Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026 Reporting For: **2025**

Influent Flow and Loading

1. Monthly Average Flows and BOD Loadings

1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and BOD loadings to your facility.

Influent No. 701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	x	Influent Monthly Average BOD Concentration mg/L	x	8.34	=	Influent Monthly Average BOD Loading, lbs/day
January	10.5129	x	594	x	8.34	=	52,072
February	11.3904	x	550	x	8.34	=	52,208
March	10.2355	x	540	x	8.34	=	46,078
April	11.1567	x	643	x	8.34	=	59,817
May	11.2453	x	467	x	8.34	=	43,761
June	10.0443	x	437	x	8.34	=	36,584
July	9.8078	x	357	x	8.34	=	29,168
August	10.1449	x	483	x	8.34	=	40,858
September	10.2872	x	514	x	8.34	=	44,085
October	9.9848	x	595	x	8.34	=	49,521
November	9.3895	x	481	x	8.34	=	37,698
December	8.6614	x	464	x	8.34	=	33,486

2. Maximum Monthly Design Flow and Design BOD Loading

2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	x	%	=	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	20	x	90	=	18
		x	100	=	20
Design BOD, lbs/day	29793	x	90	=	26813.7
		x	100	=	29793

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

	Months of Influent	Number of times flow was greater than 90% of	Number of times flow was greater than 100% of	Number of times BOD was greater than 90% of design	Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design
January	1	0	0	1	2
February	1	0	0	1	2
March	1	0	0	1	2
April	1	0	0	1	2
May	1	0	0	1	2
June	1	0	0	1	2
July	1	0	0	1	0
August	1	0	0	1	2
September	1	0	0	1	2
October	1	0	0	1	2
November	1	0	0	1	2
December	1	0	0	1	2
Points per each		2	1	3	2
Exceedances		0	0	12	11
Points		0	0	36	22
Total Number of Points					**58**

58

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026 Reporting For: **2025**

3. Flow Meter

3.1 Was the influent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

● Yes Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY): 2025-09-24

○ No

If No, please explain:

4. Sewer Use Ordinance

4.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited or prohibited the discharge of excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances to the sewer from industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences?

● Yes

○ No

If No, please explain:

4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance?

● Yes

○ No

If Yes, please explain:

Reporting from 1 commercial Industry.

FOG from 3 commercial industries.

Debris from 1 correctional facility.

5. Septage Receiving

5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility?

Septic Tanks	Holding Tanks	Grease Traps
● Yes	● Yes	● Yes
○ No	○ No	○ No

5.2 Did you receive septage at your facility? If yes, indicate volume in gallons.

Septic Tanks

● Yes 1,291,185 gallons

○ No

Holding Tanks

● Yes 1,915,526 gallons

○ No

Grease Traps

● Yes 1,550,245 gallons

○ No

5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected when receiving any of these wastes.

We sampled trucked waste at random on a quarterly basis to maintain baselines for those waste streams. We also require sampling for any new waste stream to be hauled in. We now have a new grease receiving station to minimize former operational issues.

6. Pretreatment

6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns, or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to commercial or industrial discharges in the last year?

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026

Reporting For: **2025**

○ Yes
● No

If yes, describe the situation and your community's response.

6.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, etc.?

● Yes
○ No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

Leachate received from La Crosse County Landfill Solid Waste via the collection system. We receive a waste profile from this facility.

Total Points Generated	58
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	42
Section Grade	**F**

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026 Reporting For: **2025**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

1. Effluent (C)BOD Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or CBOD

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit > 10 (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance
January	25	22.5	6	1	0	0
February	25	22.5	5	1	0	0
March	25	22.5	4	1	0	0
April	25	22.5	5	1	0	0
May	25	22.5	8	1	0	0
June	25	22.5	2	1	0	0
July	25	22.5	3	1	0	0
August	25	22.5	2	1	0	0
September	25	22.5	2	1	0	0
October	25	22.5	1	1	0	0
November	25	22.5	0	1	0	0
December	25	22.5	0	1	0	0
* Equals limit if limit is <= 10						
Months of discharge/yr				12		
Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge					7	3
Exceedances					0	0
Points					0	0
Total number of points						**0**

0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

2. Flow Meter Calibration

2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

● Yes Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

2025-09-24

○ No

If No, please explain:

3. Treatment Problems

3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

We experienced some unavoidable operational disruptions due to learning and optimizing equipment installed in the facility upgrade.

