Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/5/2023 **2022**

Influent Flow and Loading

- 1. Monthly Average Flows and BOD Loadings
- 1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and BOD loadings to your facility.

Influent No. 701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	х	Influent Monthly Average BOD Concentration mg/L	x	8.34	=	Influent Monthly Average BOD Loading, lbs/day
January	8.9112	Х	299	Х	8.34	=	22,184
February	9.1485	Х	261	Х	8.34	=	19,911
March	9.3286	Х	269	Х	8.34	=	20,931
April	9.3026	Х	255	Х	8.34	=	19,753
May	10.2306	Χ	224	Х	8.34	=	19,090
June	10.3421	Χ	228	Х	8.34	=	19,642
July	9.5071	Χ	231	Х	8.34	=	18,277
August	9.4659	Χ	234	Х	8.34	=	18,463
September	9.3420	Χ	937	Х	8.34	=	72,980
October	9.5053	Х	257	Х	8.34	=	20,412
November	8.7091	Х	264	Х	8.34	=	19,183
December	8.8250	Х	269	Х	8.34	=	19,780

- 2. Maximum Monthly Design Flow and Design BOD Loading
- 2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	х	%	=	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	20		90	=	18
		Х	100	=	20
Design BOD, lbs/day	29793	х	90	=	26813.7
		Х	100	=	29793

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

	Months of Influent	flow was greater	Number of times flow was greater than 100% of	BOD was greater	Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design
January	1	0	0	0	0
February	1	0	0	0	0
March	1	0	0	0	0
April	1	0	0	0	0
May	1	0	0	0	0
June	1	0	0	0	0
July	1	0	0	0	0
August	1	0	0	0	0
September	1	0	0	1	2
October	1	0	0	0	0
November	1	0	0	0	0
December	1	0	0	0	0
Points per ea	ach	2	1	3	2
Exceedances		es 0 0 1		1	1
Points		0	0	3	2
Total Numb	5				

No

If yes, describe the situation and your community's response.

La Crosse City Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/5/2023 2022 3. Flow Meter 3.1 Was the influent flow meter calibrated in the last year? Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY) Yes 2022-09-08 O No If No, please explain: 4. Sewer Use Ordinance 4.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited or prohibited the discharge of excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances to the sewer from industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences? Yes o No If No, please explain: 4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance? Yes O No If Yes, please explain: Metallics received a NOV & SNS for failure to report/sample Q1,Q2,& Q3 of 2022. 5. Septage Receiving 5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility? Septic Tanks Holding Tanks **Grease Traps** Yes Yes Yes O No O No \circ No 5.2 Did you receive septage at your facility? If yes, indicate volume in gallons. Septic Tanks Yes gallons 1,080,505 o No Holding Tanks Yes gallons 1,377,180 o No **Grease Traps** Yes 1,362,791 gallons o No 5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected when receiving any of these wastes. We sampled trucked waste at random on a quarterly basis to maintain baselines for those waste streams. We also require sampling for any new waste stream to be hauled in. The current grease receiving area is not the best and can cause some periodic operational issues; however, this will be resolved in the active facility upgrade process which is scheduled to be completed in 2024. 6. Pretreatment 6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns, or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to commercial or industrial discharges in the last year? Yes

La Crosse CityLast Updated: Reporting For:
5/5/2023 **2022**

6.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, etc.?

- Yes
- o No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

Leachate received from La Crosse County Solid Waste via the collection system. Received Metallics process waste via hauler.

Total Points Generated	5
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	95
Section Grade	Α

La Crosse CityLast Updated: Reporting For:
5/5/2023 **2022**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

- 1. Effluent (C)BOD Results
- 1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or CBOD

