Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/4/2017 2016

Influent Flow and Loading

1. Monthly Average Flows and (C)BOD Loadings

1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and (C)BOD loadings to your facility.

Outfall No. 701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	x	Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Concentration mg/L	x	8.34	=	Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Loading, lbs/day
January	9.8600	Х	352	Х	8.34	=	28,980
February	9.7224	Х	325	Х	8.34	=	26,322
March	10.1313	Х	312	Х	8.34	=	26,379
April	10.1791	Х	322	х	8.34	=	27,301
May	9.6775	Х	292	х	8.34	=	23,580
June	10.3985	Х	295	Х	8.34	=	25,618
July	10.7111	Х	283	Х	8.34	=	25,260
August	10.9644	Х	269	Х	8.34	=	24,637
September	11.6841	Х	245	Х	8.34	=	23,900
October	10.6840	Х	248	Х	8.34	=	22,081
November	9.3225	Х	288	х	8.34	=	22,413
December	9.3489	Х	303	х	8.34	=	23,663

2. Maximum Monthly Design Flow and Design (C)BOD Loading

2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	Х	%	=	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	20	Х	90	=	18
		X	100	=	20
Design (C)BOD, lbs/day	29793	Х	90	=	26813.7
		Х	100	=	29793

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and (C)BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

	0	0	2 6	0
	0	0	2	0
ch	2	1	3	2
1	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	0
1	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	0
No. of the second			than 90% of design	
2000			TABLE TO THE PROPERTY OF THE P	Number of times
	of Influent 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	of Influent flow was greater than 90% of 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	of Influent flow was greater than 90% of flow was greater than 100% of 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0	Influent than 90% of than 100% of than 90% of design 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0