4. Other Monitoring and Limits

4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?

○ Yes

● No

If Yes, please explain:

4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute or chronic whole effluent toxicity (WET) test?

○ Yes

● No

If Yes, please explain:

4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?

○ Yes

○ No

● N/A

Please explain unless not applicable:

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	**A**

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026

Reporting For: **2025**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)

1. Effluent Total Suspended Solids Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for TSS:

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit >10 (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance	
January	30	27	9	1	0	0	
February	30	27	15	1	0	0	
March	30	27	8	1	0	0	
April	30	27	9	1	0	0	
May	30	27	14	1	0	0	
June	30	27	5	1	0	0	
July	30	27	6	1	0	0	
August	30	27	5	1	0	0	
September	30	27	5	1	0	0	
October	30	27	4	1	0	0	
November	30	27	3	1	0	0	**0**
December	30	27	5	1	0	0	
* Equals limit if limit is <= 10							
Months of Discharge/yr				12			
Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge:					**7**	**3**	
Exceedances					0	0	
Points					0	0	
Total Number of Points						**0**	

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	**A**

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026

Reporting For: **2025**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia - NH3)

1. Effluent Ammonia Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly and weekly average effluent values, exceedances and points for ammonia

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average NH3 Limit (mg/L)	Weekly Average NH3 Limit (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average NH3 (mg/L)	Monthly Permit Limit Exceedance	Effluent Weekly Average for Week 1	Effluent Weekly Average for Week 2	Effluent Weekly Average for Week 3	Effluent Weekly Average for Week 4	Weekly Permit Limit Exceedance
January	108	108	1.5	0	2.16		1.1	1.19	0
February	108	108	5.448	0	1.03	.97	19.32	.47	0
March	108	108	.215	0	.04	.29	.03	.5	0
April	108	108	6.498	0	15.25	.18		1.62	0
May	108	108	20.332	0		3.11	30.04	41.91	0
June	108	108	11.863	0	16.09	15.12	11.25	4.99	0
July	108	108	3.31	0	.71	.85	3.81	7.87	0
August	108	108	1.683	0	3.81	.12	1.89	.91	0
September	108	108	7.696	0	1.93	26.8	4.64	2.555	0
October	108	108	9.858	0	4.67	5	7.72		0
November	108	108	7.933	0	16.38	11.39	3.12	.84	0
December	108	108	9.034	0	8.315		25.04	1.75	0

Points per each exceedance of Monthly average:	10
Exceedances, Monthly:	0
Points:	0
Points per each exceedance of weekly average (when there is no monthly average):	2.5
Exceedances, Weekly:	0
Points:	0
Total Number of Points	**0**

0

NOTE: Limit exceedances are considered for monthly OR weekly averages but not both. When a monthly average limit exists it will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. This will be true even if a weekly limit also exists. When a weekly average limit exists and a monthly limit does not exist, the weekly limit will be used to determine exceedances and generate points.

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	**A**

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026 Reporting For: **2025**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)

1. Effluent Phosphorus Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for Phosphorus

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average phosphorus Limit (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average phosphorus (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance
January	.3	0.732	1	1
February	.3	0.665	1	1
March	.3	0.350	1	1
April	.3	0.394	1	1
May	.3	0.896	1	1
June	.3	0.112	1	0
July	.3	0.139	1	0
August	.3	0.112	1	0
September	.3	0.096	1	0
October	.3	0.059	1	0
November	.3	0.069	1	0
December	.3	0.092	1	0
Months of Discharge/yr			12	
Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge:				**10**
Exceedances				5
Total Number of Points				**50**

50

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Disc Filter startup for effluent compliance was delayed by contractor schedule until January 2025 and optimization was delayed until May 2025. These issues were due to trying to dial in the new effluent disc filters. Vendor assistance was weak and it took some time for our staff to figure out the best operational methods.

Total Points Generated	50
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	50
Section Grade	**F**

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026

Reporting For: **2025**

Biosolids Quality and Management

1. Biosolids Use/Disposal

1.1 How did you use or dispose of your biosolids? (Check all that apply)

- ☒ Land applied under your permit
- ☐ Publicly Distributed Exceptional Quality Biosolids
- ☒ Hauled to another permitted facility
- ☒ Landfilled
- ☐ Incinerated
- ☐ Other

NOTE: If you did not remove biosolids from your system, please describe your system type such as lagoons, reed beds, recirculating sand filters, etc.