Outfall No.	Monthly	90% of	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit	90% Permit
001	Average	Permit Limit	Average (mg/L)	Discharge	Exceedance	Limit
	Limit (mg/L)	> 10 (mg/L)		with a Limit		Exceedance
January	25	22.5	5	1	0	0
February	25	22.5	6	1	0	0
March	25	22.5	5	1	0	0
April	25	22.5	6	1	0	0
May	25	22.5	5	1	0	0
June	25	22.5	5	1	0	0
July	25	22.5	5	1	0	0
August	25	22.5	5	1	0	0
September	25	22.5	5	1	0	0
October	25	22.5	5	1	0	0
November	25	22.5	4	1	0	0
December	25	22.5	4	1	0	0
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	<= 10		
Months of d	ischarge/yr			12		
Points per e	ach exceedanc		7	3		
Exceedance	S	0	0			
Points					0	0
Total numb	per of points					0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

$\overline{}$		1	N 4 I	\sim 1	• •	
۷.	ы	οw	Meter	(.ai	ınra	ation

2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

• Yes Enter la

Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

2022-09-08

o No

If No, please explain:

- 3. Treatment Problems
- 3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

We are under a major facility upgrade for Phosphorus control as well as other plant processes. The fact of construction causes some necessary and unavoidable alterations and hiccups in normal operations.

- 4. Other Monitoring and Limits
- 4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/5/2023 **2022**

Yes

O No

If Yes, please explain:

We experienced an exceedance on phosphorus & e-coli as a result of facility upgrade modifications in the activated sludge aeration basins. When we committed to E-coli sampling we didn't consider the fact of operating during construction so we took a hit on E-coli in June 2022. The plant was forced to run on half of the aeration basins which reduced efficiency and we suffered a large power outage on June 10th knocking out a number of PLC's and shut down the blowers, we struggled to restart the aeration system.

- 4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute or chronic whole effluent toxicity (WET) test?
- Yes
- No

If Yes, please explain:

- 4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?
- o Yes
- o No
- N/A

Please explain unless not applicable:

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/5/2023 **2022**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)

1. Effluent Total Suspended Solids Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for TSS:

Outfall No.	Monthly	90% of	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit	90% Permit		
001	Average	Permit Limit	Average (mg/L)	Discharge	Exceedance	Limit		
	Limit (mg/L)	>10 (mg/L)		with a Limit		Exceedance		
January	30	27	7	1	0	0		
February	30	27	8	1	0	0		
March	30	27	6	1	0	0		
April	30	27	8	1	0	0		
May	30	27	9	1	0	0		
June	30	27	14	1	0	0		
July	30	27	9	1	0	0		
August	30	27	8	1	0	0		
September	30	27	8	1	0	0		
October	30	27	13	1	0	0		
November	30	27	9	1	0	0	(
December	30	27	8	1	0	0		
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	<= 10				
Months of D	Months of Discharge/yr 12							
Points per	3							
Exceedance	Exceedances							
Points	oints 0							
Total Num	ber of Points		-			0		
	_		_	_	_		1	

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

La Crosse CityLast Updated: Reporting For:
5/5/2023 **2022**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia - NH3)

1. Effluent Ammonia Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly and weekly average effluent values, exceedances and points for ammonia

Outfall No.	Monthly	Weekly	Effluent	Monthly	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Weekly
001	Average	Average	Monthly	Permit	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Permit
	NH3	NH3	Average	Limit	Average	Average	Average	Average	Limit
	Limit	Limit	NH3	Exceed	for Week			for Week	
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	ance	1	2	3	4	ance
January	108		2.2	0					
February	108		12.171	0					
March	108		4.393	0					
April	108		14.098	0					
May	108		19.139	0					
June	108		14.207	0					
July	108		20.029	0					
August	108		18.001	0					
September	108		9.827	0					
October	108		16.236	0					
November	108		6.553	0					
December	108		.994	0					
Points per e	ach excee	dance of N	onthly av	erage:					10
Exceedances, Monthly:								0	
Points:								0	
Points per each exceedance of weekly average (when there is no monthly average):								2.5	
Exceedances, Weekly:								0	
Points:	Points:								0
Total Number of Points								0	

0

NOTE: Limit exceedances are considered for monthly OR weekly averages but not both. When a monthly average limit exists it will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. This will be true even if a weekly limit also exists. When a weekly average limit exists and a monthly limit does not exist, the weekly limit will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. 1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/5/2023 **2022**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)

- 1. Effluent Phosphorus Results
- 1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for Phosphorus

