

**BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS**

La Crosse, WI  
DECISION UPON APPEAL

Sherry & David Caplan having appealed from an order of the Building Inspector denying a permit with regard to regulation that limits the number of garages to one

at a property known as: 171 29<sup>th</sup> St. S., La Crosse, Wisconsin

and described as:

MCLOONES HILLSIDE ADDITION N 67FT LOT 5 & ALL LOT 6 BLOCK 3 LOT SZ: 152 X 151.446 M/L

and due notice having been given by mail to all City of La Crosse property owners and lessees within 100 feet of the property which is the subject of this appeal, and similar notice having been published in the La Crosse Tribune more than five (5) days prior to the time of the hearing hereon, and testimony having been received and heard by said Board in respect thereto, and having been duly considered, and being fully advised in the premises,

WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED: That the decision of the Building Inspector be: Affirmed ☐ Reversed ☒

(See attached)

Dated this 18<sup>th</sup> of September, 2019

Date Filed: 19<sup>th</sup> of September, 2019

ATTEST

Teri Lehrke  
Teri Lehrke, Secretary

Phil Nohr

Phil Nohr, Chairman

Concurring:

Phil Nohr CONCURRED  
Chaeen Clemence

Chaeen Clemence  
Douglas Farmer

Dissenting:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

The decision of the Board may be appealed to circuit court within 30 days of the decision being filed pursuant to Wisconsin Statute sec. 62.23(7)(e)10.

NOTE: WORK SHALL BEGIN WITHIN 180 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF THIS DETERMINATION

## ***DECISION UPON APPEAL***

---

Farmer: The geography here basically creates everything. The unique limitation of the property is you are going up the back and going down the front, either one would be impractical. There is no harm to the public interest because no matter what he builds no one is going to see it from the street or the side neighbors. The unnecessary hardship is the street parking would be available but no one is going to consider street parking a practical solution. The street parking is down that long-winding driveway and nobody is not going to want to park there in the winter and hike up to the house, so it is not practical and that would be the unnecessary hardship. And I can see with both garages you will have the same limited turnaround space. If you don't do this and he attaches it then it would be getting more difficult. So I think we should approve it.

Secunder: Cherf

Motion carried.

CONCURRING:      Anastasia Gentry  
                         James Cherf  
                         Phil Nohr  
                         Charles Clemence  
                         Douglas Farmer

DISSENTING:      None

Date Filed:              September 19, 2019

ATTEST:                Teri Lehrke, City Clerk