1.1.1 If you checked Other, please describe:

2. Land Application Site

2.1 Last Year's Approved and Active Land Application Sites

2.1.1 How many acres did you have?

6046.3 acres

2.1.2 How many acres did you use?

1545.8 acres

2.2 If you did not have enough acres for your land application needs, what action was taken?

2.3 Did you overapply nitrogen on any of your approved land application sites you used last year? **0**

- ○ Yes (30 points)
- ● No

2.4 Have all the sites you used last year for land application been soil tested in the previous 4 years?

- ● Yes
- ○ No (10 points)
- ○ N/A

3. Biosolids Metals

Number of biosolids outfalls in your WPDES permit:

3.1 For each outfall tested, verify the biosolids metal quality values for your facility during the last calendar year.

Outfall No. 002 - CLASS B CAKE SLUDGE

Parameter	80% of Limit	H.Q. Limit	Ceiling Limit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic		41	75	0		<2.51		0		0		0		0			0	0
Cadmium		39	85	0		.651		0		0		0		0			0	0
Copper		1500	4300	0		537		0		0		0		0			0	0
Lead		300	840	0		17.1		0		0		0		0			0	0
Mercury		17	57	0		<.522		0		0		0		0			0	0
Molybdenum	60		75	0		7.24		0		0		0		0		0		0
Nickel	336		420	0		28.1		0		0		0		0		0		0
Selenium	80		100	0		<2.48		0		0		0		0		0		0
Zinc		2800	7500	0		540		0		0		0		0			0	0

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026 Reporting For: **2025**

Outfall No. 003 - CLASS B LIQUID SLUDGE

Parameter	80% of Limit	H.Q. Limit	Ceiling Limit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic		41	75	<14.4		<5.43		<3.37		<4.92		6.02		<3.37			0	0
Cadmium		39	85	<.665		.48		.659		.266		.39		.659			0	0
Copper		1500	4300	768		665		708		636		663		708			0	0
Lead		300	840	12.9		10.7		13.7		14		14.5		13.7			0	0
Mercury		17	57	<2.44		<1.85		<1.15		<1.67		2.05		<1.15			0	0
Molybdenum	60		75	21.7		14.1		15.7		11.9		13.9		15.7		0		0
Nickel	336		420	24.8		27.2		31.5		31.8		40.1		31.5		0		0
Selenium	80		100	<14.2		<5.36		<3.33		<4.86		5.95		<3.33		0		0
Zinc		2800	7500	789		727		646		645		705		646			0	0

Outfall No. 010 - CLASS B LIQUID SLUDGE

Parameter	80% of Limit	H.Q. Limit	Ceiling Limit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic		41	75	0		0		0		0		0		0			0	0
Cadmium		39	85	0		0		0		0		0		0			0	0
Copper		1500	4300	0		0		0		0		0		0			0	0
Lead		300	840	0		0		0		0		0		0			0	0
Mercury		17	57	0		0		0		0		0		0			0	0
Molybdenum	60		75	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Nickel	336		420	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Selenium	80		100	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0
Zinc		2800	7500	0		0		0		0		0		0			0	0

0

3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel, or selenium = 0

Exceedence Points

- ● 0 (0 Points)
- ○ 1-2 (10 Points)
- ○ > 2 (15 Points)

3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loading at each land application site? (check applicable box)

- ○ Yes
- ○ No (10 points)
- ● N/A - Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)
- ○ N/A - Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)

3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0

Exceedence Points

- ● 0 (0 Points)
- ○ 1 (10 Points)
- ○ > 1 (15 Points)

3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit?

- ○ Yes (20 Points)
- ● No (0 Points)

3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified?

4. Pathogen Control (per outfall):

4.1 Verify the following information. If any information is incorrect, use the Report Issue button under the Options header in the left-side menu.

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026

Reporting For: **2025**

Outfall Number:	**002**
Biosolids Class:	B
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	03/01/2025 - 04/30/2025
Density:	119,000
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Geometric Mean of 7 discreet samples of cake sludge from storage bldg. for fecal coliform testing. Sludge origination is same as outfall 003 except it is dewatered through belt feed press.

Outfall Number:	**003**
Biosolids Class:	B
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	01/01/2025 - 02/28/2025
Density:	3,090
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is heated to 95 degrees at all times and well mixed to meet vector attraction.

