



December 2, 2019

Project Plan for the Project Plan Amendment of Tax Incremental District No. 17 In Order to Share Increment With Tax Incremental District No. 12

CITY OF LA CROSSE, WISCONSIN

Organizational Joint Review Board Meeting Held:	Scheduled for: December 2, 2019
Public Hearing Held:	Scheduled for: December 2, 2019
Consideration for Adoption by Plan Commission:	Scheduled for: December 2, 2019
Consideration for Adoption by Common Council:	Scheduled for: December 12, 2019
Consideration for Approval by the Joint Review Board:	Scheduled for: TBD

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
TYPE AND GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF DISTRICT	5
MAPS OF CURRENT DISTRICTS BOUNDARY	7
MAP SHOWING EXISTING USES AND CONDITIONS	9
EQUALIZED VALUE TEST	9
STATEMENT OF KIND, NUMBER AND LOCATION OF PROPOSED PUBLIC WORKS AND OTHER PROJECTS	9
MAP SHOWING PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS AND USES	9
DETAILED LIST OF UPDATED PROJECT COSTS	10
ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY STUDY, FINANCING METHODS, AND THE TIME WHEN COSTS OR MONETARY OBLIGATIONS RELATED ARE TO BE INCURRED	10
ANNEXED PROPERTY	14
PROPOSED ZONING ORDINANCE CHANGES	14
PROPOSED CHANGES IN MASTER PLAN, MAP, BUILDING CODES AND CITY OF LA CROSSE ORDINANCES	14
RELOCATION	14
ORDERLY DEVELOPMENT AND/OR REDEVELOPMENT OF THE CITY OF LA CROSSE	15
LIST OF ESTIMATED NON-PROJECT COSTS	15
OPINION OF ATTORNEY FOR THE CITY OF LA CROSSE ADVISING WHETHER THE PLAN IS COMPLETE AND COMPLIES WITH WISCONSIN STATUTES 66.1105	16

SECTION 1: Executive Summary

Description of District

Type of District, Size and Location

Tax Incremental District (“TID”) No. 17 (The “TID” or “Donor District” or “District”) is an existing mixed-use district, created by a resolution of the City of La Crosse (“City”) Common Council adopted on September 29, 2015 (the “Creation Resolution”).

Type of District, Size and Location

Tax Incremental District (“TID”) No. 12 (The “Recipient District”) is an existing blighted area district commonly referred to as “Riverside North”, created by a resolution of the Common Council adopted on July 14, 2005.

Amendments

The Donor District was previously amended in 2019, whereby a resolution was adopted to add additional territory to the District, and to amend the list of projects to be undertaken. This amendment was the first of four territory amendments permitted for this District.

Purpose of this Amendment

Allow for the Donor District to share surplus increments with the Recipient District under the provisions of Wisconsin Statutes Section 66.1105(6)(f)2. The Riverside North area is the site of a major redevelopment project that is expected to unfold in the near future. TID 12 does not presently generate sufficient increment to bear the totality of anticipated costs of the City’s redevelopment efforts. Furthermore, any value increment expected from this redevelopment will only be realized in the future, presenting a mismatch between current need and available resources. The sharing of increment by various TID’s, including TID 17, will allow the City to fully realize its vision for redevelopment and mitigate risks to the City’s financial profile.

Estimated Total Project Expenditures.

The additional project costs to be incurred under this amendment are limited to the sharing of surplus increment with the Recipient District. It is expected that the Donor District will generate approximately \$8,900,000 in increment that can be shared with the Recipient District during the eligible sharing period.

Economic Development

Authorizing the Donor District to share increments with the Recipient District will provide additional resources needed to assist the Recipient District in accomplishing the redevelopment goals set forth in its Project Plan. Without this assistance, it is unlikely this will happen, or will happen within the timeframe, or at the levels projected. The application of the Donor District’s surplus increment, as permitted by Wisconsin Statutes, promotes the overall economic development of the City to the benefit of all overlapping taxing jurisdictions.

Expected Termination of District

The Donor District has a maximum statutory life of 20 years, and must close not later than September 29, 2035, resulting in a final collection of increment in budget year 2036. Pre-amendment cash flow projections indicate that the entire available life of the District will be required to retire current and projected District liabilities. Based on the Economic Feasibility Study located in Section 10 of this Plan, amendment of the District would not result in a shift in the projected District closure year of 2035.