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit
	phosphorus Limit	Average phosphorus	Discharge with a	Exceedance
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	Limit	
January	1	0.368	1	0
February	1	0.544	1	0
March	1	0.389	1	0
April	1	0.818	1	0
May	1	0.524	1	0
June	1	1.303	1	1
July	1	0.619	1	0
August	1	0.531	1	0
September	1	0.510	1	0
October	1	1.106	1	1
November	1	0.520	1	0
December	1	0.372	1	0
Months of Discharg	-			
Points per each e	10			
Exceedances	2			
Total Number of	Points			20

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

The City of La Crosse WWTF is in the middle of a major WWTF upgrade to enhance phosphorus reduction and better manage Biosolids. We had been operating on 1/2 of our BNR system since April while attempting to say compliant. In June we violated our Phosphorus limit when we suffered a large power outage on June 10th knocking out a number of PLC's and shut down our blowers, we struggled to restart the aeration system.

Total Points Generated			
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	80		
Section Grade	С		

La Crosse CityLast Updated:Reporting For:5/5/20232022

Biosolids Quality and Management

Molybdenum

Nickel

Selenium

Zinc

Biosolids Use/Disposal 1.1 How did you use or dispose of your biosolids? (Check all that apply) □ Land applied under your permit □ Publicly Distributed Exceptional Quality Biosolids □ Hauled to another permitted facility □ Landfilled □ Incinerated □ Other NOTE: If you did not remove biosolids from your system, please describe your system type such as lagoons, reed beds, recirculating sand filters, etc. 1.1.1 If you checked Other, please describe:									
2. Land Application Site 2.1 Last Year's Approved and Active Land Application Sites 2.1.1 How many acres did you have? 6208.4 acres 2.1.2 How many acres did you use? 892.6 2.2 If you did not have enough acres for your land application needs, what action was taken? 2.3 Did you overapply nitrogen on any of your approved land application sites you used last year? • Yes (30 points) • No 2.4 Have all the sites you used last year for land application been soil tested in the previous 4 years? • Yes • No (10 points) • N/A)								
3. Biosolids Metals Number of biosolids outfalls in your WPDES permit: 3.1 For each outfall tested, verify the biosolids metal quality values for your facility during the last calendar year. Outfall No. 002 - CLASS B CAKE SLUDGE									
Parameter 80% H.Q. Ceiling Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec 80% High Ceiling Quality									
Arsenic 41 75 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0									
Cadmium 39 85 0 0 0 0 0 0 0									
Copper 1500 4300 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0									
Lead 300 840 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0									
Mercury 17 57 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0									

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/5/2023 **2022**

Outfall No	o. 00	3 - C	LASS	B LI	QUI	D SL	UDG	Ε										
Parameter	80% of Limit	H.Q. Limit	Ceiling Limit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic		41	75	3.33		5.25		<8.4		<4.9		<5.2		.581			0	0
Cadmium		39	85	1.79		1.72		.57		.75		.99		.779			0	0
Copper		1500	4300	635		526		550		680		820		774			0	0
Lead		300	840	17.2		18.5		12		16		21		16.5			0	0
Mercury		17	57	<.083		1.15		<1.45		<1.71		<1.73		.287			0	0
Molybdenum	60		75	20		15		13		22		31		25.3		0		0
Nickel	336		420	18.8		19.5		18		28		28		31		0		0
Selenium	80		100	2.92		<.335		<20		<12		<13		1.2		0		0
Zinc		2800	7500	841		576		600		730		880		848			0	0
Outfall No. 0:	10 - CI	LASS B	LIQUII) SLUI	OGE													
Parameter	80% of Limit	H.Q. Limit	Ceiling Limit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic		41	75	0		0		0		0		0		0			0	0
Cadmium		39	85	0		0		0		0		0		0			0	0
Copper		1500	4300	0		0		0		0		0		0			0	0
Lead		300	840	0		0		0		0		0		0			0	0
Mercury		17	57	0		0		0		0		0		0			0	0
Molybdenum	60		75	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Nickel	336		420	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Selenium	80		100	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Zinc		2800	7500	0		0		0		0		0		0			0	0

