

Notice: This application form template was created by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. Application is hereby made to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, Bureau of Watershed Management, for grant assistance consistent with s. 281.66, Wis. Stats., and Chapters NR 151, 154, and 155, Wis. Adm. Code. Collection of this information is authorized under the authority of s. 281.66, Wis. Stats. Personal information collected will be used for administrative purposes and may be provided to requesters to the extent required by Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss. 19.31 - 19.39, Wis. Stats.]. *Unless otherwise noted, all citations refer to Wisconsin Administrative Code.*

Please read the [instructions](#) prior to completion of this form. Complete all sections as applicable. Tab to each section or click in answer spaces.

Part I. Applicant Information	
Calendar Year of Application <div style="text-align: right;">2019</div>	Calendar Year of Grant Start <div style="text-align: right;">2020</div>
Project Name La Crosse MS4 Compliance Implementation Plan	
Applicant (governmental unit applying name and type, e.g. Wausau City; Randall Town) City of La Crosse	

Authorized Responsible Government Official (ARGO) Mayor Tim Kabat Title Mayor Area Code + Phone Number <div style="text-align: right;">(608) 789-7500</div> E-Mail Address kabatt@cityoflacrosse.org Mailing Address - Street or PO Box 400 La Crosse St City La Crosse	Grant Contact Person - if different than ARGO (contact cannot be a consultant) Bernard N Lenz Title Utility Manager Area Code + Phone Number <div style="text-align: right;">(608) 789-7588</div> E-Mail Address lenzb@cityoflacrosse.org Mailing Address - Street or PO Box 400 La Crosse St City La Croose State WI ZIP Code 54601
--	---

Part II. Project Location & Watershed

A. Location

1. Project Location

See [Attachment A](#) and [Surface Water Data Viewer \(SWDV\)](#) for assistance in completing this question.

Latitude (North, 4-7 decimal places)	Longitude (West, 4-7 decimal places)	County	State Senate District Number	State Assembly District number
43.813349	-91.249442	La Crosse	32	95

Method for Determining Latitude & Longitude (select one)

- GPS
 [DNR Surface Water Data Viewer](#)
 Other (specify): project is the municipal limits. Lat/long= post office

B. Watershed and Waterbody

Enter the 12-digit Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC 12) of each watershed in the project area on line a of the tables below. Determine the percent of the project area located in each HUC 12 and enter this on line c of the tables. Identify the nearest water resource downstream of the project area in each HUC 12, and enter its name on line d of the tables.

See [Attachment A](#) and [Surface Water Data Viewer \(SWDV\)](#) for assistance in identifying the HUC 12s and name of the nearest water resource. The HUC 12 name (line b), downstream HUC 12 code (line e), and downstream HUC 12 name will automatically populate the table based on the watershed HUC 12 code.

1. 12-Digit Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC 12)	
a. HUC 12 Watershed Code	070400060403
b. HUC 12 Name	Smith Valley Creek-La Crosse River
c. Percent of project area located in HUC 12	42 %
d. Nearest water resource <i>Name of the water resource nearest to, and downstream of, the project area in this HUC 12</i>	Mississippi River
e. Downstream HUC 12	070400060502
f. Downstream HUC 12 name	City of La Crosse-Mississippi River
2. 12-Digit Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC 12)	
a. HUC 12 Watershed Code	070400060103
b. HUC 12 Name	Lake Onalaska-Mississippi River
c. Percent of project area located in HUC 12	6 %
d. Nearest water resource <i>Name of the water resource nearest to, and downstream of, the project area in this HUC 12</i>	Mississippi River
e. Downstream HUC 12	070400060502
f. Downstream HUC 12 name	City of La Crosse-Mississippi River
3. 12-Digit Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC 12)	
a. HUC 12 Watershed Code	070400060502
b. HUC 12 Name	City of La Crosse-Mississippi River
c. Percent of project area located in HUC 12	48 %
d. Nearest water resource <i>Name of the water resource nearest to, and downstream of, the project area in this HUC 12</i>	Mississippi River
e. Downstream HUC 12	070600010504
f. Downstream HUC 12 name	Lock and Dam #8-Mississippi River
4. 12-Digit Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC 12)	
a. HUC 12 Watershed Code	070600010504
b. HUC 12 Name	Lock and Dam #8-Mississippi River
c. Percent of project area located in HUC 12	1 %
d. Nearest water resource <i>Name of the water resource nearest to, and downstream of, the project area in this HUC 12</i>	Mississippi River
e. Downstream HUC 12	070600010505
f. Downstream HUC 12 name	Town of New Albin-Mississippi River
5. 12-Digit Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC 12)	
a. HUC 12 Watershed Code	070600010501
b. HUC 12 Name	Mormon Creek
c. Percent of project area located in HUC 12	3 %
d. Nearest water resource <i>Name of the water resource nearest to, and downstream of, the project area in this HUC 12</i>	Mississippi River
e. Downstream HUC 12	070600010504
f. Downstream HUC 12 name	Lock and Dam #8-Mississippi River