3. Flow Meter 3.1 Was the influent flow meter calibrated in the last year? ◆ Yes Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY) 10/18/2016 ○ No If No, please explain: 4. Sewer Use Ordinance 4.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited or prohibited the discharge of excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances to the sewer from industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences? ◆ Yes ○ No If No, please explain: 4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance? ◆ Yes ○ No If Yes, please explain: We continue to work with/enforce restaurants the do not maintain grease traps properly and cause grease build up in sewer mains Some industries that violate permit require enforcement. 5. Septage Receiving 5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility? Septic Tanks ● Yes ○ No ○ No ○ No ○ No ○ No S.2 Did you receive septage at your facility? If yes, indicate volume in gallons. Septic Tanks ● Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ No Holding Tanks ● Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ No Sorase Traps ● Yes ○ No Sorase Traps ● Yes ○ No Grease Traps ● Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ No Grease Traps ● Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ No Grease Traps ● Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ No Grease Traps ● Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ No Grease Traps ● Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ No Grease Traps ● Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○ No Grease Traps ● Yes ○ No Fretreatment 6. Pretreatment 6.	La Crosse City	Last Updated 5/4/2017	: Reporting Fo
4. Sewer Use Ordinance 4.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited or prohibited the discharge of excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances to the sewer from industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences? • Yes • No If No, please explain: 4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance? • Yes • No If Yes, please explain: We continue to work with/enforce restaurants the do not maintain grease traps properly and cause grease build up in sewer mains. Some industries that violate permit require enforcement. 5. Septage Receiving 5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility? Septic Tanks • Yes • Yes • No • O	3.1 Was the influent flow meter ◆ Yes Enter last control 10/18/2016	alibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)	
4.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited or prohibited the discharge of excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances to the sewer from industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences? • Yes • No If No, please explain: 4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance? • Yes • No If Yes, please explain: We continue to work with/enforce restaurants the do not maintain grease traps properly and cause grease build up in sewer mains Some industries that violate permit require enforcement. 5. Septage Receiving 5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility? Septic Tanks • Yes • Yes • Yes • Yes • Yes • Yes • No • No 5.2 Did you receive septage at your facility? If yes, indicate volume in gallons. Septic Tanks • Yes	A200974.1		
4.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited or prohibited the discharge of excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances to the sewer from industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences? • Yes • No If No, please explain: 4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance? • Yes • No If Yes, please explain: We continue to work with/enforce restaurants the do not maintain grease traps properly and cause grease build up in sewer mains Some industries that violate permit require enforcement. 5. Septage Receiving 5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility? Septic Tanks • Yes • Yes • Yes • Yes • No • No • No • No 5.2 Did you receive septage at your facility? If yes, indicate volume in gallons. Septic Tanks • Yes •			
Yes No If Yes, please explain: We continue to work with/enforce restaurants the do not maintain grease traps properly and cause grease build up in sewer mains Some industries that violate permit require enforcement. 5. Septage Receiving 5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility? Septic Tanks Holding Tanks Grease Traps Yes No No No No No Septic Tanks Yes No No Septic Tanks Yes No No Grease Traps Yes Septic Tanks Yes Septic Tanks Yes Sullings Yes Septic Tanks Yes No Grease Traps Yes Septic Tanks Yes Sullings Yes Septic Tanks Yes No Grease Traps Yes Septic Tanks Yes No Grease Traps Yes Septic Tanks Yes No Grease Traps Yes Septic Tanks Yes No No Grease Traps Yes Septic Tanks Yes	 4.1 Did your community have a sexcessive conventional pollutants industries, commercial users, ha Yes No 	s ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances to the sewer fr	rge of om
cause grease build up in sewer mains Some industries that violate permit require enforcement. 5. Septage Receiving 5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility? Septic Tanks Holding Tanks Grease Traps • Yes • Yes • Yes • No • No • No 5.2 Did you receive septage at your facility? If yes, indicate volume in gallons. Septic Tanks • Yes • 522,805 gallons • No Holding Tanks • Yes 998,020 gallons • No Grease Traps • Yes 998,020 gallons • No 5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected when receiving any of these wastes. We sample and determine strength of waste before excepting to determine plant performance based strength of waste stream. We do have some changes excepting grease trap waste by can handle and manage grease trap waste @ WWTP. 6. Pretreatment 6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns, or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to	YesNoIf Yes, please explain:		
5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility? Septic Tanks Holding Tanks Grease Traps • Yes • Yes • Yes o No o No o No 5.2 Did you receive septage at your facility? If yes, indicate volume in gallons. Septic Tanks • Yes 522,805 gallons o No Holding Tanks • Yes 998,020 gallons o No Grease Traps • Yes 416,049 gallons o No 5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected when receiving any of these wastes. We sample and determine strength of waste before excepting to determine plant performance based strength of waste stream. We do have some changes excepting grease trap waste by can handle and manage grease trap waste @ WWTP. 6. Pretreatment 6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns, or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to	We continue to work with/enfo cause grease build up in sewe	orce restaurants the do not maintain grease traps properl r mains Some industries that violate permit require enfo	y and orcement.
O No O No O No 5.2 Did you receive septage at your faclity? If yes, indicate volume in gallons. Septic Tanks Yes 522,805 gallons No Holding Tanks Yes 998,020 gallons No Grease Traps Yes 416,049 gallons No S.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected when receiving any of these wastes. We sample and determine strength of waste before excepting to determine plant performance based strength of waste stream. We do have some changes excepting grease trap waste by can handle and manage grease trap waste @ WWTP. 6. Pretreatment 6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns, or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to	5.1 Did you have requests to rec		
5.2 Did you receive septage at your faclity? If yes, indicate volume in gallons. Septic Tanks Yes Septic Tanks Yes Septic Tanks Yes Septic Tanks Sep	• Yes • Yes	• Yes	
Septic Tanks Yes Yes Septic Tanks Yes Septic Tanks S	O No O No	o No	
Holding Tanks Yes 998,020 gallons No Grease Traps Yes 16,049 gallons No 5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected when receiving any of these wastes. We sample and determine strength of waste before excepting to determine plant performance based strength of waste stream. We do have some changes excepting grease trap waste by can handle and manage grease trap waste @ WWTP. 6. Pretreatment 6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns, or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to	Septic Tanks • Yes 522,805		
 Yes 416,049 gallons No 5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected when receiving any of these wastes. We sample and determine strength of waste before excepting to determine plant performance based strength of waste stream. We do have some changes excepting grease trap waste by can handle and manage grease trap waste @ WWTP. Pretreatment Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns, or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to 	Holding Tanks • Yes • No	gallons	t
 O No 5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected when receiving any of these wastes. We sample and determine strength of waste before excepting to determine plant performance based strength of waste stream. We do have some changes excepting grease trap waste by can handle and manage grease trap waste @ WWTP. 6. Pretreatment 6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns, or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to 		gallons	
based strength of waste stream. We do have some changes excepting grease trap waste by can handle and manage grease trap waste @ WWTP. 6. Pretreatment 6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns, or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to	5.2.1 If yes to any of the above,	please explain if plant performance is affected when rece	eiving
6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns, or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to	based strength of waste stream	. We do have some changes excepting grease trap waste	nance by can
 Yes No If yes, describe the situation and your community's response. 	 6.1 Did your facility experience or or hazardous situations in the sew commercial or industrial discharge o Yes No 	ver system or treatment plant that were attributable to es in the last year?	oncerns,