Outfall Number:	**003**
Biosolids Class:	B
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	01/01/2025 - 12/31/2025
Density:	2
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is heated to 95 degrees at all times and well mixed to meet vector attraction

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026

Reporting For: **2025**

Outfall Number:	**003**
Biosolids Class:	B
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	03/01/2025 - 04/30/2025
Density:	47,600
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Geometric Mean of 7 days of fecal coliform testing, Digested sludge is heated to 95 degrees for >20 days.

Outfall Number:	**003**
Biosolids Class:	B
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	05/01/2025 - 06/30/2025
Density:	47,600
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is heated to 95 degrees at all times and well mixed to meet vector attraction.

Outfall Number:	**003**
Biosolids Class:	B
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	07/01/2025 - 08/31/2025
Density:	403
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is heated to 95 degrees at all times and well mixed to meet vector attraction.

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026 Reporting For: **2025**

Outfall Number:	**003**
Biosolids Class:	B
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	09/01/2025 - 10/31/2025
Density:	1,353
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is heated to 95 degrees at all times and well mixed to meet vector attraction

Outfall Number:	**003**
Biosolids Class:	B
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	11/01/2025 - 12/31/2025
Density:	1,353
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is heated to 95 degrees at all times and well mixed to meet vector attraction.

0

4.2 If exceeded Class B limit or did not meet the process criteria at the time of land application.

4.2.1 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application?

○ Yes (40 Points)

● No

If yes, what action was taken?

5. Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfall):

5.1 Verify the following information. If any of the information is incorrect, use the Report Issue button under the Options header in the left-side menu.

Outfall Number:	**002**
Method Date:	03/26/2025
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	60.7

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026

Reporting For: **2025**

Outfall Number:	**003**
Method Date:	01/31/2025
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	60.5

Outfall Number:	**003**
Method Date:	04/01/2025
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	59.6

Outfall Number:	**003**
Method Date:	03/04/2025
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	60.8

Outfall Number:	**003**
Method Date:	06/01/2025
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	60.4

Outfall Number:	**003**
Method Date:	07/08/2025
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	62.4

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026 Reporting For: **2025**

Outfall Number:	**003**
Method Date:	09/09/2025
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	61.3

Outfall Number:	**003**
Method Date:	11/10/2025
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	60.4

0

5.2 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application?

- ○ Yes (40 Points)
- ● No

If yes, what action was taken?

6. Biosolids Storage

6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site?

- ● >= 180 days (0 Points)
- ○ 150 - 179 days (10 Points)
- ○ 120 - 149 days (20 Points)
- ○ 90 - 119 days (30 Points)
- ○ < 90 days (40 Points)
- ○ N/A (0 Points)

0

6.2 If you checked N/A above, explain why.

7. Issues

7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overall management:

We are still working with vendors and outside consultants to resolve odor control equipment concerns.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	**A**

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026

Reporting For: **2025**

Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)

1. Plant Staffing

1.1 Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year?

- ● Yes
- ○ No

If No, please explain:

Could use more help/staff for:

1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping?

- ● Yes
- ○ No

If No, please explain:

2. Preventative Maintenance

2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items?

- ● Yes (Continue with question 2) ☐☐
- ○ No (40 points)☐☐

If No, please explain, then go to question 3:

2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?

- ● Yes
- ○ No (10 points)

2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?

- ● Yes
  - ○ Paper file system
  - ○ Computer system
  - ● Both paper and computer system
- ○ No (10 points)

0

3. O&M Manual

3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed?

- ● Yes
- ○ No

4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs

4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.

- ○ Excellent
- ● Very good
- ○ Good
- ○ Fair
- ○ Poor

Describe your rating:

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026

Reporting For: **2025**

The La Crosse WWTP is an older facility but we have a significant amount of new and upgraded equipment from the facility project. We also added an additional

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	**A**

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026 Reporting For: **2025**

Operator Certification and Education

1. Operator-In-Charge

1.1 Did you have a designated operator-in-charge during the report year?

- ● Yes (0 points)
- ○ No (20 points)

Name: JARED R GREENO

Certification No: 31667

0

2. Certification Requirements

2.1 In accordance with Chapter NR 114.56 and 114.57, Wisconsin Administrative Code, what level and subclass(es) were required for the operator-in-charge (OIC) to operate the wastewater treatment plant and what level and subclass(es) were held by the operator-in-charge?