Part III. Screening Requirements

A. Maps and Photographs

Yes

- An 8.5" x 11" map from the DNR data/map viewers, showing the project area, is attached (link to <http://dnrmaps.wi.gov/SL/?Viewer=SWDV>).
- Aerial photo maps and project area photos are also included.

B. Filters

The applicant must be able to check "Yes" to questions 1 through 9 below to be eligible for a grant. If any of these questions cannot be answered "Yes" and/ or if required supporting documentation is omitted, the application will not be scored.

Yes

- 1. The project is in an area that is urban as defined in [NR 155.12\(31\)](#) or will be urban within 20 years ([see Attachment B](#)), and can declare one of the three statements below is true.
 - The population density of the project area is 1,000 or more per square mile according to the last federal census or subsequent population estimate under s. 16,96 stats;
Population density of the proposed project area: 2,385
 - The entire project is located within a mapped urbanized area according to the Federal Census.
 - Other evidence that the project area is urban as defined in [NR 155.12\(31\)](#) is attached to this application.
- 2. The project will be completed within 24 months of the start of the grant period.
- 3. Staff and contractors designated (or selected) to work on this project have adequate training, knowledge and experience to implement all elements of the proposed project.
- 4. Staff or contractual services, in addition to those funded by this grant, will be provided if needed to complete all elements of the proposed project.
- 5. Planning products prepared under this grant will not work at cross-purposes with the non-agricultural performance standards contained in ch. NR 151. (In other words, planning products prepared under this grant will be consistent with ch. NR 151 non-agricultural performance standards).
- 6. The local [DNR District Nonpoint Source Coordinator](#) has been contacted and all, of the topics in the table below were discussed.

Name of DNR NPS Coordinator(s) Contacted	Date(s) Contacted	Subject(s) of Contact(s) (check all that apply)	
Cindy Koperski	02/07/2019	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Project Eligibility	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Project Purpose
Cindy Koperski	02/07/2019	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Proposed planning activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water Quality Need
Cindy Koperski	04/10/2019	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Other

Summarize your discussion(s) with your local DNR Nonpoint Source Coordinator(s) in the space below.
We talked about using the grant to redo the SLAMM modeling and develop a plan to meet permit compliance. All thing lacking from our MS4 have to be addressed in the plan, not just the model.

- 7. The applicant can declare that one of the two statements below (a or b) is TRUE.
 - a. The applicant is a local unit of government that has jurisdiction over the project area.
 - b. The applicant does not have jurisdiction over the project area.
However, condition (i) [below] is met, AND either condition (ii) OR (iii) is met.
 - (i) The applicant is required to obtain a permit under subchapter I of ch. NR 216
AND
 - (ii) Inter-Governmental Agreements (IGAs) are in place.
OR
 - (iii) Inter-Governmental Agreements (IGAs) will be put in place prior to the commencement of the grant period, to assure urban best management practices included in the grant are installed and maintained.

8. The applicant can declare that **one** of the two statements below (a or b) is TRUE.
- a. The applicant is not the University of Wisconsin Board of Regents.
 - b. The applicant is the University of Wisconsin Board of Regents **and** the project will develop recommendations for a UW Campus area located in a municipality that meets **both** of the following criteria:
 - (i) The municipality is required to obtain a municipal separate storm water permit under ch. NR 216;
AND
 - (ii) the municipality is located either in a priority watershed or lake area identified under [s. 281.65 Wis. Stats.](#), or in an [area of concern](#) as identified by the International Joint Commission under the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement.
9. The applicant can declare that one of the two statements below (a or b) is TRUE.
- a. This application is not a joint application among local units of government.
 - b. This application is a joint application among local units of government and the required Inter-Governmental Agreement (IGA) is attached ([see Attachment G](#)).