Summary of Findings

As required by Wisconsin Statutes Section.66.1105, and as documented in this Project Plan Amendment and the exhibits contained and referenced herein, the following findings are made:

1. **That “but for” amendment of the Donor District’s Project Plan, the remaining (re)development projected to occur in the Recipient District: 1) would not occur; or 2) would not occur in the manner, at the values, or within the timeframe desired by the City .** In making this determination, the City has considered the following information:
 - Current and projected tax increment collections for the Recipient District will be insufficient to pay for project costs already incurred and/or the additional projects that need to be completed in that District to achieve the objectives of its Project Plan.
 - In order to cover the increased expenses, in Recipient District, and to meet its goals, it is likely that revenue sharing from the Donor District will be necessary. Therefore, the City expects that “but for” this revenue sharing, the planned development in the Recipient District will not be fully realized.
 - **That “but for” amendment of the Donor District’s Project Plan, the economic development objectives of the Recipient District’s Project Plan will not be achieved.** In evaluating the appropriateness of the proposed amendment, the Joint Review Board must consider “whether the development expected in the tax incremental district would occur without the use of tax incremental financing,” customarily referred to as the “but for” test. Since the purpose of this amendment is solely to allow for the sharing of the Donor District’s increment with the Recipient District, this test cannot be applied in the conventional way. The Joint Review Board has previously concluded, in the case of both the Donor District and the Recipient District, that the “but for” test was met. As demonstrated in the Economic Feasibility section of this Project Plan Amendment, the Recipient District is not likely to recover its Project Costs without the receipt of shared increment from the Donor District. This would create a significant financial burden for City taxpayers, and since all taxing jurisdictions will ultimately share in the benefit of the redevelopment projects and increased tax base, it is appropriate for all taxing jurisdictions to continue to share in the costs to implement them. Accordingly, the City finds that it is reasonable to conclude the “but for” test continues to be satisfied. *Finding Required by Wisconsin Statutes Section 66.1105(4m)(c)1.a.*
2. **The economic benefits of amending the Donor District, as measured by increased employment, business and personal income, and property value, are sufficient to compensate for the cost of the improvements.** Approval of the ability to share increment with the Recipient District is necessary to enable that district to realize the economic benefits projected in its Project Plan. Since the Donor District is generating sufficient increment to pay for its Project Costs and has surplus increment available to pay for some of the Project Costs of the Recipient District, the economic benefits that have already been generated are more than sufficient to compensate for the cost of improvements in the Donor and Recipient Districts.
3. **The benefits of the proposal outweigh the anticipated tax increments to be paid by the owners of property in the overlying taxing jurisdictions.**
 - Given that it is likely that the Recipient District will not achieve all of the objectives of its Project Plan or in the same manner without the ability to share in the surplus increments of the Donor District (see finding # 1), and since the District is expected to generate additional economic benefits that are more than sufficient to compensate for the additional cost of the improvements

(see Finding #2), the City reasonably concludes that the overall additional benefits of the District outweigh the anticipated tax increments to be paid by the owners of property in the overlying taxing jurisdictions. It is further concluded that since the “but for” test is satisfied, there would, in fact, be no foregone tax increments to be paid in the event the Project Plan is not amended. *Finding Required by Wisconsin Statutes Section 66.1105(4m)(c)1.c.*

4. The boundaries of the District are not being amended. At the time of creation, and any subsequent additions of territory, not less than 50%, by area, of the real property within the District, as amended, is a blighted area within the meaning of Wisconsin Statutes Section 66.1105(2)(ae)1.
5. Based upon the findings as stated above, and the original findings as stated in the Creation Resolution and in any subsequent resolutions amending the boundaries of the District, the District remains declared a blighted area district based on the identification and classification of the property included within the District.
6. The Project Costs of the District relate directly to promoting the elimination of blight consistent with the purpose for which the District was created.
7. There are no additional improvements as a result of this amendment.
8. The City estimates that less than 35% of the territory within the District will be devoted to retail business at the end of the District’s maximum expenditure period, pursuant to Wisconsin Statutes Section 66.1105(5)(b).
9. The Project Plan for the District, as amended, is feasible, and is in conformity with the Master Plan of the City.