Sub Class	SubClass Description	WWTP	OIC		
		Advanced	OIT	Basic	Advanced
A1	Suspended Growth Processes	X			X
A2	Attached Growth Processes				
A3	Recirculating Media Filters				
A4	Ponds, Lagoons and Natural				
A5	Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid				
B	Solids Separation	X			X
C	Biological Solids/Sludges	X			X
P	Total Phosphorus	X			X
N	Total Nitrogen				
D	Disinfection	X			X
L	Laboratory	X			X
U	Unique Treatment Systems				
SS	Sanitary Sewage Collection	X	NA	X	NA

2.2 Was the operator-in-charge certified at the appropriate level and subclass(es) to operate this plant? (Note: Certification in subclass SS is required 5 years after permit reissuance.)

- ● Yes (0 points)
- ○ No (20 points)

2.3 For wastewater treatment facilities with a registered or certified laboratory, is at least one operator that works in the laboratory certified at the basic level in the laboratory (L) subclass?

- ● Yes
- ○ No
- ○ N/A – Wastewater treatment facility does not have a registered or certified laboratory

2.4 For wastewater treatment facilities that own and operate a sanitary sewage collection system, has at least one operator been designated the OIC for sanitary sewage collection system and certified at the basic level in the sanitary sewage collection system (SS) subclass?

- ● Yes
- ○ No
- ○ N/A – Owner of the Wastewater treatment facility does not own and operate a sanitary sewage collection system

0

3. Succession Planning

3.1 In the event of the loss of your designated operator-in-charge, did you have a contingency plan to ensure the continued proper operation and maintenance of the plant that includes one or more of the following options (check all that apply)?

- ☒ One or more additional certified operators on staff

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026

Reporting For: **2025**

☐ An arrangement with another certified operator ☐ An arrangement with another community with a certified operator ☐ An operator on staff who has an operator-in-training certificate for your plant and is expected to be certified within one year ☐ A consultant to serve as your certified operator ☐ None of the above (20 points) If "None of the above" is selected, please explain:	**0**
4. Continuing Education Credits 4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning Continuing Education Credits at the following rates? OIT and Basic Certification: ○ Averaging 6 or more CECs per year. ○ Averaging less than 6 CECs per year. Advanced Certification: ● Averaging 8 or more CECs per year. ○ Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.	

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	**A**

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026 Reporting For: **2025**

Financial Management

1. Provider of Financial Information

Name: Jared Greeno

Telephone: 608-789-7322 (XXX) XXX-XXXX

E-Mail Address (optional): greenoja@cityoflacrosse.org

2. Treatment Works Operating Revenues

2.1 Are User Charges or other revenues sufficient to cover O&M expenses for your wastewater treatment plant AND/OR collection system ?

- ● Yes (0 points) ☐☐
- ○ No (40 points)

If No, please explain:

2.2 When was the User Charge System or other revenue source(s) last reviewed and/or revised?

Year: 2025

- ● 0-2 years ago (0 points) ☐☐
- ○ 3 or more years ago (20 points)☐☐
- ○ N/A (private facility)

2.3 Did you have a special account (e.g., CWFP required segregated Replacement Fund, etc.) or financial resources available for repairing or replacing equipment for your wastewater treatment plant and/or collection system?

- ● Yes (0 points)
- ○ No (40 points)

0

REPLACEMENT FUNDS [PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHALL COMPLETE QUESTION 3]

3. Equipment Replacement Funds

3.1 When was the Equipment Replacement Fund last reviewed and/or revised?

Year: 2025

- ● 1-2 years ago (0 points)☐☐
- ○ 3 or more years ago (20 points)☐☐
- ○ N/A

If N/A, please explain:

3.2 Equipment Replacement Fund Activity

3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR		$ 2,416,954.58
3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.)		$ 0.00
3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance		$ 2,416,954.58
3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee, earned interest, etc.)	+	$ 575,000.00

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026 Reporting For: **2025**

3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box 3.2.6.1 below*) - $ 0.00

3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year $ 2,991,954.58

All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.

3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major repairs from 3.2.5 above.

3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund? $ 2,991,954.58 **0**

Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally based on the Financial Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as needed. Further calculation instructions and an example can be found by clicking the SectionInstructions link under Info header in the left-side menu.