Part IV. Competitive Elements

Question 1 Project Purpose

Identify the primary purpose of the proposed project by selecting A., B., or C., below.

MS4 Planning Activity

- A. MS4 Compliance** - The project's **primary** purpose is to achieve compliance with one or more elements of the applicant's MS4 WPDES storm water permit.

Note: Selecting this option does not preclude the inclusion of planning activities not directly related to MS4 permit compliance in the project.

MS4 WPDES Permit Number: S050075

Select the true statement below.

- B. Voluntary municipal storm water permit compliance activities** - the project's primary purpose is for a non-MS4 (i.e. governmental unit not covered by a municipal storm water permit) to voluntarily undertake one or more of municipal storm water permit requirements of NR 216.07
- C. Information & Education** - This project's primary purpose is to develop and/or implement a comprehensive storm water information and education program.
- D. Other eligible planning activities** - This project's primary purpose is for eligible planning activity/activities, not directly related to MS4 permit compliance and other than information & education.

Question 6. Fiscal Accountability

A. Timeline and Source of Staff

For each applicable milestone listed below, fill in the appropriate data. Leave column 2 blank, and enter N/A in column 3 if the milestone is not applicable.

1 Milestone	2 Target Completion Date (month/year)	3 Source(s) of Staff
Prepare preliminary scope of services & discuss with DNR	12/2019	Municipal staff
Prepare request for proposal	01/2020	Municipal staff
Select consultant	02/2020	Municipal staff
Get DNR approval of Professional Services Agreement	02/2020	Municipal staff
Hold "kick-off" meeting	03/2020	Municipal staff & Consultant
Data collection/mapping	03/2020 to 05/2020	Municipal staff & Consultant
Pollutant load modeling	05/2020 to 09/2020	Consultant
Ordinance drafting	10/2019 to 06/2020	Municipal staff & Consultant
Other planning activity (specify) Create storm water management plan	10/2020 to 01/2021	Municipal staff & Consultant
Other planning activity (specify)		NA
Complete draft of planning deliverables & submit to DNR	02/2021	Municipal staff & Consultant
Presentation to Municipal Council	03/2021	Municipal staff & Consultant
Finalize planning deliverables	04/2021	Municipal staff & Consultant
Adopt ordinances	07/2020	City Council
Implement planning deliverables	04/2021 to 03/2023	Municipal staff
Project evaluation	03/2023	Municipal staff

Additional Milestones (list below)

Additional Milestones (list below)

Track and report progress on BMP construction	03/2022 and 03/2023	Municipal staff

B. Financial Budget Table

1. Detailed budget for each planning activity selected in Questions 2, 3, 4 and/or 5.

The grant amount is capped at \$85,000.

a.	b.	c.
Detailed list planning activities and component subactivities (use + to add additional rows under each BMP)	Estimated total cost	Amount Eligible for DNR Cost Sharing (\$)
Develop stormwater quality management plan for meeting developed urban area performance standards	\$ 135,000	\$ 135,000
Evaluate, ground truth w/ survey, and update GIS inputs to SLAMM Model (topo, Land use, impervious)	20,000	20,000
Re-establish no controls and existing conditions loading based on current MS4 technical guidance	20,000	20,000
Research as-built to calculate reduction based on Cities green infrastructure and major pond conversions and private BMP tracked in SWU credit process installed since last evaluation	50,000	50,000
Update/produce a new Storm water compliance plan to meet MS4 permit compliance	20,000	20,000
Review policies/ordinances and create tool to include future BMP load reductions in MS4 permit submittal: SWU credit, construction storm water management ordinance required, and city built BMPs	25,000	25,000
Totals	\$ 135,000	\$ 135,000

Eligible Costs:

(i)	Amount Eligible for DNR Cost sharing	\$ 135,000
(ii)	50% of amount eligible for cost sharing	\$ 67,500

