

Card # 1

Alternative # 2

Most feasible, able to
offer most programs,
and ability to staff.

Jim W

Card # 2

Senior Housing to be
included! Very important

Jim W

Card # 3

See what can be
done with existing
bldgs at minimal cost.
Alter # 4

Option 3 No physical location
for all events

cm Janssen

② Need 1 staff person to
organize senior activities
(coordinate with partners)
dedicated space at various
locations in our city/county

we may want to focus on transition
- gradual change to multiple
locations

cm Janssen

2nd choice

one main location/facility -
could be part of another
facility ~~along with~~

Preferred alternative:

Alternative 1

Carol Haefl

Additional goals:

Encompass La Crosse
County

Affordable for seniors.

Carol Haefl

2nd preferred alternative:

Alternative 2

Carol Haefl

Alternative ①

More Collaboration with others

① La Crosse County (ADRC)

② Gunderson or Mayo

③ United Way or other similar org's.

④ Privates?

⑤

Classifiers

① Just a Kitchen
can be used for other functions
and provide revenue.

②

AH 4

1st choice Deb Carlson

ALTERNATE 4

Deb Carlson

Kitchen use for Seniors
we have a pancake breakfast
for a fund raiser

multi rooms for ~~several~~ different
programs

2 choice Deb Carlson

ALTERNATE 1

preferred Alternative.

option #2.

O. Happel

Reasons.

Start new.
Design for the future.
Allow for regional cooperation

O. Happel

second choice.

option #1.

O. Happel

Olson, Sara

From: Gregerson, Caroline
Sent: Wednesday, January 10, 2018 12:57 PM
To: Olson, Sara
Subject: FW: Senior Center Preferences - NROs

Sara- I am assuming you are typing up the votes- can you add Phil Ostrem's as well? I think since he's a committee member his should be counted.

From: Ostrem, Phillip A.
Sent: Wednesday, January 10, 2018 12:41 PM
To: Gregerson, Caroline <gregersonc@cityoflacrosse.org>
Cc: Gilman, Jason <gilmanj@cityoflacrosse.org>
Subject: Senior Center Preferences - NROs

As I remember them - I'm assuming the preferences were in the in which they appear on the survey draft.

Alternative 2 is first choice
1 centrally located site

Alternative 1 is second choice
2 Centers

Top 7 characteristics (in no particular order)

Easy Access for users

Multi-purpose rooms for concurrent programs

Flexibility in design to meet changing needs of the community

*Accessible by public transport

Capital project is financially feasible

Operations costs are sustainable long-term

Safe neighborhood

* I would hope we would have sense enough to do this anyway.

The city received the required matching funds for the two PPH Neighborhood Resource Officers. Three years with a promise of funding a fourth year. I'm reading in the legislation tomorrow night.