3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund above, (#3.2.6) equal to, or greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)?

- ● Yes
- ○ No

If No, please explain.

4. Future Planning

4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for upgrading, rehabilitating, or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system?

- ● Yes - If Yes, please provide major project information, if not already listed below.☐☐
- ○ No

Project #	Project Description	Estimated Cost	Approximate Construction Year
1	Sanitary lift station rehabilitation	$1,200,000	2026
2	Repair/rehab sanitary sewer collection system	$500,000	2025
3	Sanitary lift station rehabilitation	$710,000	2026
4	Repair/rehab sanitary sewer collection system	$500,000	2026
5	Treatment plant facility, add an additional ferric chloride storage tank.	$350,000	2027
6	Repair/rehab sanitary sewer collection system	$1,370,000	2025
7	Sanitary lift station rehabilitation	$100,000	2027
8	Sanitary lift station electrical and control upgrades	$800,000	2027
9	Sanitary lift station electrical and control upgrades	$800,000	2028
10	Wastewater Treatment Facility-Replace UV system	$7,500,000	2027
11	Repair/rehab sanitary sewer collection system	$500,000	2028
12	Sanitary Sewer Utility Casting and Manhole Replacement	$100,000	2025
13	Sanitary Sewer Utility Casting and Manhole Replacement	$100,000	2026
14	Sanitary Sewer Utility Casting and Manhole Replacement	$100,000	2027
15	Sanitary Sewer Utility Casting and Manhole Replacement	$100,000	2028
16	Force Main Locating & Condition Assessment	$300,000	2025
17	Repair/rehab sanitary sewer collection system	$500,000	2027

5. Financial Management General Comments

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026 Reporting For: **2025**

ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND USE

6. Collection System

6.1 Energy Usage

6.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

COLLECTION SYSTEM PUMPAGE: Total Power Consumed

Number of Municipally Owned Pump/Lift Stations: 27

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	71,535	1,126
February	80,704	1,297
March	61,728	911
April	61,388	424
May	48,874	172
June	45,718	94
July	69,739	36
August	42,740	28
September	104,915	42
October	44,438	32
November	47,734	314
December	68,939	1,064
Total	**748,452**	**5,540**
Average	**62,371**	**462**

6.1.2 Comments:

6.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment

6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that apply):

- ☐ Comminution or Screening
- ☒ Extended Shaft Pumps
- ☒ Flow Metering and Recording
- ☒ Pneumatic Pumping
- ☒ SCADA System
- ☒ Self-Priming Pumps
- ☒ Submersible Pumps
- ☒ Variable Speed Drives
- ☐ Other:

6.2.2 Comments:

6.3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations?

- ● No
- ○ Yes

Year:

By Whom:

Describe and Comment:

6.4 Future Energy Related Equipment

6.4.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your pump/lift stations?

Energy efficient pumps, controls, and vfd's at appropriate sites.

7. Treatment Facility

7.1 Energy Usage

7.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

TREATMENT PLANT: Total Power Consumed/Month

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Total Influent Flow (MG)	Electricity Consumed/ Flow (kWh/MG)	Total Influent BOD (1000 lbs)	Electricity Consumed/ Total Influent BOD (kWh/1000lbs)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	553,581	325.90	1,699	1,614.23	343	19,310
February	459,133	318.93	1,440	1,461.82	314	34,115
March	596,785	317.30	1,881	1,428.42	418	19,066
April	551,860	334.70	1,649	1,794.51	308	32,617
May	673,992	348.60	1,933	1,356.59	497	14,006
June	782,502	301.33	2,597	1,097.52	713	21,169
July	538,537	304.04	1,771	904.21	596	2,249
August	644,014	314.49	2,048	1,266.60	508	6,973
September	555,608	308.62	1,800	1,322.55	420	11,071
October	588,973	309.53	1,903	1,535.15	384	4,279
November	508,712	281.69	1,806	1,130.94	450	5,594
December	569,929	268.50	2,123	1,038.07	549	24,545
Total	**7,023,626**	**3,733.63**		**15,950.61**		**194,994**
Average	**585,302**	**311.14**	**1,888**	**1,329.22**	**458**	**16,250**

7.1.2 Comments:

7.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment

7.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your treatment facility (Check all that apply):

- ☐ Aerobic Digestion
- ☒ Anaerobic Digestion
- ☒ Biological Phosphorus Removal
- ☐ Coarse Bubble Diffusers
- ☒ Dissolved O2 Monitoring and Aeration Control
- ☒ Effluent Pumping

☒ Fine Bubble Diffusers
☒ Influent Pumping
☒ Mechanical Sludge Processing
☒ Nitrification
☒ SCADA System
☒ UV Disinfection
☒ Variable Speed Drives
☐ Other:

7.2.2 Comments:

7.3 Future Energy Related Equipment

7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your treatment facility?