C. State Share Request

Cap Test

1.	Maximum grant (State Share) Amount	\$ 67,500
----	------------------------------------	------------------

State and Local Share

2.	Requested State Share (Grant Award) Amount	\$ 67,500
3.	Local Share amount	\$ 67,500
4.	State Cost-Share Rate	50.0 %

D. Points for Additional Funding

Check the boxes below if these conditions are met:

- The requested state-share amount in row Eligible Cost (i) is less than the \$85,000 grant cap
- The requested state cost-share rate in row Eligible Cost (ii) is less than 50%.
- Up to a maximum of 10 points can be earned for 30% in row (4)

E. Cost-Estimate Quality

Select the appropriate option. Attach design, bid, estimate documentation.

- Project costs are based on multiple competitive bids on the proposed project.
- Project costs are based on a draft scope of services and an estimate of hours and hourly rate (salary + benefits) for each task and subtask, plus the estimated cost of any travel, supplies and other expenses required for each task.
- Project costs are based on similar projects conducted locally (i.e. a nearby municipality in the same region of the state).
- Project costs are based on similar projects conducted in a different region of the state.

- a. List the similar projects which project cost estimates were based upon:
Wausau, Hudson, Fond du Lac

 - b. Explain how cost estimates were developed based on the similar projects listed above:
I looked at projects performed by these cities of similar size and evaluated tasks and need in La Crosse. I was a private consultant doing similar work for 4 years so I am familiar with the efforts and needs. I also used Fond du Lac's hours and hourly rate spreadsheet to estimate our costs. Note: evaluating each of our SWU Credit and Green Infrastructure BMP's is a large cost others didn't have.
5. Project costs are based on data less specific than the choices above.

Question 7. Project Evaluation Strategy

Information that will be developed and presented to DNR to evaluate the environmental benefits of completing this project. Check all that apply.

- A.** Information that quantifies how project implementation is projected to decrease storm water impacts on state waters will be provided to the DNR. The information may be provided as part of the planning product (e.g., storm water plan, I&E plan) or in the Final Report.
- 1. The planning activity deliverable developed under the proposed project that will quantify decreased storm water impacts on waters of the state is identified in space below:
The model will provide calculations of City's Green infrastructure and wet pond conversions load reductions to date.
 - 2. A description of how decreased storm water impacts on waters of the state will be quantified in planning products and final report, including pollutant types, units of measure/time and/or other [e.g. average annual phosphorus reduction (lbs)] is provided in the space below:
The model will provide calculations of load reductions expected thru implementation of the proposed pollution prevention plan.
- B.** Information that tracks progress in carrying out recommendation of this project will be provided to the Department annually for two years after the project is completed. If B is checked, specify which implementation activities will be tracked and reported to DNR on annual basis (on a form provided by DNR), by checking the boxes below.

Yes N/A

- 1. Local construction site erosion control ordinance**
Grantee will track and report upon implementation of new or updated program elements such as plan review, inspection and/or complaint response procedures.
- 2. Local construction site erosion control program (other than their ordinance)**
Grantee will track and report upon implementation of new or updated program elements such as plan review, inspection and/or complaint response procedures.
- 3. Local post-construction storm water ordinance**
Grantee will report the date of adoption of new or updated ordinance regulating new development and re-development including all the requirements of ss. NR 151.121-128, and NR 151.241-249, and track and report upon implementation of the new or updated ordinance.
- 4. Local post construction storm water management program (other than ordinance)**
Grantee will track and report upon implementation of new or updated program elements such as plan review, inspection and/or complaint response procedures.
- 5. Storm water quality management plan for meeting the developed urban area performance standards.**
The grantee will track and report upon progress in the construction of priority ranked BMP identified in the plan for meeting the developed urban area performance standards of s. NR 151.13(2)(b)1., Wis. Adm. Code, for areas of the municipality covered by the NR 151.13(2)(b)1. not subject to the post-construction performance standard.
- 6. Storm sewer system map**
Grantee will track and report upon use of the new or updated storm sewer system map for illicit discharge inspection and response activities.