SECTION 2: Type and General Description of District

The District was created under the authority provided by Wisconsin Statutes Section 66.1105 on September 29, 2015 by resolution of the Common Council. The District’s valuation date, for purposes of establishing base value, was January 1, 2015.

The existing District is a “Mixed Use District” based upon a finding that at least 50%, by area, of the real property within the District was suitable for a combination of industrial, commercial and residential uses within the meaning of Wisconsin Statutes Section 66.1105(2)(cm). Since this amendment does not add any territory to the District, the District remains in compliance with this provision. The District also remains in compliance with the prohibition that no more than 35% of the area of the District be allocated for newly-platted residential development.


Wisconsin Statutes Section 66.1105(4)(h)2. provides authority for a City to amend the boundaries of an existing Tax Increment District for purposes of adding and/or subtracting territory up to a total of four times during the life of the District. The boundaries of the Donor District have been amended once prior to this Amendment. Since this amendment does not involve the addition or subtraction of territory from the District, it is not counted against the number of available boundary amendments.

This Project Plan Amendment supplements and does not supersede or replace any component of the original Project Plan, or any component of previously adopted Project Plan Amendments, unless specifically stated. All components of the original Project Plan, and its previously adopted Project Plan Amendments, remain in effect.


A map depicting the current boundaries of the District is found in Section 3 of this Plan. Based upon the findings stated above, the original findings stated in the Creation Resolution, and the findings contained in any subsequent resolution adding territory to the District, the District remains a mixed-use district based on the identification and classification of the property included within the District.

SECTION 3: Maps of Current Districts Boundary

TID 17 MAP FROM 2019 BOUNDARY AMENDMENT



TID 12 MAP FROM 2010 BOUNDARY AMENDMENT



SECTION 4: Map Showing Existing Uses and Conditions

There will be no change to District boundaries, nor any changes to the existing uses and conditions within the District as a result of this amendment. A copy of this map can be found in the Original and/or Amended Project Plan Documents.

SECTION 5: Equalized Value Test

No additional territory will be added to the District. Demonstration of compliance with the equalized value test is not required for this Amendment.

SECTION 6: Statement of Kind, Number and Location of Proposed Public Works and Other Projects

This amendment provides the authority for the Donor District to allocate surplus increments with the Recipient District. No other additional project costs are involved, and the statement of kind, number and location of proposed public works and other projects as documented in the Original and/or Amended Project Plan Documents remains in effect.

SECTION 7: Map Showing Proposed Improvements and Uses

There will be no change to District boundaries, nor any changes to the proposed improvements or uses within the District as a result of this amendment. A copy of this map can be found in the Original and/or Amended Project Plan Documents.

SECTION 8: Detailed List of Updated Project Costs

This amendment provides the authority for the Donor District to allocate surplus increments with the Recipient District. No other additional project costs are involved, and the statement of kind, number and location of proposed public works and other projects as documented in the Original and/or Amended Project Plan Documents remains in effect.

SECTION 9: Economic Feasibility Study, Financing Methods, and the Time When Costs or Monetary Obligations Related are to be Incurred

This Project Plan Amendment allows the Donor District to allocate positive tax increments to the Recipient District. The authority for this Amendment is Wisconsin Statutes Section 66.1105(6)(f) which provides for the allocation of increments providing that the following are true:

- The Donor District, the positive tax increments of which are to be allocated, and the Recipient District have the same overlying taxing jurisdictions.
- The allocation of tax increments is approved by the Joint Review Board.
- The Donor District is able to demonstrate, based on the positive tax increments that are currently generated, that it has sufficient revenues to pay for all Project Costs that have been incurred under the Project Plan for that District and sufficient surplus revenues to pay for some of the eligible costs of the Recipient District.
- The Recipient District was created upon a finding that not less than 50 percent, by area, of the real property within the District is blighted.

The Exhibits following this section demonstrate that the Donor District is generating sufficient tax increments to pay for its Project Costs, and that surplus increments remain that can be allocated to pay some of the Project Costs of the Recipient District. Accordingly, the statutory criteria under which this amendment can be approved are met.