3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel, or selenium = 0

Exceedence Points

- 0 (0 Points)
- 1-2 (10 Points)
- \circ > 2 (15 Points)
- 3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loading at each land application site? (check applicable box)
- Yes
- No (10 points)
- N/A Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)
- N/A Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)
- 3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0 Exceedence Points
- 0 (0 Points)
- 0 1 (10 Points)
- $\circ > 1$ (15 Points)
- 3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit?
- Yes (20 Points)
- No (0 Points)
- 3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified?
- 4. Pathogen Control (per outfall):
- 4.1 Verify the following information. If any information is incorrect, use the Report Issue button under the Options header in the left-side menu.

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/5/2023 2022

	3/3/2023
Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	01/01/2022 - 02/28/2022
Density:	45,900
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is mixed and heated to 95 degrees in the anaerobic digested process.
Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	03/01/2022 - 04/30/2022
Density:	36,000
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is mixed and heated to 95 degrees in the anaerobic digested process.
Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	05/01/2022 - 06/30/2022
Density:	88,600
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is mixed and heated to 95 degrees in the anaerobic digested process.
Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	07/01/2022 - 08/31/2022
Density:	25,800
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is mixed and heated to 95 degrees in the
	anaerobic digested process.

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/5/2023 2022

Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	09/01/2022 - 10/31/2022
Density:	2,430
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is mixed and heated to 95 degrees in the anaerobic digested process.

Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	11/01/2022 - 12/31/2022
Density:	64,400
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is mixed and heated to 95 degrees in the anaerobic digested process.

Outfall Number:	010
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	01/01/2022 - 12/31/2022
Density:	1,000
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Process:	Aerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is heated to 95 degrees at all time and well mixed to meet vector attraction.

- 4.2 If exceeded Class B limit or did not meet the process criteria at the time of land application.
- 4.2.1 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application?Yes (40 Points)
- No

If yes, what action was taken?

5. Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfall):

5.1 Verify the following information. If any of the information is incorrect, use the Report Issue button under the Options header in the left-side menu.

(

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/5/2023 2022

Outfall Number:	003
Method Date:	01/04/2022
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	45.8
Outfall Number:	003
Method Date:	05/02/2023
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	48.2
Outfall Number:	003
Method Date:	003
	07/05/2022
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	42.4
Outfall Number:	003
Method Date:	09/06/2022
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	50.7
Outfall Number:	003
Method Date:	11/01/2022
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	48.6

Last Updated: Reporting For: **La Crosse City** 5/5/2023 2022

Outfall Number:	010	
Method Date:	12/31/2022	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	No	
Limit (if applicable):	>=38	
Results (if applicable):	45]
		,
Outfall Number:	010	_
Method Date:	03/01/2022	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction	0
Requirement Met:	Yes]
Land Applied:	Yes	
Limit (if applicable):	>=38	
	46.4	7 1

ir yes, what action was taken?

- 6. Biosolids Storage
- 6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site?

- >= 180 days (0 Points)
- 150 179 days (10 Points)
- 0 120 149 days (20 Points)
- 90 119 days (30 Points)
- 0 < 90 days (40 Points)</p>
- O N/A (0 Points)
- 6.2 If you checked N/A above, explain why.
- 7. Issues
- 7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overall management:

Total Points Generated			
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100		
Section Grade	Α		

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/5/2023 2022

Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)

1. Plant Staffing	
1.1 Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year?	
• Yes	
O No	
If No, please explain:	
Could use more help/staff for:	
1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and	
fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping?	
• Yes	
o No	
If No, please explain:	
Preventative Maintenance	
2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on	
major equipment items?	
Yes (Continue with question 2) □□	
○ No (40 points)□□	
If No, please explain, then go to question 3:	
2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication,	
and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?	
• Yes	0
○ No (10 points)	
2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and	
filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?	
• Yes	
Paper file system	
o Computer system	
Both paper and computer system	
O No (10 points)	
3. O&M Manual	
3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used	
as a reference when needed?	
• Yes	
○ No	
4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs	
4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.O Excellent	
o Very good	
● Good	
o Fair	
o Poor	
Describe your rating:	
, -	-