- 7. Storm water pollution prevention plan**
The grantee will track and report upon implementation of the new or updated storm water pollution prevention plan elements checked below.
- 8. Ordinance(s) related to one or more municipal pollution prevention activities listed above, or other storm water pollution prevention activity.** [Other than post-construction storm water, erosion control, illicit discharge, and/or low-impact development ordinance(s)]
The grantee will provide the name, number, date of adoption and purpose of the new or updated ordinance, and will track and report upon ordinance implementation activities.
- 9. Illicit Discharge Ordinance**
The grantee will report the date of adoption of the new or updated illicit discharge ordinance and track and report upon ordinance implementation activities.
- 10. Illicit discharge program Updates**
The grantee will track and report upon implementation of new or updated inspection procedures and/or procedures for responding to known or suspected illicit discharges.
- 11. Low impact development or conservation subdivision ordinance**
The grantee will report the date of adoption of the new or updated low-impact development or conservation subdivision ordinance, and track and report upon implementation of the ordinance.
- 12. Storm water financing feasibility analysis**
The grantee will report upon development of dedicated funding mechanism(s) recommendations by the feasibility analysis for funding storm water program performance standards in subchapter III of Ch. NR 151.
- 13. Implementation of storm water program dedicated revenue source**
Grantee will report upon the ongoing administration and financial health of the dedicated revenue source implemented as part of the project funded by the grant, for funding implementation of storm water program performance standards in Subchapter III of Ch. NR 151.
- 14. Public education and outreach**
The grantee will track and report upon implementation of the new or updated public outreach and education plan and/or materials developed as part of this project.

Question 8. Water Quality Need

For each HUC 12 included in the project area, the project must be consistent with at least one of the water quality need categories and the primary water resource addressed by the project. See the instructions for category definitions and scoring information. Identify the water quality need for each HUC12 included in the project area by answering the questions below.

A. Water Quality Need in the Smith Valley Creek-La Crosse River HUC 12:

1. Primary water resource addressed by project in the above-listed HUC 12:
Surface Water
2. Select the one category which best identifies the water quality need which the project directly addresses in the HUC-12:
Surface water quality
3. Select the primary pollutant(s) threatening the water resource:
TP & TSS
4. Select the primary pollutant(s) that the project will address:
TP & TSS

B. Water Quality Need in the Lake Onalaska-Mississippi River HUC 12:

1. Primary water resource addressed by project in the above-listed HUC 12:
Surface Water
2. Select the one category which best identifies the water quality need which the project directly addresses in the HUC-12:
Surface water quality

3. Select the primary pollutant(s) threatening the water resource:

TP & TSS

4. Select the primary pollutant(s) that the project will address:

TP & TSS

C. Water Quality Need in the City of La Crosse-Mississippi River HUC 12:

1. Primary water resource addressed by project in the above-listed HUC 12:

Surface Water

2. Select the one category which best identifies the water quality need which the project directly addresses in the HUC-12:

Vulnerable Healthy Watershed

3. Select the primary pollutant(s) threatening the water resource:

TP & TSS

4. Select the primary pollutant(s) that the project will address:

TP & TSS

D. Water Quality Need in the Lock and Dam #8-Mississippi River HUC 12:

1. Primary water resource addressed by project in the above-listed HUC 12:

Surface Water

2. Select the one category which best identifies the water quality need which the project directly addresses in the HUC-12:

Surface water quality

3. Select the primary pollutant(s) threatening the water resource:

TP & TSS

4. Select the primary pollutant(s) that the project will address:

TP & TSS

E. Water Quality Need in the Mormon Creek HUC 12:

1. Primary water resource addressed by project in the above-listed HUC 12:

Surface Water

2. Select the one category which best identifies the water quality need which the project directly addresses in the HUC-12:

Surface water quality

3. Select the primary pollutant(s) threatening the water resource:

TP & TSS

4. Select the primary pollutant(s) that the project will address:

TP & TSS

Question 9. Drinking Water Bonus

Columns (1), (2), and (3), of the table below are automatically populated with the name of each HUC 12 in the project area, the water resource type addressed in that HUC 12 (ground or surface water) and the percent of the project area in each HUC 12, respectively.

For each HUC 12 in the project area, determine whether the project's water quality goals relate to the reduction of nonpoint source contaminants in community or non-community public drinking water supplies. If so, select the applicable drinking water bonus category in column (4) of the table. Column (4) will automatically populate with appropriate answer options depending on the water resource type (ground or surface water) addressed by the project in each HUC 12.