Utilizing enhanced methane gas production to run boilers and a co-gen engine to produce our own electricity.

8. Biogas Generation

8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility?

○ No
● Yes

If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply):

☒ Flared Off
☒ Building Heat
☒ Process Heat
☒ Generate Electricity
☐ Other:

9. Energy Efficiency Study

9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility?

● No
○ Yes

☐ Entire facility

Year:

By Whom:

Describe and Comment:

☐ Part of the facility

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026

Reporting For: **2025**

Year:

By Whom:

Describe and Comment:

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	**A**

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026

Reporting For: **2025**

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems

1. Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) Program

1.1 Do you have a CMOM program that is being implemented?

- ● Yes
- ○ No

If No, explain:

1.2 Do you have a CMOM program that contains all the applicable components and items according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 210.23 (4)?

- ● Yes
- ○ No (30 points)
- ○ N/A

If No or N/A, explain:

1.3 Does your CMOM program contain the following components and items? (check the components and items that apply)

☒ Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)]

Describe the major goals you had for your collection system last year:

To clean 33% of the collection system annually.

Did you accomplish them?

- ● Yes
- ○ No

If No, explain:

☒ Organization [NR 210.23 (4) (b)]☐☐

Does this chapter of your CMOM include:

- ☒ Organizational structure and positions (eg. organizational chart and position descriptions)
- ☒ Internal and external lines of communication responsibilities
- ☒ Person(s) responsible for reporting overflow events to the department and the public

☒ Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4) (c)]

What is the legally binding document that regulates the use of your sewer system?

Sewer Use Ordinance

If you have a Sewer Use Ordinance or other similar document, when was it last reviewed and revised? (MM/DD/YYYY) 2024-02-08

Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document address the following:

- ☒ Private property inflow and infiltration
- ☒ New sewer and building sewer design, construction, installation, testing and inspection
- ☒ Rehabilitated sewer and lift station installation, testing and inspection
- ☒ Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are monitored and controlled, as necessary
- ☒ Fat, oil and grease control
- ☒ Enforcement procedures for sewer use non-compliance

☒ Operation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)]

Does your operation and maintenance program and equipment include the following:

- ☒ Equipment and replacement part inventories
- ☒ Up-to-date sewer system map
- ☒ A management system (computer database and/or file system) for collection system information for O&M activities, investigation and rehabilitation

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026

Reporting For: **2025**

☒ A description of routine operation and maintenance activities (see question 2 below)
☒ Capacity assessment program
☒ Basement back assessment and correction
☒ Regular O&M training

☒ Design and Performance Provisions [NR 210.23 (4) (e)]☐☐

What standards and procedures are established for the design, construction, and inspection of the sewer collection system, including building sewers and interceptor sewers on private property?

☒ State Plumbing Code, DNR NR 110 Standards and/or local Municipal Code Requirements
☒ Construction, Inspection, and Testing
☐ Others:

☒ Overflow Emergency Response Plan [NR 210.23 (4) (f)]☐☐

Does your emergency response capability include:

☒ Responsible personnel communication procedures
☒ Response order, timing and clean-up
☒ Public notification protocols
☒ Training
☒ Emergency operation protocols and implementation procedures

☒ Annual Self-Auditing of your CMOM Program [NR 210.23 (5)]☐☐

☐ Special Studies Last Year (check only those that apply):

☐ Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis
☐ Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES)
☐ Sewer Evaluation and Capacity Managment Plan (SECAP)
☐ Lift Station Evaluation Report
☐ Others:

0

2. Operation and Maintenance

2.1 Did your sanitary sewer collection system maintenance program include the following maintenance activities? Complete all that apply and indicate the amount maintained.

Cleaning	43.21	% of system/year
Root removal	2.3	% of system/year
Flow monitoring	0	% of system/year
Smoke testing	0	% of system/year
Sewer line televising	11.97	% of system/year
Manhole inspections	43.21	% of system/year
Lift station O&M	104	# per L.S./year
Manhole rehabilitation	2.23	% of manholes rehabbed
Mainline rehabilitation	1.78	% of sewer lines rehabbed
Private sewer inspections	0	% of system/year
Private sewer I/I removal	0	% of private services

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026 Reporting For: **2025**

River or water crossings: 30.62 % of pipe crossings evaluated or maintained

Please include additional comments about your sanitary sewer collection system below:

3. Performance Indicators

3.1 Provide the following collection system and flow information for the past year.

33.43	Total actual amount of precipitation last year in inches
35.23	Annual average precipitation (for your location)
200.66	Miles of sanitary sewer
27	Number of lift stations
1	Number of lift station failures
1	Number of sewer pipe failures
13	Number of basement backup occurrences
53	Number of complaints
9.91	Average daily flow in MGD (if available)
11.25	Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available)
45	Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available)

3.2 Performance ratios for the past year:

0.04	Lift station failures (failures/year)
0.00	Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/yr)
0.00	Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile/yr)
0.06	Basement backups (number/sewer mile)
0.26	Complaints (number/sewer mile)
1.1	Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly:Annual Daily Avg)
4.5	Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly:Annual Daily Avg)

4. Overflows

LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OVERFLOWS REPORTED **				
	Date	Location	Cause	Estimated Volume
None reported				

** If there were any SSOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please contact the DNR and stop work on this section until corrected.

5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I)

5.1 Was infiltration/inflow (I/I) significant in your community last year?

○ Yes

● No

If Yes, please describe:

5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or created problems in your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year?

○ Yes

● No

If Yes, please describe:

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026

Reporting For: **2025**

5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous years:

Some I & I has been reduced due to pipe replacement and lining as needed.

5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection system?

We line or replace sewer mains where ground water is an issue and rehab the manholes. We also conduct flow monitoring when necessary to identify areas to focus our effort for reducing I & I.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	**A**

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026

Reporting For: **2025**

Grading Summary

WPDES No: 0029581

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS
Influent	F	0	3	0
BOD/CBOD	A	4	10	40
TSS	A	4	5	20
Ammonia	A	4	5	20
Phosphorus	F	0	3	0
Biosolids	A	4	5	20
Staffing/PM	A	4	1	4
OpCert	A	4	1	4
Financial	A	4	1	4
Collection	A	4	3	12
TOTALS			**37**	**124**
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 3.35				

Notes:
A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)
B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)
C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)
D = Action Range (Response Required)
F = Action Range (Response Required)

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026

Reporting For: **2025**

Resolution or Owner's Statement

Name of Governing Body or Owner: City of La Crosse

Date of Resolution or Action Taken:

Resolution Number: 26-0495

Date of Submittal:

ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO SPECIFIC CMAR SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F):

Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = F

Due to the plant upgrade and accommodation of new headworks screening equipment, the influent composite sampler was relocated to collect the most representative influent sample. Note this BOD limit/result is based on 1 sample taken/month, CBOD reported daily is withing range. The BOD with multiple sampling locations to compare is higher thus affecting the BOD design limit even though we meet permit limits leaving the WWTP. Although we met our permit "sample point designation", we expected this increase in BOD/TSS loading to happen as the sample point is now ahead of screening equipment. The existing primary sampler downstream of this influent sampler has remained consistent which leads us to believe the screening process is removing a large portion of BOD/TSS loading and not actually going through the facility. We met with our Basin Engineer about this situation. We are working with a consultant to re-rate the facility.

Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade = A

Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = A

Effluent Quality: Ammonia: Grade = A

Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade = F

The scheduled completion date for the WWTP upgrade to meet low level phosphorus compliance was due May 2024. Due to COVID product delays the project was delayed almost a full year and start up of effluent disc filters didn't occur until December 2024. Once startup happened it took some time figuring out operations and chemistry of the new system. Vendor assistance was weak and it took time to dial in the chemistry and biology of the new system, once our staff figured out the complex filtration system we were able to meet the low level phosphorus permit limit.

Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade = A

Staffing: Grade = A

Operator Certification: Grade = A

Financial Management: Grade = A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

La Crosse City

Last Updated: 5/6/2026

Reporting For: **2025**

Collection Systems: Grade = A
(Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems if SSOs were reported)

ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO THE OVERALL GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS
(Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less than 3.00)
G.P.A. = 3.35