La Crosse CityLast Updated: Reporting For:
5/5/2023 **2022**

The La Crosse WWTP is an older facility and we continue to upgrade to ensure reliability. We are in the process of a major upgrade which started in March of 2021 which will touch most of the WWTP over several years. Included will be Biosolids management by installing a biosolids heat dryer and storage silo, low level Phosphorus compliance through the addition of disc filters, and dewatering equipment to gain capacity within our digestion process which will give us the ability to handle more solids. We will also add gas collection and a methane engine for energy production with the goal of being energy neutral.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/5/2023 **2022**

Operator Certification and Education

perato.	Certification and Educa	Cion				
1.1 Did y	0 points) RED R GREENO	n-charge during the	report year?			0
2.1 In accand subc	ation Requirements cordance with Chapter NR 114.5 lass(es) were required for the op t plant and what level and subcl	erator-in-charge (O	IC) to operat	e the waste	water	
Sub	SubClass Description	WWTP		OIC		
Class		Advanced	OIT	Basic	Advanced	
A1	Suspended Growth Processes	X			X	
A2	Attached Growth Processes					
A3	Recirculating Media Filters					
A4	Ponds, Lagoons and Natural					
A5	Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid					
В	Solids Separation	Х			X	0
С	Biological Solids/Sludges	Х			X	
Р	Total Phosphorus	Х			X	
N	Total Nitrogen					
D	Disinfection	Х			X	
L	Laboratory	Х			X	
U	Unique Treatment Systems					
SS	Sanitary Sewage Collection	X	NA	Х	NA	
plant? (N ● Yes (0	the operator-in-charge certified a ote: Certification in subclass SS points) 0 points)				perate this	
3.1 In the to ensure of the following One of the following An arrow An operation And Control None	sion Planning e event of the loss of your design the continued proper operation lowing options (check all that ap or more additional certified opera rangement with another certified rangement with another communerator on staff who has an opera tified within one year sultant to serve as your certified of the above (20 points) of the above" is selected, please	and maintenance of ply)? Itors on staff I operator nity with a certified of tor-in-training certif operator	the plant th	at includes o	one or more	o
						1

- 4. Continuing Education Credits
- 4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning Continuing Education Credits at the following rates?

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/5/2023 2022

OIT and Basic Certification:

• Averaging 6 or more CECs per year.

• Averaging less than 6 CECs per year.

Advanced Certification:

- Averaging 8 or more CECs per year.
- Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/5/2023 2022

_	nan	CIDI	Management
	Hall	cıaı	Manadelliell

1. Provider of Financial Information Name:	
Jared Greeno	
Telephone: 608-789-7322 (XXX) XXX-XXXX	
E-Mail Address (optional):	
greenoja@cityoflacrosse.org	
2. Treatment Works Operating Revenues 2.1 Are User Charges or other revenues sufficient to cover O&M expenses for your wastewater treatment plant AND/OR collection system ? ● Yes (0 points) □□ ○ No (40 points) If No, please explain:	
2.2 When was the User Charge System or other revenue source(s) last reviewed and/or revised?]
Year: 2022	o
0-2 years ago (0 points) □□	
o 3 or more years ago (20 points)□□	
o N/A (private facility)	
 2.3 Did you have a special account (e.g., CWFP required segregated Replacement Fund, etc.) or financial resources available for repairing or replacing equipment for your wastewater treatment plant and/or collection system? Yes (0 points) 	
O No (40 points)	
REPLACEMENT FUNDS [PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHALL COMPLETE QUESTION 3]	_
 Equipment Replacement Funds When was the Equipment Replacement Fund last reviewed and/or revised? Year: 2022 	
■ 1-2 years ago (0 points) □□	
o 3 or more years ago (20 points)□□	
o N/A If N/A, please explain:	
ir NyA, piease explain.	٦
3.2 Equipment Replacement Fund Activity	_
3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR \$ 1,841,954.58	
3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase	
making up previous shortfall, etc.) 3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance \$ 1,841,954.58	
3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee,	
earned interest, etc.) + \$ 0.00	

La Crosse City	Last Updated:	Reporting For	
	5/5/2023	2022	

3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment	
replacement, major repairs - use description box	
3.2.6.1 below*)	

\$ 0.00

3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year

1,841,954.58

All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.

3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major repairs from 3.2.5 above.

3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund?

1,666,125.72

Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally based on the Financial Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as needed. Further calculation instructions and an example can be found by clicking the SectionInstructions link under Info header in the left-side menu.

- 3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund above, (#3.2.6) equal to, or greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)?
- Yes

O No

If No, please explain.

- 4. Future Planning
- 4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for upgrading, rehabilitating, or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system?
- Yes If Yes, please provide major project information, if not already listed below. □□
 No

Project #	Project Description		Approximate Construction Year
1	Sanitary lift station electrical and control upgrades	\$733,500	2022
2	Repair/rehab sanitary sewer collection system	\$365,000	2023
3	Sanitary lift station rehabilitation	\$1,370,000	2022
4	Treatment plant facility upgrades for low level phosphorus removal, biosolids management, methane production/energy capture. 3 year project.	\$62,000,000	2021
5	Repair/rehab sanitary sewer collection system	\$1,370,000	2022
6	Sanitary lift station rehabilitation	\$100,000	2024
7	Sanitary forcemain rehabilitation	\$700,000	2024

5. Financial Management General Comments

ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND USE

- 6. Collection System
- 6.1 Energy Usage
- 6.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

COLLECTION SYSTEM PUMPAGE: Total Power Consumed

Number of Municipally Owned Pump/Lift Stations: 26

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/5/2023 2022

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	74,601	1,144
February	72,505	1,195
March	67,985	953
April	58,970	612
May	60,675	307
June	65,366	48
July	58,540	36
August	59,706	33
September	58,212	41
October	50,967	60
November	53,354	180
December	68,432	729
Total	749,313	5,338
Average	62,443	445

Average	02,443	443	
			_
6.1.2 Comme	ents:		
C 2 F D -	lated Duraness and Fau		
	lated Processes and Equ		t stations (Check all that apply):
	tion or Screening	as utilized at your purip/illi	t stations (Check all that apply).
	Shaft Pumps		
	ering and Recording		
☑ Flow Mete ☑ Pneumati			
SCADA S			
⊠ Self-Prim	•		
	Speed Drives		
☐ Other:	speed Drives		
U Other:			
6.2.2 Comme	ents:		
	ergy Study been perform	ned for your pump/lift stati	ons?
• No			
o Yes			
Year:			
By Whom:			
Describe an	d Comment:		

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/5/2023 **2022**

- 6.4 Future Energy Related Equipment
- 6.4.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your pump/lift stations?

Energy efficient equipment is considered when planning projects or replacements.

- 7. Treatment Facility
- 7.1 Energy Usage
- 7.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

TREATMENT PLANT: Total Power Consumed/Month

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Total Influent Flow (MG)	Electricity Consumed/ Flow (kWh/MG)	Total Influent BOD (1000 lbs)	Electricity Consumed/ Total Influent BOD (kWh/1000lbs)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	502,760	276.25	1,820	687.70	731	9,916
February	427,200	256.16	1,668	557.51	766	10,105
March	428,200	289.19	1,481	648.86	660	6,070
April	426,760	279.08	1,529	592.59	720	5,783
May	390,400	317.15	1,231	591.79	660	1,951
June	423,600	310.26	1,365	589.26	719	540
July	418,800	294.72	1,421	566.59	739	380
August	375,960	293.44	1,281	572.35	657	358
September	381,120	280.26	1,360	2,189.40	174	583
October	363,880	294.66	1,235	632.77	575	2,370
November	378,000	261.27	1,447	575.49	657	6,040
December	473,400	273.58	1,730	613.18	772	10,404
Total	4,990,080	3,426.02		8,817.49		54,500
Average	415,840	285.50	1,464	734.79	653	4,542

	Co			

7.2 Energy Related Processes a	ina Equipment		
7.2.1 Indicate equipment and	practices utilized at your	r treatment facility ((Check all that apply):

- ☐ Aerobic Digestion
- ☑ Biological Phosphorus Removal
- ☐ Coarse Bubble Diffusers
- ☑ Dissolved O2 Monitoring and Aeration Control
- □ Effluent Pumping
- ☑ Influent Pumping

- ☐ Other:

La Crosse City	5/5/2023 2022
7.2.2 Comments:	
7.2.2 comments.	
7.3 Future Energy Related Equipment	
7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have platreatment facility?	anned for the future for your
Enhanced methane gas production to use as sustainable energy reupgrades to the BNR system.	eplacement. Energy efficiency
8. Biogas Generation	
8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility?○ No● Yes	
If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): $oxtimes$ Flared Off	
☐ Building Heat☑ Process Heat☐ Generate Electricity	
Other:	
9. Energy Efficiency Study	
9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility ● No	v?
○ Yes☐ Entire facilityYear:	
By Whom:	
Describe and Comment:	
☐ Part of the facility	
Year:	
By Whom: Describe and Comment:	

La Crosse City	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
	5/5/2023	2022

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

La Crosse CityLast Updated:Reporting For:5/5/20232022

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems

 Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) Program Do you have a CMOM program that is being implemented?
• Yes
o No
If No, explain:
1.2 Do you have a CMOM program that contains all the applicable components and items
according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 210.23 (4)?
• Yes
o No (30 points)
o N/A
If No or N/A, explain:
1.3 Does your CMOM program contain the following components and items? (check the
components and items that apply)
☑ Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)]
Describe the major goals you had for your collection system last year:
Goal to clean 33% of the collection system annually.
Did you accomplish them? O Yes
• No
If No, explain:
Due to staff shortages we cleaned 28.73% of the collection system.
☑ Organization [NR 210.23 (4) (b)]□□ Does this chapter of your CMOM include:
☑ Organizational structure and positions (eg. organizational chart and position descriptions)
□ Person(s) responsible for reporting overflow events to the department and the public
□ Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4) (c)]
What is the legally binding document that regulates the use of your sewer system?
Sewer Use Ordinance
If you have a Sewer Use Ordinance or other similar document, when was it last reviewed and
revised? (MM/DD/YYYY) 2017-07-07
Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document address the following: Private property inflow and infiltration
☑ New sewer and building sewer design, construction, installation, testing and inspection
□ Rehabilitated sewer and lift station installation, testing and inspection
Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are monitored and controlled, as
necessary
☐ Fat, oil and grease control
☐ Enforcement procedures for sewer use non-compliance
☑ Operation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)]
Does your operation and maintenance program and equipment include the following:
☐ Equipment and replacement part inventories
☐ Up-to-date sewer system map
△A management system (computer database and/or file system) for collection system
information for O&M activities, investigation and rehabilitation

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/5/2023 2022

 ☑ Capacity assessment ☑ Basement back asses ☑ Regular O&M training ☑ Design and Performance What standards and proceed the sewer collection system property? 	program sment and correction e Provisions [NR 210.2 edures are established em, including building DNR NR 110 Standar	tenance activities (see question 2 below) 23 (4) (e)] d for the design, construction, and inspection of sewers and interceptor sewers on private ds and/or local Municipal Code Requirements	
Utilers.			
☐ L Dverflow Emergency Re	esponse Plan [NR 210.	23 (4) (f)1□□	
Does your emergency res	sponse capability inclu	de:	0
⊠ Responsible personne	·	edures	U
☒ Response order, timir☒ Public notification pro	•		
☐ Training	tocois		
	protocols and implem	entation procedures	
☐ Special Studies Last Yea	ar (check only those t	hat apply):	
\square Infiltration/Inflow (I/I	•		
☐ Sewer System Evalua		PL (979.P)	
☐ Sewer Evaluation and		Plan (SECAP)	
☐ Lift Station Evaluation☐ Others:	гкерогі		
Others:			
2. Operation and Maintenar			
		aintenance program include the following	
Cleaning	28.7	and indicate the amount maintained. % of system/year	
Root removal	1.22	% of system/year	
Flow monitoring	0	% of system/year	
Smoke testing		% of system/year	
Sewer line	<u> </u>	io or eyecem, year	
televising	2.73	% of system/year	
Manhole			
inspections	28.73	% of system/year	
Lift station O&M	104	# per L.S./year	
Manhole			
rehabilitation	1.03	% of manholes rehabbed	
Mainline rehabilitation	.84	% of sewer lines rehabbed	
Private sewer			
inspections	0	% of system/year	
Private sewer I/I removal	0	% of private services	

La Crosse City

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/5/2023 2022

Riv	er or water			
	rossings 0 % of pipe crossings evaluated or maintained			
P T	Please include additional comments about your sanitary sewer collection system below:			<i>N</i> :
	Performance Indicato			
3. <u>1</u>		ng collection system and flow information fo Total actual amount of precipitation last year		
F		Annual average precipitation (for your location)		
F		filles of sanitary sewer	011)	
F		Number of lift stations		
F		Number of lift stations		
F		Number of sewer pipe failures		
F		Number of basement backup occurrences		
F		Number of complaints		
F		Average daily flow in MGD (if available)		
F		Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available)		
F		Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available)		
3 2 L				
J. <u>2</u>	3.2 Performance ratios for the past year: 0.00 Lift station failures (failures/year)			
Ī	0.00 Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/yr)			
Ī	0.00 Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile/yr)			
Ī	0.06 Basement backups (number/sewer mile)			
Ī	0.21 Complaints (number/sewer mile)			
Ī	1.1 F	Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly:Annual D	aily Avg)	
F	2.7 F	Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly:Annual Da	ily Avg)	
4. (Overflows			
	LIST OF SANITARY S	EWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TR	O) OVERFLOWS REI	PORTED **
	Date	Location	Cause	Estimated Volume
	6/2/2022 5:30:00 PM - 6/2/2022 7:30:00 PM	404 Prospect St, La Crosse WI 54601	Broken Sewer, Broken Sewer	50
**]	f there were any SSOs or	TFOs that are not listed above, please contact the DNI	R and stop work on this s	ection until
	ected.	are underway, to reduce or eliminate SSO or TFO occur	rancas in the future?	
vvilc	at actions were taken, or a	are underway, to reduce or eliminate 550 or TFO occur	ences in the future?	

5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I)

5.1 Was infiltration/inflow (I/I) significant in your community last year?

This is small 2" pipe, the pipe section was replaced with new.

o Yes

No

If Yes, please describe:

5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or created problems in your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year?

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/5/2023 **2022**

_	
\sim	VAC
$\overline{}$	153

No

If Yes, please describe:

5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous years:

We replaced and/or lined 8,999 feet of sanitary sewer in 2022.

5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection system?

We line and replace sewer mains where ground water is an issue and rehab the manholes. We also conduct flow monitoring when necessary to identify areas to focus our efforts for reducing I&I.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/5/2023 2022

Grading Summary

WPDES No: 0029581

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS
Influent	A	4	3	12
BOD/CBOD	A	4	10	40
TSS	A	4	5	20
Ammonia	A	4	5	20
Phosphorus	С	2	3	6
Biosolids	А	4	5	20
Staffing/PM	Α	4	1	4
OpCert	Α	4	1	4
Financial	Α	4	1	4
Collection	A	4	3	12
TOTALS	•		37	142
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 3.84				

Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

La Crosse City	Last Updated: 5/5/2023	Reporting 2022	
Resolution or Owner's Statement			
Name of Governing Body or Owner: City of La Crosse			
Date of Resolution or Action Taken: 2023-06-08			
Resolution Number:			
Date of Submittal:			
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELAT SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or I Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = A		C CMAR	
Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade = A			
Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = A			
Effluent Quality: Ammonia: Grade = A			
Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade = C			
Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade = A			
Staffing: Grade = A			
Operator Certification: Grade = A			
Financial Management: Grade = A			
Collection Systems: Grade = A (Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems if SSOs v Prospect St. SSO- This was small 2" pipe that broke in a grass area, the and the pipe section was replaced with new.		ned up	
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATED GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS (Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less G.P.A. = 3.84		RALL	