BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

La Crosse, WI DECISION UPON APPEAL

BF of La Crosse LLC having appealed fro	m an order of the Building Inspector denying a permit regarding the
requirement to provide an 8916 foot setback from the fr	
at a property known as: 1018 Badger St., La Crosse, V	Wisconsin
and described as:	
T BURNS HS DURAND ST SMITH & FM RUBLEES A SEWER & ALLEY ESMTS OVER PRT LOTS 6 & 7 BL	ADDITION LOT 6 BLOCK 24 EX E 62FT & EX W 51FT 5IN T/W LOCK 24 LOT SZ: 32.25 X 57.79
the subject of this appeal, and similar notice having been	a Crosse property owners and lessees within 100 feet of the property which is published in the La Crosse Tribune more than five (5) days prior to the time d and heard by said Board in respect thereto, and having been duly
WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED: That the decision of	of the Building Inspector be: Affirmed 🔲 Reversed 🎾
15th 0 1 2 2:21	(See attached)
Dated this: 15 th of July 2024	
Date Filed: 16 9 of July, 2024	James Cherf, Chair
ATTEST Nikki Elsen, Secretary	yames enert, enan
Concurring:	Magrana Bentry
Dissenting:	
The state of the s	in the count within 20 days of the decision being filed necessary
The decision of the Board may be appealed to circuit court within 30 days of the decision being filed pursuant to Wisconsin Statute sec. 62.23(7)(e)10.	

DECISION UPON APPEAL

File 2688 – **BF of La Crosse LLC** - An appeal regarding the requirement to provide an 8.915-foot setback from the front property line at 1018 Badger St., La Crosse, Wisconsin.

A motion was made by Haug, seconded by Szymalak, that the variance be granted due to unique property limitations being how narrow the property is.

Cherf confirmed that Haug was referring to a variance of 2 feet, 1.5 inches.

Szymalak added that it would be an unnecessary hardship to cut this back and to make modifications to the foundation that was poured in good-faith reliance from what they were told by the city; it would be against the public interest to penalize this property owner for complying in good-faith with what they were told by the City. This is an aesthetic (inaudible) with a setback, I walked the property and it's still in line with the neighbors. I believe this variance is consistent with the public interest; it's a unique property, it's small and again, it would be a truly unnecessary hardship on the property owner.

CONCURRING: Ryan Haug

Anastasia Gentry William Raven James Szymalak James Cherf

DISSENTING: None

Date Filed: July 16, 2024

ATTEST: Nikki Elsen, City Clerk