Increment Revenue Projections

Tax Increment District No. 17 (Downtown North)							
Tax Increment Projection Worksheet							
Type of District	Mixed Use		Actual Base Value	N/A			
Actual Creation Date	9/29/2015		Pre-Amendment Base Value (Actual)	N/A			
Valuation Date	Jan. 1, 2015		Property Appreciation Factor	0.00%			
Maximum Life (In Years)	20		Current Tax Rate (Per \$1,000 EV)	\$26.26			
Expenditure Period (In Years)	15		Tax Rate Adjustment Factor (Next 2 Years)	0.00%			
Revenue Periods/Final Rev Year	20	2036	Tax Rate Adjustment Factor (Following 2 Years)	0.00%			
End of Expenditure Period	9/29/2030		Tax Rate Adjustment Factor (Thereafter)	0.00%			
Latest Termination Date	9/29/2035		Discount Rate 1 for NPV Calculation	N/A			
Eligible for Extension/No. of Years	Yes	3	Discount Rate 2 for NPV Calculation	N/A			
Eligible Recipient District	No						

Construction Year	Value Added	Valuation Year	Inflation Increment	Valuation Increment	Revenue Year	Tax Rate	Tax Increment	
1	2017	0	2018	0	44,717,600	2019	26.26	1,174,360
2	2018	0	2019	0	62,306,500	2020	26.26	1,636,274
3	2019	0	2020	0	62,306,500	2021	26.26	1,636,274
4	2020	0	2021	0	62,306,500	2022	26.26	1,636,274
5	2021	0	2022	0	62,306,500	2023	26.26	1,636,274
6	2022	0	2023	0	62,306,500	2024	26.26	1,636,274
7	2023	0	2024	0	62,306,500	2025	26.26	1,636,274
8	2024	0	2025	0	62,306,500	2026	26.26	1,636,274
9	2025	0	2026	0	62,306,500	2027	26.26	1,636,274
10	2026	0	2027	0	62,306,500	2028	26.26	1,636,274
11	2027	0	2028	0	62,306,500	2029	26.26	1,636,274
12	2028	0	2029	0	62,306,500	2030	26.26	1,636,274
13	2029	0	2030	0	62,306,500	2031	26.26	1,636,274
14	2030	0	2031	0	62,306,500	2032	26.26	1,636,274
15	2031	0	2032	0	62,306,500	2033	26.26	1,636,274
16	2032	0	2033	0	62,306,500	2034	26.26	1,636,274
17	2033	0	2034	0	62,306,500	2035	26.26	1,636,274
18	2034	0	2035	0	62,306,500	2036	26.26	1,636,274
0		0		Future Value of Increment				28,991,021

Cash Flow – TID 17

**Tax Increment District No. 17 (Downtown North)
Cash Flow Pro Forma**

As of Dec. 31, 2018:

Cash and Investments: \$55,867 (A)
Future Debt Service Requirements: \$20,364,066 (B)
Advances from Other Funds (General Fund): \$11,351 (C)

