EXISTING SITE OVERVIEW

The existing site consists of six parcels along Division Street within the City of La Crosse. The site lies within the Washburn Neighborhood and existing land use was single family. Existing parcels are zoned Washburn Residential (WR) and Public and Semi-Public (PS). The majority of existing single family homes have previously been demolished (around 2010) other than on the far east lot and the majority of the area is currently used as a community garden. There are no existing wetlands on site and the area is not within the special flood hazard on the effective Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM). Existing soil information lists the site as Urban Land per the NRCS information available for La Crosse County. A copy of the exhibits used for referencing the existing site conditions are included with this narrative

PROPOSED SITE OVERVIEW

The proposed site will consist of a 12 unit townhome along with sidewalk, parking, site amenities, stormwater management, utilities, and landscaping. Proposed zoning is Traditional Neighborhood Development to allow greater flexibility for medium density housing within the existing neighborhood while leaving a large portion of the site for continued use as a community garden. The proposed use is listed as desirable for the Washburn Neighborhood per the City Comprehensive Plan.

Building

The existing single family house will be demolished as part of the new project. Proposed building will be three stories with 12 units total. Unit mix will consist of (2) one bedroom units, (6) two bedroom units, and (4) three bedroom units. The building will also contain 14 garages for tenant use.

Parking and Pedestrian Access

The building will be located adjacent to the existing alley and will contain a driveway for additional parking outside of the interior garages. A sidewalk connection will be made from the driveway to exterior entrances of a shared hallway between units and the garage along with the entrance to each apartment. The sidewalk will also connect to a site amenity area and the public sidewalk along Division street to the north. A total of 28 parking spaces will be provided between the garages and driveway area with two of the interior garages being accessible. The garages can also be utilized for bicycle parking.

Site Amenities and Landscaping

Proposed site amenities will consist of patios for individual units as well as a shared site amenity to the west of the building consisting of a patio with grill and seating area. Landscaping will consist of native shrubs and perennials along the building and sidewalk. Shrubs will also be planted as screening for the proposed trash and recycling area located in the southeast corner of the stie. The north portion of the site will remain open for continued use as community gardens. This area will be wrapped with a garden fence similar to existing. The majority of the existing trees on site will remain unaltered from the project.

Utilities

A diggers hotline and topographic survey were completed to locate existing utilities on and adjacent to the proposed site as shown on the existing site plan in the submitted plan set. Proposed utility connections for the new building include water, sanitary, storm, electric, telecommunications, and gas as described below.

WATER

The proposed water service is 6" for fire suppression and domestic water and will be connected to the existing water main in Division Street.

SANITARY SEWER

The proposed sanitary service is 6" and will be connected to the existing sanitary main in Division Street.

STORM SEWER

Storm sewer on site will consist of a drain tile to direct runoff to the proposed stormwater basin and basin outlet and pipe that will connect to an existing manhole in Division Street.

ELECTRIC

Electric service is anticipated to be connected underground to an existing pole on the south side of the alley. Electric meters will be located along the east wall of the proposed garages.

TELECOMMUNICATIONS

Telecommunications service is anticipated to come from the overhead line running along the south side of the alley.

GAS

Gas service is anticipated to come from the existing gas main running along the north side of the lot in Division Street ROW. Gas meters will be located along the west wall of the proposed garages.

Stormwater Management

Stormwater management for the project will consist of a basin intended for infiltration located in the southwest corner of the site. Runoff from the south side of the building and parking area will be directed to the basin via valley gutter running along the existing alley. Runoff from the sidewalks and north side of the building will be directed to the basin via drain tile running along the edge of the sidewalk embedded in a clean rock. The proposed site is approximately 0.9 acres so the project is not expected to require a Wisconsin DNR Construction Stormwater Permit. Proposed stormwater management will be designed to meet the applicable requirements of the City of La Crosse Ordinance Chapter 105. An overflow outlet for the basin is planned to be connected to existing City storm sewer within Division Street to the north of the site.

ArcGIS Web Map



7/26/2024, 11:43:38 AM

| //26/2024, 11:4 | 13:38 AIVI | | | | | 1:2,400 | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--|--------------------|
| Pools and Beaches | County Highways | Local Roads - Other | Zoning Information | 0 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.08 mi |
| Boat Launch | Ramp | Local Roads - Collector | R1 - SINGLE FAMILY | | + + | <u>↓ </u> | |
| Parks | Local Roads - Arterial | Local Roads - Arterial | R5 - MULTIPLE DWELLING | 0 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.13 km |
| Hospitals and Clinics Carr | npus Local Roads - Other | County Highways | R6 - SPECIAL MULTIPLE | | | | |
| Colleges and Universities | Private Roads | State Highway | PS - PUBLIC AND SEMI-PUBLIC | | | | |
| Rule_1 | Railroad | US Highways - Hwy 61 Shield C | Dnly PK-12 Campuses | - | | | |
| Rule_1 | Streams_Less12K | US Highways - Hwy 53 | PK-12 School Locations | City of La Crosse, | La Crosse Cour | nty, City of La Crosse | , La Crosse County |
| Interstate | Stream Labels | US Highways - Hwy 14-61 | Surrounding Municipality Labels | | | | |
| US Highways | Lakes_Less12K | Interstate | Surrounding Municipalities | | | | |
| US Highways | City Limits | Tax Parcels | | | | | |
| State Highway | Private Roads | Tax Parcels - Labels | | | | | |



NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage locurces of small size. The community map repository should be consulted for coasible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To other more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (III Es) and/or Roodings, has been done must, used on another Elevation to the flood with the Flood instance. State of Elevation and the flood with the Flood instance State (IIIE) page that accomparison the FIRM used about the same that IBTEs shown on the FIRM represent musted whole-toot whole and the same that IBTEs shown on the FIRM represent musted whole-toot should be asses that IBTEs about no the FIRM represent musted whole-toot whole not be used to be able to the FIRM represent must be able to state of the same that IBTEs are not the FIRM represent must be the FIRM to represent on the FIR Report should be utilized in comparison with the FIRM to represent on the FIRM report should be utilized in comparison with the FIRM to represent on the FIRM report should be utilized in comparison with the FIRM to represent on the first must be utilized in comparison with the FIRM to represent on the first must be utilized in the FIRM represent.

