File No. 2634

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

La Crosse, WI
DECISION UPON APPEAL

La Crosse County having appealed from an order of the Building Inspector denying a permit with regard to the requirement to provide a vision clearance triangle in 1 location

at a property known as: 230 7th St. N., La Crosse, Wisconsin

and described as:

T BURNS G FARNUM & P BURNS ADDITION LOTS 1-5 BLOCK 6 LOT SZ: 145.75X288.95

and due notice having been given by mail to all City of La Crosse property owners and lessees within 100 feet of the property which is the subject of this appeal, and similar notice having been published in the La Crosse Tribune more than five (5) days prior to the time of the hearing hereon, and testimony having been received and heard by said Board in respect thereto, and having been duly considered, and being fully advised in the premises,

WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED: That the decision of the Building Inspector be: Affirmed ☐ **Reversed** ☒

(See attached)

Dated this 16th day October, 2019

Date Filed:

Phil Nohr

Phil Nohr, Chairman

ATTEST

~~**Teri Lehrke, Secretary**~~ deputy city clerk

Concurring:

Clerk Clemencer

Phil Nohr

Carol Haefs

Douglas L Farmer

Dissenting:

The decision of the Board may be appealed to circuit court within 30 days of the decision being filed pursuant to Wisconsin Statute sec. 62.23(7)(e)10.

NOTE: WORK SHALL BEGIN WITHIN 180 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF THIS DETERMINATION

DECISION UPON APPEAL

2634 – La Crosse County - An appeal regarding the requirement to provide a vision clearance triangle at 230 7th St. N., La Crosse, Wisconsin.

Motion by Farmer, second by Haefs, to combine number 2631, 2632, 2633, and 2634 into one package. Motion carried.

Farmer: Mr. Chairman, then in terms of the motion to approve, I would address the unique property limitation in that the downtown properties all have some form of unique property limitation especially inside of 7th Street simply because of urban congestion. Each one of these pieces of property are inside of 7th Street and as a rule all have that same issue and it is an issue across every single block in the downtown area. I don't think there's any harm to the public interest; in fact, I think the County should be applauded for taking signs that are extremely dated and are doing nothing to approve downtown and they are replacing them with signs that have some aesthetic appeal. These will be much better than what we have. The unnecessary hardship would be on the public if we had to put the signs where the Code would mandate, the signs basically would be back far enough and in such fashion as to not be readily seen. They would be, in some cases, sitting in the parking lot and that is not what people expect to see. So I would move for approval.

Clemence seconded.

Farmer made an amendment to his motion: assuming the County is in good faith, we request that they be placed as far back as possible in that area as practical and as possible.

Clemence seconded.

CONCURRING:	Lu Seloover Carol Haefs Phil Nohr Charles Clemence Doug Farmer
DISSENTING:	None
Date Filed:	October 18, 2019
ATTEST:	Nikki Elsen, Deputy Clerk