This includes any of the following: Municipal water supplies governed by chs. NR 809 and 811; Other-Than-Municipal (OTM) water supplies governed by chs. 809 and 811; Non-Transient water supplies governed by chs. NR 809 and 812; Transient water supplies governed by chs. NR 809 and 812.

(1) HUC 12 Name	(2) Water Resource type addressed	(3) Drinking Water Bonus Category
Smith Valley Creek-La Crosse River	Surface Water	
Lake Onalaska-Mississippi River	Surface Water	
City of La Crosse-Mississippi River	Surface Water	
Lock and Dam #8-Mississippi River	Surface Water	
Mormon Creek	Surface Water	

Question 10. Evidence of Local Support

A. Government

Check the situation that exists at the time of application and attach and fill in the required supporting information. Check all that apply.

- 1. Some or all of the local-share funds for this project are already specifically included in an adopted budget. A copy of the adopted budget(s) is/are attached to this application, and the attached budget document(s), amount budgeted and date(s) of adoption are listed in the table below.

Adopted Budget Document	Amount Budgeted	Date of Adoption
2019 Operating Budget	\$ 100,000	11/19/2018
	\$	
	\$	
Total	\$ 100,000	

- 2. Some or all of the local-share funds for this project not specifically included in an adopted budget, are included in one or more proposed budget(s) attached to this application, and the attached proposed budget document(s), amount(s) budgeted and date(s) of anticipated adoption are listed in the table below.

Proposed Budget Document	Amount Budgeted	Date of Adoption
	\$	
	\$	
	\$	
Total	\$	

- 3. Some or all of the local-share funds for this project not specifically included in an adopted or proposed budget, will be included in one or more proposed budget(s) or will be provided by another non-state funding source and. These proposed budgets and/or other funding source, and their anticipated amounts are listed in the table below.

Funding Source	Amount
	\$
	\$
	\$
Total	\$

4. Total local share amount included in an adopted or proposed budget:	\$	100,000
5. Local share amount entered in Question 6C.3:	\$	67,500

The amounts shown on lines 3 and 4 (above) should be equal. If the full amount of local share will not be provided the project is ineligible.

B. Community

Select the true statement below. Supporting information must be submitted with this application.

- 1. There is local community support from community stakeholders specifically for this project, and the supporting information checked below is attached to this application.
 - a. There is local support from citizen groups, and letter(s) documenting this support are attached to the application.
 - b. There is local support from municipal committees or councils representing the applicants, and letter(s) documenting this support are attached to the application.
 - c. There is local support from other community stakeholders impacted by the project, and letter(s) documenting this support are attached to the application.
- 2. There is local community support from community stakeholders for addressing water resource needs in the community, even though there may not be evidence of support for this specific project, and the supporting information checked below is attached to this application.

Question 11. Consistency with Resource Management Plans

Yes

- A. Check this box if the proposed project implements a water quality recommendation from a locally approved resource management plan less than ten years old, other than a TMDL report, TMDL implementation plan, or County Land and Water Resource Management Plan.

B. Check the applicable plan type(s) and fill out the associated information in the table below (required to earn points for Question 11).

- 1. Smart Growth plans
- 2. Green Tier Legacy Community plans
- 3. Water Star plan
- 4. Local storm water management plan
- 5. Wellhead protection plan
- 6. Lake management plan
- 7. Regional water quality plan
- 8. Remedial action plan
- 9. Other watershed-based NPS control plan

(a) Publication Name

City & County Strategic Plan for Sustainability

(b) Publication Date

05/2019

(c) Summary of water quality recommendation(s) & how it/they relate(s) to the goals of the proposed project.

p. 14 - Explore regional stormwater options to address mandates by Federal Emergency Management Agency and Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources.

p. 20 - Establish a comprehensive stormwater management program for both water quality and water quantity issues (i.e., volume). Consider projects at City Hall such as rain barrels, rain gardens, green roofs, pervious pavement, etc.

p. 24 - Establish comprehensive stormwater management program

(d) URL & page number(s), or attach pertinent pages:

www.cityoflacrosse.org/filestorage/593/844/3606/5145/Final_Joint_Sustainable_Plan_05-14-09.pdf

- 10. Other watershed-based NPS control plan

Question 12. City of Racine

Yes

- A. Check this box if this is an application from the City of Racine for a project that is necessary for the city to comply with state storm water permitting requirements.

