

# **Fire Prevention and Building Safety**

400 La Crosse St., La Crosse, WI 54601 · (608) 789-7530 · Fax: (608) 789-7589 http://www.citvoflacrosse.org

Ken Gilliam. Fire Chief

Inspection@cityoflacrosse.org



7/30/2020

Paul Limberg Wieser Brothers General Contractor 200 Twilite St La Crescent. MN 55947

RE: An appeal to allow a solid fence over ten feet in height located on a heavy industrial zoned property at 1735 Kramer St.

Dear Paul Limberg:

We have received your building permit application to construct a fence that does not meet the minimum requirements set forth in the Municipal Code of Ordinances of the City of La Crosse (Code) regarding fences and barbed wire.

The project as proposed is in direct violation of the following subparagraph of the Code:

115-398 (d)

Fences on nonresidential property. Fences are permitted on the property lines in all commercial zoning districts but shall not exceed eight feet in height in commercial zoning districts and ten feet in height for property zoned light or heavy industrial and shall be of an open type similar to woven wire, chainlink or wrought iron fencing. Fences regulated under Section 10-49(2)(b) as part of an approved Beer Garden License are not subject to these restrictions. Solid vinyl or composite fences are only allowed on property lines abutting a residential property, but cannot be taller than six feet. The fence can be up to eight feet tall by a conditional use permit.

Therefore, if upon consideration of all of the facts surrounding this appeal in a public hearing. the Board of Zoning Appeals determines that this appeal meets all of the criteria established by the Legislature of the State of Wisconsin, as interpreted by the Supreme Court of the State of Wisconsin for the granting of variances, the Board of Zoning Appeals would have to grant two variances one to allow a solid non-open style fence and one to allow 1.5 feet above the maximum of 10 feet allowed.

Sincerely,

Matthew Diehl **Building Inspector**  7/30/2020 View Parcel

Home | Help | Links



Parcel Search |

Permit Search

#### 1724 OAK ST LA CROSSE

Parcel: Municipality:

17-10302-91 City of La Crosse

Internal ID: Record Status; 72311 Current



### **Parcel Information:**

Parcel: Internal ID: 17-10302-91 72311 City of La Crosse

Municipality: Record Status: On Current Tax Roll: Total Acreage:

Current Yes 4,750 16

Township: Range: 0 Section: 0

07 21

#### Legal Description:

CERTIFIED SURVEY MAP NO. 9 VOL 18 LOT 2 DOC NO. 1721790

#### **Property Addresses:**

Street Address City(Postal) 1724 OAK ST LA CROSSE 1735 KRAMER ST LA CROSSE

### Owners/Associations:

Relation Mailing Address City State Zip Code KWIK TRIP INC LA CROSSE 1626 OAK ST Owner Wİ 54603

#### Districts:

Description Code Taxation District

2849 LA CROSSE SCHOOL Book 1 N 0033 La Crosse TIF 13 Ņ

#### **Additional Information**

Category Description

2012+ VOTING SUPERVISOR 2012+ Supervisor District 2

2012 + VOTING WARDS 2012+ Ward 3

POSTAL DISTRICT LACROSSE POSTAL DISTRICT 54603

Use WAREHSE/STOR

## Lottery Tax Information 0

Lottery Credits Claimed:

Lottery Credit Application Date:

0

La Crosse County Land Records Information (Ver: 2019,6,24,0)

Site Disclaimer

Outstanding Taxes

Assessments

Deeds

Parcel

Taxes

Permits

History

## **BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS**

## STANDARDS FOR AREA VARIANCE

secu effec	The proposed variance is not contrary to the public interest. The purpose ment of the ordinance and related statutes must be reviewed in order to ify the public interest. Variances must observe the spirit of the ordinance, re public safety and welfare and do substantial justice. In considering ts of a variance on public interests, broad community and even statewide ests should be examined; the public interest standard is not confined to iny of impacts on neighbors or residents in the vicinity of a project.
2. The property has a special or unique condition. The property must have unique or physical features which prevent compliance with the ordinance. The circumstances of an applicant, such as growing family or need for a larger garage, are not legitimate factors in meeting this standard. Property limitations that prevent ordinance compliance and that are not unique but common to a number of properties should be addressed by amendment of the ordinance.	
3.	The special condition of the property creates an unnecessary hardship:
	A. Unnecessary hardship means unnecessarily burdensome, considering the purpose of the ordinance.
	B. Unnecessary hardship may not be self-created. An applicant may not claim hardship because of conditions which are self-imposed. Examples include claiming hardship for a substandard lot after having sold off portions that would have allowed building in compliance and claiming hardship where construction was commenced without required permits in violation of ordinance standards.
	C. Financial hardship is not a deciding factor. Economic loss or financial hardship does not justify a variance.