


LA CROSSE SHELBY SURVEY
BOUNDARY TASK FORCE

2-2-2016

What are your preferences for negotiable issues related to determining a city-town boundary? (check all that apply)

Answered: 9 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses
Uncontested annexation and urban growth	44.44% 4
Uncontested annexation and urban growth with the promise of reserved town growth areas	55.56% 5
Uncontested annexation or urban growth with revenue sharing	77.78% 7
Total Respondents: 9	

What are your preferences for negotiable issues related to determining a city-town boundary? (check all that apply)

Showing 3 responses

annexation is in the best interest of the City and govt. efficiency subject to negotiation

2/2/2016 3:12 PM [View respondent's answers](#)

Complete all in closed session considering all parameters


2/2/2016 3:08 PM [View respondent's answers](#)

Develop areas in priority: 1. Annexation area - Highways 14/61 & 35 immediately. 2. Boundary agreement area - Highway 33 - allow development with maximum revenue sharing (treat it as part of the City). 3. Rural development within ET jurisdiction - allow with limited revenue sharing.

1/29/2016 9:41 AM [View respondent's answers](#)

Regarding city utility extensions to town areas, which do you agree can be negotiated? (check all that apply)

Answered: 9 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses
Sewer extension to select areas only with annexation	33.33% 3
Sewer extension to select town areas without annexation but with revenue sharing	66.67% 6
Sewer extension with guaranteed annexation over a period of time	66.67% 6
Total Respondents: 9	

Regarding city utility extensions to town areas, which do you agree can be negotiated? (check all that apply)

Showing 3 responses

Complete all in closed session considering all parameters


2/2/2016 3:08 PM [View respondent's answers](#)

Regional development that provides cost sharing and retail revenue to the City has to be considered if annexation is not achievable. The next best thing to bringing property into the city is to collect retail revenue for services provided to allow lower costs for those same services for city residents. Water, sewer, MTU, parks management, regional refuse disposal, etc. to increase revenue and or reduce costs for city residents.

1/28/2016 11:43 AM [View respondent's answers](#)


Water too

1/26/2016 8:46 AM [View respondent's answers](#)



Regarding town islands, which strategies do you agree which be considered in the intergovernmental agreement process? (check all that apply)

Answered: 8 Skipped: 2



Answer Choices	Responses
Require town islands to annex with sewer extensions to developing town areas	62.50% 5
Require town islands to annex over time with revenue sharing from the city to the town	50.00% 4
Incentivize town island residents to annex to eliminate town islands	75.00% 6

Total Respondents: 8

Regarding town islands, which strategies do you agree which be considered in the intergovernmental agreement process? (check all that apply)

Showing 4 responses

Town islands make little or no sense, they should be eliminated subject to negotiation

2/2/2016 3:12 PM [View respondent's answers](#)

Complete all in closed session considering all parameters

2/2/2016 3:08 PM [View respondent's answers](#)

Move forward on attach/detach areas with Onalaska too - areas that would make more sense for contiguous services like streets, police, fire.


1/29/2016 9:41 AM [View respondent's answers](#)

If at all possible to annex I could see doing so over a 10 year period. First 5 years no tax increase to city mill rate. Years 6-10 add 20% to rate each year until parity in 10th year.

1/28/2016 11:43 AM [View respondent's answers](#)

Regarding town islands, what additional issues should the city and town consider negotiating? (check all that apply)

Answered: 7 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices

Answer Choices	Responses
Annex only by majority petition of residents without incentives	28.57% 2
Leave town islands in the town and satisfy service issues with revenue sharing and mutual aid agreements	42.86% 3
Tie town island annexations to other concessions to the town such as sewer extensions or uncontested reserved town growth areas	71.43% 5

Total Respondents: 7

Regarding town islands, what additional issues should the city and town consider negotiating? (check all that apply)

Showing 3 responses

Complete all in closed session considering all parameters

2/22/2016 3:08 PM [View respondent's answers](#)

Town Island annexation should not be as high a priority as annexation of developable land base given political implications of developed Islands.


1/28/2016 11:43 AM [View respondent's answers](#)

Town islands are a "must" for annexation; also, towns must adopt zoning, development ordinances consistent with the city's

1/26/2016 8:46 AM [View respondent's answers](#)

Regarding recreational amenities, which items would you like to see the task force work on with the Town of Shelby? (check all that apply)

Answered: 9 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses
- Joint recreational planning	77.78% 7
- Cost sharing agreements and joint grant applications for trails and/or parks	77.78% 7
- Develop the 14/61 and/or Goose Island connection trail in conjunction with a boundary agreement and resulting annexation of adjacent areas	77.78% 7
Total Respondents: 9	

Showing 3 responses

Regarding recreational amenities, which items would you like to see the task force work on with the Town of Shelby? (check all that apply)

This is an area we should be able to agree on as it benefits the entire area

2/2/2016 3:12 PM [View respondent's answers](#)

Complete all in closed session considering all parameters

2/2/2016 3:08 PM [View respondent's answers](#)

Regardless of other outcomes, any cost sharing or revenue gained by the city would be better than nothing achieved at all.

1/28/2016 11:43 AM [View respondent's answers](#)

