TO: Teri Lehrke, La Crosse City Clerk

FROM: Rebecca L. and Michael J. LeDocq

2404 Vine Street, La Crosse, WI 54601

Phone: 784-6064 email: ledocq@centurytel.net

RE: Request for hardship waiver - Ordinance 8.07(I)



We recently received notice that we are in violation of certain parts of Ordinance 8.07 (Official Order Ref No. 11598). We would like to request a hardship waiver from Ordinance 8.07(I). We understand and completely agree with the idea and intent of the ordinance and the moratorium on rental properties in order to maintain the integrity of the neighborhood. Our hope is that you will agree that our situation is not at odds with the intent of the moratorium and significant hardship would come from applying it in this case.

In 2003, we convinced my mother (then 70 years old) to move to La Crosse in order to be closer to us as she grew older. We purchased the house at 1106 S. 19th Street to provide my mother with a decent place to live. My mother lives on a very minimal fixed income from Social Security and a small annuity. Her income barely covers food, health insurance and her basic needs. If she were not allowed to continuing living in the house, we honestly don't know where she could go. When we applied for the mortgage we were told that since we already owned a home, the only way for us to get a loan for the property was if it were considered a rental. The property was inspected as required. When it was due for another inspection, I indicated to the inspector that we bought the house for my mother and not to "rent out" in the usual interpretation. The inspector indicated that he would make a note of that and did not see the need to do additional inspections.

We have since paid off the mortgage, and honestly were unaware of the passage of Ordinance 8.07 in 2012. We naively paid no attention to anything related to rentals since we never considered ourselves landlords. Considering the property a rental, we mistakenly believed, was simply a requirement of the mortgage. We understand now that the house is still considered a rental since we, as the owners, do not live there. However it is a rental only in this technical sense...we see it as providing support for my mother by giving her a home to live in. We never considered it to be a "rental" in the common interpretation of the term, and we never intend it to be such. When my mother is no longer living or is no longer able to live in the house, it will be sold.

We are certainly willing to register the property, and understand that we are late in doing so. Our hope is that you will grant us a hardship waiver of the moratorium, Ordinance 8.07(I).

Thank you for your consideration,

Rebecca L. LeDocq

Rebecca F. LeDas

and

Michael J. Le Dorg Michael J. LeDocq

Fig. 1 Tent ended La Crosse Cay (Terb.

PROAD Rebelor L. and Michael L. LeDeeg.

2404 Vice Street, La Civare, Wil 54661

Phone '84-6064 caust lader Carminacian

10.5. Geografier hardship waiver - Ordinance S 07(1);

We recently received notice that we give it vastaron or experience of Orchange of the Orchange for the wear the entering two or from Ordhannes 4.03 (1). We not extract need compacted, the entering the abounded for the entering two or from Ordhannes 4.03 (1). We note that we need to determ the entering the entering of the end in a contract and the end in the end and the end of the en

resultanted of the experience of the second from the second of the control of the control of the control of the control of the second of the s

in (a)), we consided by mother (then 70 years old) to move to fire times in order to be closer to us as size go a obtain. We prochased the borde in 1100 is, I substruct to provide my mother with a decent phase to be a vive and a course of a course from shock. Security and a small amongs their income fareto cover the marks a summer man her basic needs. If she were not allowed to continuing being is the house, we housely done to a marks of the continuing being is the house, we housely done to any or the character and for the most gage we were told that sieve we already owned a facta, the continuing to so get a locality for the property was between considered a remail. The property was inspected as required. When a was done for another angection. I indicated to the inspector that we bought the boase for any mother and not to the importance. The improperture indicated that he would make a note of that and did not see the control of the colline of the control of the contr

No love searchield of the manipulation and honosty were nearware or the parsage of trefficace of the love and analything related to rentals since we never considered our object. The property a rental, we mistakenly believed, was simply a requirement or the rental, we mistakenly believed, was simply a requirement or or the love of the restaint of the latest of the

For exce**inty willing to repeter the property, and understand th**at we are bar in doing so. Occilege is that sum a togeth a bard**ship** vertien of the numinocian Occilence 8 Ca(1).

Trank you for your consideration

pooffe I Attended test profes in Assessed 8