Part V. Local Implementation Multiplier

Completion of this part of the application is optional. However, an applicant can increase the final project score by qualifying for a project multiplier.

Select all that are in place as of the application submittal date and provide the required documentation if A, B and/or C is checked.

Yes

- A. The governmental unit is implementing a pollution prevention information and education program targeted for property owners and other resident and electronic copies of items 1 and 2 below are included on the CD or flash drive submitted with this application.
 - 1. A summary of program activities and accomplishments during the past 2 years.
 - 2. Examples of outreach materials utilized by the program during the last two years.
- B. The governmental unit is tracking storm water permitting activity (construction and post-construction) in the governmental unit and an electronic copy of summary information from the last two years is included on the CD or flash drive submitted with this application.
- C. The governmental unit is implementing a nutrient management plan for municipally-owned pervious area where nutrients are applied and electronic copies of items 1 and 2 below are included on the CD or flash drive submitted with this application.
 - 1. Labeled map of all municipally owned pervious areas located in the project area.
 - 2. Nutrient management plan for each municipally-owned pervious area.

Optional Project Summary

Provide an optional project summary in the space below. Note - this summary is optional and will not be scored. Where discrepancies exists between the project summary and project information entered in Part IV of the application, Part IV of the application shall govern.

The City last updated its stormwater management plan in 2009. The results of the updated plan indicated that the City is currently achieving a 18.5% overall TSS reduction from its Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4). This project will update the City's stormwater pollutant loading model for both sediment and phosphorus, analyze the reduction obtained by green infrastructure and bmp projects completed since the last model, and analyze Best Management Practices (BMPs) to reduce the pollutants from stormwater discharges to meet pending MS4 and TMDL requirements.

Optional Consultant Contact

If the applicant would like a consultant to be copied on correspondence regarding this grant application, enter consultant contact information in the space below.

Consultant Name	Consultant Firm Name
N/A	N/A
E-Mail Address	Area Code + Phone Number

Optional Additional Information

Carefully review the answers to all of the questions above. Is there additional information that will add to the understanding of this project? If so, describe here.

The City underwent a voluntary review of our MS4 program by WDNR staff in 2018. A result of that review is attached in a letter from Jason Gazdecki-WDNR Stormwater specialist, dated November 3rd, 2018 and the City response for compliance schedule.

The city has accomplished all "required actions" except the post construction ordinance (sec 2.5) and the TSS modeling (sec 2.7). Our intent is to finish the ordinance using city staff and hire a consultant using this grant to perform the TSS modeling and update our Stormwater Management Plan.

Applicant Certification

A Responsible Government Official (authorized signatory) must sign and date the application form prior to submittal to the DNR. The governmental official with signatory authority must be the person authorized by the Governmental Responsibility Resolution. I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information contained in this application and attachments is correct and true.

Signature of Authorized Government Official.

Date Signed

Name (*please print*)

Tim Kabat

Title

Mayor

The required, completed Governmental Responsibility Resolution (signed **in blue ink**) (see [Attachment I](#)) is attached.

Submittal Directions

To be considered for funding, provide the following for each application submitted:

- One copy of the completed application form DNR Form 8700-299A with **original signature**, and all attachments.
- Three additional copies of the completed, signed application form and all attachments.
- Electronic copies of each of the following on CD or flash drive: completed application form (this saved application form) in live PDF format; scanned PDFs of application with signature; and PDFs of all maps and attachments.

All application materials must be postmarked and mailed by midnight **April 15 of the calendar year prior to the grant start year.**

(April 16, if the 15th falls on Sunday or April 17 if the 15th falls on a Saturday).

Send to: **USPS**

Department of Natural Resources
Attn: Runoff Management Grant Coordinator - WT/3
P.O. Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707-7921

or

Other delivery service

Department of Natural Resources
Attn: Runoff Management Grant Coordinator WT/3
101 South Webster Street
Madison, WI 53703

Please use this page to write any constructive comment(s) you might have to improve this application.

Thank you.

Lots of great out-fill features included, Thank You.

It would be nice if the information in the watershed lookup table could auto populate too.