Casatal Base Field Elevations shown on the many speet only industrial of 0.0 beth Auncess which Eatrin of 198 (NLO) BS. Unary of the 1988 and be areare that casatal flood elevations are also perioded in the Euromany of Silowater tervations table in the Fiod Instances Budy Report for the jurisdidon. Elevations shown in the Euromany of Silowater Elevations table should be used for construction whom on this FIM. Interr process whice this and higher than the elevations allow on other FIM.

Boundaries of the **Boodways** were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Rood Insurance Program. Ploodway withs and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study Report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by **flood control** structures. Refer to Section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Study Report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The projection code is the propagation of this map was Universal Transmost Monotonic (TTR) has the two transmosterial detaints was the AUO 33, CTR 1960 spherod, Differences in datum, spherod, projection or UTM zones used in the production of TRMMs for adjacent practices may result in sight problem differences in map features across systemic boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of the TRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the North American Verbrail Datum of 1980. These flood elevations must be compresed to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between the National Gadetic Verbrail Datum of 1920 and the North American Verbrail Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at Nationamerican course give consist the National Geodetic Survey at the following matching conversion.

NGS Information Services NOAA, NNGS12 National Geodetic Survey SSMC-3, #29202 1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, Marytand 20910-3282 (301) 713-3242

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for bench marks shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (301) 713- 3242, or visit its website at <u>http://www.ngs.noss.pov</u>.

Base map information shown on this FIRM was provided by La Crosse County. The aerial photography was acquired in the spring of 2007 to create 11:200 scale digital orthophotos with 12-inch ground resolution and resampled to a 24-inch ground wath kine.

The profile baselines depicted on this map represent the hydraulic modeling baseliner that match the flood profiles in the FIS report. As a nexit of improved topographic data, the profile baseline, in some cases, may deviate significantly from the channel centerline or appear outside the SFHA.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after this map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the sponsizily printed Map texts for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels, community map reposition, addresses, and a Lasting of communities table containing National Thood Insurance Region dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.

For information on available products associated with this FIRM visit the Map Service Center (MSC) website at <u>this intercent acc</u>. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or diplati vensions of this map. Many of these products can be ordered or obtained directly from the MSC website.

If you have questions about this map, how to order products, or the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call the FEMA Map Information eXchange (FMIX) at 1.477-FEMA-MAP (1.477-336-2627) or visit the FEMA website of <u>http://www.fema.ace/custimestifip</u>.





United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources Conservation

Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants Custom Soil Resource Report for La Crosse County, Wisconsin



Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

| Preface | 2 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| How Soil Surveys Are Made | 5 |
| Soil Map | |
| Soil Map | 9 |
| Legend | |
| Map Unit Legend | |
| Map Unit Descriptions | |
| La Crosse County, Wisconsin | |
| 2020—Urban land, valley trains | |
| References | 14 |

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.



| | MAP LEGEND | | | MAP INFORMATION | | |
|-----------------------|---|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Area of Int | terest (AOI) Area of Interest (AOI) | 8 | Spoil Area Stony Spot | The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:12,000. | | |
| Soils | Soil Map Unit Polygons Soil Map Unit Lines Soil Map Unit Points Point Features Blowout | Ø ♥ △ ₩ater Feat | Very Stony Spot Wet Spot Other Special Line Features tures | Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale. Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale. | | |
| © ≫ ☆ ☆ | Borrow Pit Clay Spot Closed Depression Gravel Pit Gravelly Spot | Transporta | Streams and Canals ation Rails Interstate Highways US Routes Major Roads | Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements. Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857) | | |
| © ∧ ↓ ⊗ 0 | Landfill Lava Flow Marsh or swamp Mine or Quarry Miscellaneous Water Perennial Water | Backgrour | Local Roads nd Aerial Photography | Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required. This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below. | | |
| > + ∷ ⊕ > > | Rock Outcrop Saline Spot Sandy Spot Severely Eroded Spot Sinkhole Slide or Slip | | | Soil Survey Area: La Crosse County, Wisconsin Survey Area Data: Version 22, Sep 8, 2023 Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger. Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Jul 31, 2020—Sep 2, 2020 | | |
| ø | Sodic Spot | | | The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident. | | |

Map Unit Legend

| Map Unit Symbol | Map Unit Name | Acres in AOI | Percent of AOI |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| 2020 | Urban land, valley trains | 3.7 | 100.0% |
| Totals for Area of Interest | | 3.7 | 100.0% |

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

La Crosse County, Wisconsin

2020—Urban land, valley trains

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 1lmz1 Mean annual precipitation: 31 to 39 inches Mean annual air temperature: 41 to 50 degrees F Frost-free period: 120 to 190 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Urban land, valley train: 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Minor Components

Finchford

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Valley trains, valley trains Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Ecological site: R105XY018WI - Dry Mollic or Umbric Upland Hydric soil rating: No

Rasset

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Valley trains Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Ecological site: R105XY011WI - Mollic Loamy-Silty Upland Hydric soil rating: No

Chelsea

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Dunes on valley trains Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Ecological site: F105XY019WI - Dry Upland Hydric soil rating: No

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf