

Board of Review

Findings of Fact, Determinations and Decision

1 Property Identii	FICATION A	ND FINDIN	IG OF FACT	
January 1, 2023	Assessment Value: \$23,252,000			
Land: \$8,476,500	Improvements	s: \$14,775,500	Total: \$23,252,000	
Hearing Date: 07/07/2023		Time: 12:00 p	o.m.	
Objector Received written confirmation	on of the Hearing I			Yes: ⊠ No: □
Both Objector and Assessor waived 4	(OR) earing:		Yes: □ No: □	
{Note: Taxpayer 1	nust have filed w	ritten objection bet	fore or at Board of Review	}
Check one of the following:				
☑ Timely notice of "Intent to File an Chours prior to first full session of Board		wided by objector to	Clerk (either in writing or o	rally) at least 48
		(<u>OR)</u>		
☐ Waiver was granted by Board of Re	view for:			
☐ Good Cause <u>or</u> ☐ Extraordinary Circumstance	es			
Board Members Present: Fred Giese, Dan Ryan (Chair), Mich	nael Brown, Sue I	Dillenbeck, Kenna (Christians	
Board Members Removed (if any): None				
Board Counsel Present:				

Property Owner/Objector's Attorney or Representative: Todd Shebesta, Ryan LLC (Agent) Board Members with certified training (must have at least one): Michael Brown TESTIMONY				
The following individuals were sworn as witnesses by the Board of Review Clerk (include Property Owner/Objector, or his/her representative, if testifying, and Assessor): Todd Shebesa, Steve Shepro				
2.1 Property Owner/Objector:				
Sworn Testimony by Property Owner/Objector Todd Shebesta included:				
a) A recent sale of the subject property: If yes: The subject property was sold for \$5/2022 (Date of Sale: 13,200,000.00)	⊠ Yes □ No			
b) Recent sales of comparable properties: If yes: A total number of 14 Other properties were presented. Addresses of other properties: See attached – all outside of the City of La Crosse	⊠ Yes □ No			
 c) Other factors or reasons (if presented): If yes: List of summary factors or reasons presented by property owner/objector (if evidence presented on side – list corroboration of that evidence): 	☐ Yes ☒ No ely available to one			
Sworn Testimony on behalf of Property Owner/Objector was presented by the following other Witnesses (if any):				
Summary of testimony of other witnesses for objector (if any):				
2.2 ASSESSOR Sworn Testimony by Assessor Steve Shepro included:				
a) Estimated level of assessment for the current year is 100%				
b) A recent sale of the subject property: If yes: The subject property was sold for \$5/2022 (Date of Sale: \$13,200,000.00)	⊠ Yes □ No			
c) Recent sales of comparable properties: If yes: A total number of 3 Other properties were presented. Addresses of other properties: The Har Mall in Roseville, MN, Algonquin Commons Mall in Algonquin, IL, and Westgate	⊠ Yes □ No Mall in			
Madison, WI				

☐ Yes ☐ No

Sworn Testimony on behalf of the assessor was presented by:

If yes: List of summary factors or reasons presented by Assessor:

d) Other factors or reasons (if presented):

Summary of testimony of other witnesses for assessor (if any):

3 DETERMINATIONS

1.	The ass	sessor's estimated level of assessment1 of the municipality has been determined to	be 100%		
2.	The Bo	pard of Review finds that there was a recent sale of the subject property:	⊠ Yes □ No		
	a)	The sale was an arm's-length transaction	☐ Yes ☒ No		
	b)	The sale was representative of the value as of January 1	☑ Yes □ No		
	c)	The Board finds that the sale supports the assessment	☐ Yes ☒ No		
	d)	If all answers are 'yes':			
		 i. What is the sale price? \$ ii. What if any adjustments, based on the evidence presented, should be m as time between the date of sale and the January 1 assessment date, non selling price (ag-use value and fractionally assessed classes), and/or othe occurred to the property between the sale date and the January 1 assessment date. 	market class value in the physical changes that		
		iii. What is the full market value? \$			
If resp	bonses in 2	2 thru 2c were "yes", upon completion of the section, proceed to section 4, Decision, check all that value.	t apply and determine the assessed		
3.		oard of Review finds that there are recent sales of comparable properties: **nswer the following:**	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Property Owner:					
	a)	Did the property owner present testimony of recent sales of comparable property	ties in the market area:		
	b)	If yes, were the attributes satisfactorily adjusted for their differences from the subvalue?	ject and their contribution to ☐ Yes ☐ No		
	<u>As</u>	sessor:			
	c)	Did the Assessor present testimony of recent sales of comparable properties in t	he market area: Yes No		
	d)	If yes, were the attributes satisfactorily adjusted for their differences from the subvalue?	ject and their contribution to ☐ Yes ☐ No		
	<u>Co</u>	onclusion:			
	e)	LIST THE PROPERTIES AND VALUES THAT THE BOARD OF REVIEW ITS DETERMINATION AS TO FAIR MARKET VALUE:	W RELIES ON TO MAKE		
4.	The Bo	oard of Review finds that the assessment should be based on other factors: If yes, list the other factors that the Board of Review relies on to make its determinable:	☐ Yes ☐ No ination as to fair market		
	b)	What was the most credible evidence presented:			

¹ The relationship between the assessed value and the equalized value of non-manufacturing property minus corrections for prior year over or under charges within a municipality--town, city, or village. For example, if the assessed value of all property subject to property tax in the municipality is \$2,700,000 and the equalized value (with no prior corrections) in the municipality is \$3,000,000 then the assessment level is said to be 90% (\$2,700,000/\$3,000,000 = .90 or 90%).

4 DECISION

Motion must be made and seconded.

1. Moves: Exercising its judgment and discretion, pursuant to §70.47(9)(a) of Wis. Statutes, the Board of Review majority and roll call vote hereby determines: Seconds (mark all that apply):				
	☐ that the Assessor's valuation is correct;			
	☐ that the Assessor presented evidence of the fair market value of the subject property using assessment methods which conform to the statutory requirements and which are outlined in the <u>Wisconsin Property Assessment Manual</u> ;			
	☐ that the Assessor presented evidence of the proper classification of the subject property using assessment methods which conform to the statutory requirements and which are outlined in the <u>Wisconsin Property Assessment Manual</u> ;			
	\Box that the proper use values were applied to the agricultural land;			
	\Box that the proper fractional assessments were applied to undeveloped land and agricultural forest land classifications;			
☐ that the property owner did not present sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption of correctnes granted by law to the Assessor;				
	☐ that the Assessor's valuation is reasonable in light of all the relevant evidence;			
	\square and sustains the same valuation as set by the Assessor;			
	\Box (in certain cases), It is not relevant to present assessments of other properties as a basis for the market value of the appeal property.			
	<u>OR</u>			
1. Brown Moves: Exercising its judgment and discretion, pursuant to §70.47(9)(a) of Wis. Statutes, the Board of Reby majority and roll call vote hereby determines: Ryan Seconds (mark all that apply):				
	☑ that the Assessor's valuation is incorrect;			
☑ that the property owner has presented sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption of correctness by law to the Assessor;				
	☑ that the property owner valuation is reasonable in light of the relevant evidence;			
	☐ that the fair market value of the property is:			
	Land: \$			
	Improvements: \$ Total: \$			
	☑ that the level of assessment of the municipality is at \$11,500,000. and hereby sets the new assessment at			
	Land: \$8,476,500 Improvements: \$3,023,500 Total: \$11,500,000			

Board of Review voted as follows:			
Name of Board of Review Member:	Yes:	<u>No:</u>	
Fred Giese		\boxtimes	
Dan Ryan	\boxtimes		
Michael Brown	\boxtimes		
Sue Dillenbeck	\boxtimes		
Kenna Christians	\boxtimes		

I, Nikki M. Elsen, Clerk of the Board of Review, do herby certify that the members of the

to adopt these Findings of Fact, Determinations and Decision on this 7th day of July, 2023.

Nikki M. Elsen

Clerk of Board of Review

Notice of Board of Review Determination

Under state law (sec. 70.47(12), Wis. Stats.), your property assessment for the current year 20____ as finalized by the Board of Review (BOR) is listed below.

Property Owner	General Information
	Date issued Parcel no. Address Legal description
	☐ Town ☐ Village ☐ City Municipality

Assessment Information				
20 Original Assessment		20 Final Assessment (determined by BOR)		
Land	\$	Land	\$	
Improvements	\$	Improvements	\$	
Personal property	\$	Personal property	\$	
Personal property	\$	Personal property	\$	
Personal property	\$	Personal property	\$	
Total personal property	\$	Total personal property	\$	
Total all property	\$	Total all property	\$	

Appeal Information

If you are not satisfied with the BOR's decision, there are appeal options available. Note: Each appeal option has filing requirements. For more information on the appeal process, review the Guide for Property Owners.

Appeal to:

Department of Revenue (DOR) – must file within 20 days after receipt of the BOR's determination notice or within 30 days after the date specified on the affidavit if there is no return receipt. A \$100 filing fee is required. It is not available for properties with an assessed value over \$1 million or properties located in first-class cities (Milwaukee). DOR may revalue the property any time before November 1 of the assessment year or within 60 days after receiving the appeal, whichever is later. If adjusted, the value is substituted for the original value and taxes paid accordingly. (sec. 70.85, Wis. Stats.)

Circuit Court - Action for Certiorari – must file within 90 days after receiving the determination notice. The Court decides based on the written record from the BOR. You cannot submit new evidence. (sec. 70.47(13), Wis. Stats.)

Municipality - Excessive Assessment – must first appeal to the BOR and have not appealed the BOR's decision to Circuit Court or to DOR. You cannot claim an excessive assessment under sec. 74.37, Wis. Stats., unless the tax is timely paid. A claim under section 74.37 must be filed with the municipality by January 31 of the year the tax is payable.

PR-302 (R. 10-22) Wisconsin Department of Revenue