 11-	017-010109	090	•
City La Crosse	State WI	Zip 54601			
Assessment shown on notice - Total	. VVI	54601	Vour opinion	of assessed value - Total	
\$106,100			\$50,000) 0226226W 460M6 1A488	
f this property contains non-ma	rket value class	acreage, provide vo	ur oninion of th	ne taxable value breakdowi	
Statutory C		Acres		\$ Per Acre	Full Taxable Value
Residential total market value			<u> </u>	and the second s	The state of the s
Commercial total market value					The second secon
	illable acres		G.	\$ acre use value	***************************************
	asture acres		- le	S acre use value	**************************************
	pecialty acres			S acre use value	
Undeveloped classification # of a	Colored Colore		0	\$ acre @ 50% of market va	hina l
Agricultural forest classification #	*****			\$ acre @ 50% of market va	
Forest classification # of acres	est transfer		@	\$ acre @ market value	######################################
Class 7 "Other" total market value		***************************************	market value		
	Committee of the control of the cont	ndPlos@varafernasternasternasternasternasternasternasternasternasternasternasternasternasternasternasternastern	a		tion of the second seco
Managed forest land acres Managed forest land acres			\$ acre @ 50% of market va	aue	
	and an arranged street	7		\$ acre @ market value	
Section 3: Reason for Obje Reason(s) for your objection: (Attack	ection and bas hadditional sheet	is of Estimate	Racie for your	raninian of accepted value. (A	ttach additional sheets if needed
Market sales and rental valu			1	nal market analysis to b	
Section 4: Other Property	Information	Walington .			
. Within the last 10 years, did y		MANAGARA	Nation of Passing Absorption of Annual Control	ere de la comitación de la como en men ero en la gamenta de principal de la composición de serviciones en la comp	I I Vos I I No.
If Yes, provide acquisition pric	-				Yes No
, , ,		Date	(mm-dd-yyyy)	harvenit transit	
. Within the last 10 years, did y	ou change this	property (ex: remod	del, addition)?	**********	[] Yes 🗸 N
If Yes, describe		e ^l en d'anne en	and the state of t		
	st of				13 Clas
changes ch	anges >	Does this	cost include the	value of all labor (including y	rour own)? Yes N
. Within the last five years, was	this property l	isted/offered for sal	e?		Yes 🗸 No
If Yes, how long was the prop	erty listed (prov	ide dates) – -	to	AND SEE THE SEE	
Asking price \$	L	ر <i>-mm-dd)</i> ist all offers receive	vyyy) ed	(mm-dd-yyyy)	
. Within the last five years, was	this property a	ppraised?		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		alue		of appraisal	
If this property had more than	-dd-vvvv)				
Section 5: BOR Hearing Inf	formation		vizacija vysija 1940. se iz iz r ijejske z 194 0. 1841 se iz 1 040 se se se	**************************************	
. If you are requesting that a Be Note: This does not apply in firs	OR member(s) b	e removed from yo	ur hearing, pro	vide the name(s):	
Provide a reasonable estimate	7 1		at the heavine	0 minutes.	······································
Property/owney or Agent signs/sire	Ly use amoun	. or tame you need a	active nearing _	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
throw and down of an American Comment	/ a //				Date (mm-dd-yyyy)

Wisconsin Department of Revenue

PA-115A UK 10-18)

RECEIVED

City of La Crosse 2019 Assessment Year

MAY 17 2019

	2019 Assessment Year LA CROSSE
	CITY ASSESSOR
	Notice of Intent to File Objection with Board of Review
	y owner's name or strike) with an address of 1512 Change Street
Lac Cwas	tice of an intent to file an objection on the assessment for the following property: (insert address of subject property)
	ssessment Year in the City of La Crosse.
THIS NOTICE	E OF INTENT IS BEING FILED: (please mark one)
/R	at least 48 hours before the Board's first scheduled meeting
<u> </u>	less than 48 hours before the start of, but not later than the first two hours of, the Board's first scheduled meeting (please complete Section A)
D	after the first two hours of the Board's first scheduled session, but no later than the end of the fifth day of the session or, if the session is less than five days, the end of the final day of the session (please complete Section B)
TIMELY FIL	THIS FORM DOES NOT RELIEVE THE OBJECTOR FROM THE REQUIREMENT OF ING A FULLY COMPLETED WRITTEN OBJECTION ON THE PROPER FORM WITH OF THE BOARD OF REVIEW. Received by:
objection if a p first two hou	e Board of Review shall grant a waiver of the 48-hour notice of an intent to file a written property owner who does not meet the notice requirement appears before the Board during the rs of the meeting, SHOWS GOOD CAUSE FOR FAILURE TO MEET THE 48-HOUR PUIREMENT AND FILES A WRITTEN OBJECTION. My good cause is as follows:
property owne meeting, and factoring the property of the end of the DBJECTION	e Board of Review may waive all notice requirements and hear the objection even if the refails to provide written or oral notice of an intent to object 48 hours before the first scheduled ails to request a waiver of the notice requirement during the first two hours of the meeting, if where appears before the Board at any time up to the end of the fifth day of the session or up to be final day of the session if the session is less than five days, and FILES A WRITTEN AND PROVIDES EVIDENCE OF EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES. Proof of my circumstances is as follows:

A WRITTEN OBJECTION ON THE PROPER FORM MUST BE PROPERLY FILED WITH THE CLERK OF THE BOARD OF REVIEW.