Year	Revenues					Expenditures				Balances			Year
	Tax Increments	Exempt Computer Aids	Funded Debt Svc. 2017-D	Other Revenue	Total Revenues (D)	Debt Service Transfers	Other Exp. #1	Admin	Total Expenses (E)	Annual (F) (D - E)	Cumulative (G) (2016 = A)	Future Debt Service (2016 = B)	
2019	1,174,360		275,134		1,449,494	1,072,381		500	1,072,381	377,113	432,980	19,291,685	2019
2020	1,636,274				1,636,274	1,075,281		500	1,075,281	560,993	993,973	18,216,403	2020
2021	1,636,274				1,636,274	1,072,581		500	1,072,581	563,693	1,557,665	17,143,822	2021
2022	1,636,274				1,636,274	1,079,431		500	1,079,431	556,843	2,114,508	16,064,391	2022
2023	1,636,274				1,636,274	1,079,231		500	1,079,231	557,043	2,671,551	14,985,160	2023
2024	1,636,274				1,636,274	1,078,031		500	1,078,031	558,243	3,229,794	13,907,128	2024
2025	1,636,274				1,636,274	1,080,831		500	1,080,831	555,443	3,785,237	12,826,297	2025
2026	1,636,274				1,636,274	1,077,431		500	1,077,431	558,843	4,344,080	11,748,866	2026
2027	1,636,274				1,636,274	1,070,681		500	1,070,681	565,593	4,909,673	10,678,185	2027
2028	1,636,274				1,636,274	1,062,431		500	1,062,431	573,843	5,483,516	9,615,753	2028
2029	1,636,274				1,636,274	1,064,544		500	1,064,544	571,730	6,055,246	8,551,210	2029
2030	1,636,274				1,636,274	1,063,150		500	1,063,150	573,124	6,628,370	7,488,060	2030
2031	1,636,274				1,636,274	1,065,188		500	1,065,188	571,087	7,199,457	6,422,872	2031
2032	1,636,274				1,636,274	1,065,463		500	1,065,463	570,812	7,770,269	5,357,410	2032
2033	1,636,274				1,636,274	1,068,913		500	1,068,913	567,362	8,337,630	4,288,497	2033
2034	1,636,274				1,636,274	1,071,463		500	1,071,463	564,812	8,902,442	3,217,035	2034
2035	1,636,274				1,636,274	1,071,931		500	1,071,931	564,343	9,466,785	2,145,103	2035
2036	1,636,274				1,636,274	2,145,104		500	2,145,104	(508,829)	8,957,956	(0)	2036
Total	28,991,021	0	275,134	0	29,266,155	20,364,066	0	9,000	20,364,066				

NOTES:

Final Balance (G - C): 8,946,605

- Available cash and annual surplus balances are available for sharing with the Recipient District upon adoption of the amendment by the City Council and approval by the Joint Review Board

SECTION 10: Annexed Property

No territory will be added or subtracted from the District as a result of this amendment.

SECTION 11: Proposed Zoning Ordinance Changes

The City does not anticipate the need to change any of its zoning ordinances in conjunction with the implementation of this Project Plan amendment.

SECTION 12: Proposed Changes in Master Plan, Map, Building Codes and City of La Crosse Ordinances

It is expected that this Plan will be complementary to the City's Master Plan. There are no proposed changes to the Master Plan, map, building codes or other City ordinances for the implementation of this Plan.

SECTION 13: Relocation

It is not anticipated there will be a need to relocate persons or businesses in conjunction with this Plan. In the event relocation or the acquisition of property by eminent domain becomes necessary at some time during the implementation period, the City will follow applicable Wisconsin Statutes chapter 32.

SECTION 14: Orderly Development and/or Redevelopment of the City of La Crosse

This Project Plan Amendment will have no impact on the viability of the original District Project Plan as it relates to the orderly development and/or redevelopment of the City .

SECTION 15: List of Estimated Non-Project Costs

Non-Project Costs are public works projects that only partly benefit the District or are not eligible to be paid with tax increments, or costs not eligible to be paid with tax incremental finance funds.

Examples would include:

A public improvement made within the District that also benefits property outside the District. That portion of the total Project Costs allocable to properties outside of the District would be a non-project cost.

A public improvement made outside the District that only partially benefits property within the District. That portion of the total Project Costs allocable to properties outside of the District would be a non-project cost.

Projects undertaken within the District as part of the implementation of this Project Plan, the costs of which are paid fully or in part by impact fees, grants, special assessments, or revenues other than tax increments.

The City does not expect to incur any non-project costs in the implementation of this Project Plan.

SECTION 16:
Opinion of Attorney for the City of La Crosse Advising
Whether the Plan is Complete and Complies with
Wisconsin Statutes 66.1105

November 13, 2019

SAMPLE

Mayor Tim Kabat
City of La Crosse
400 La Crosse Street
La Crosse, Wisconsin 54601

RE: City of La Crosse, Wisconsin Tax Incremental District No. 17 Amendment

Dear Mayor:

As City Attorney for the City of La Crosse, I have reviewed the Project Plan and, in my opinion, have determined that it is complete and complies with Wisconsin Statutes Section 66.1105(4)(f).

Sincerely,

Attorney Stephen Matty
City of La Crosse