La Crosse City

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/4/2017

2016

6.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, etc.?

- Yes
- o No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

La Crosse WWTP still accepts Industrial waste. The pretreatment program regulates this type of waste and each industry has a pretreatment permit. Through the permitting process with each user gives industries guidance. We use DNR guidance with these waste streams.

Total Points Generated	6
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	94
Section Grade	Α

La Crosse City

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/4/2017

2016

0

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

1. Effluent (C)BOD Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or **CBOD**

Outfall Na	Manablalia	000/ 6	=50		I	
Outfall No.	Monthly	90% of	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit	90% Permit
001	Average	Permit Limit	Average (mg/L)	Discharge	Exceedance	Limit
	Limit (mg/L)	> 10 (mg/L)		with a Limit		Exceedance
January	25	22.5	5	1	0	0
February	25	22.5	4	1	0	0
March	25	22.5	5	1	0	0
April	25	22.5	6	1	0	0
May	25	22.5	5	1	0	0
June	25	22.5	4	1	0	0
July	25	22.5	4	1	0	0
August	25	22.5	5	1	-0	0
September	25	22.5	4	1	0	0
October	25	22.5	3	1	0	0
November	25	22.5	4	1	0	0
December	25	22.5	5	1	0	0
		* Equ	als limit if limit is	<= 10	1	
Months of di	scharge/yr					
Points per ea	ach exceedance	7	3			
exceedances					0	0
Points						
Total numb	er of points	_				0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

-				- 1			
2.	FIO	۸/ IV	1eter	()	ihi	atio	าท

2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

Yes

Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

11/02/2016

O No

If No, please explain:

3. Treatment Problems

3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

We still work closely with City Brewery they are Responsible for about 10% of the WWTP loading and times push the front end BOD loading to the WWTP.

4. Other Monitoring and Limits

4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?

o Yes

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/4/2017 2016

	3/ 1/201/	2010
• No		
If Yes, please explain:		
4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute or ch	ronic whole efflu	uent
toxicity (WET) test?		
o Yes		
• No		1 1
If Yes, please explain:		
4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify	and/or reduce	
source(s) of toxicity?	una, or roduce	
o Yes		
o No		
● N/A		
Please explain unless not applicable:		

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

La Crosse City

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/4/2017

2016

0

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)

1. Effluent Total Suspended Solids Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for TSS:

i otai Numb	otal Number of Points 0						
V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	au of Dalata				U	0	
Points					0		
Exceedances					0	0	
Points per	each exceeda	7	3				
Months of Di	ischarge/yr						
		* Equ	uals limit if limit is	<= 10			
December	30	27	7	1	0	0	
November	30	27	7	1	0	0	
October	30	27	4	1	0	0	
September	30	27	5	1	0	0	
August	30	27	8	1	0	0	
July	30	27	5	1	0	0	
June	30	27	6	1	0	0	
May	30	27	9	1	0	0	
April	30	27	12	1	0	0	
March	30	27	11	1	0	0	
February	30	27	6	1	0	0	
January	30	27	9	1	0	0	
001	Average Limit (mg/L)	Permit Limit >10 (mg/L)	Average (mg/L)	Discharge with a Limit	Exceedance	Limit Exceedance	
Outfall No.	Monthly	90% of	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit	90% Permit	

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

N/A

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

La Crosse City

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/4/2017

2016

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)

1. Effluent Phosphorus Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for Phosphorus

Outfall No. 001	Mandala	ECCI I NA III		
Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average phosphorus Limit (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average phosphorus (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance
January	1	0.4	4	0
			1	0
February	1	0.3	1	0
March	1	0.5	1	0
April	1	0.4	1	0
May	1	0.5	1	0
June	1	0.4	1	0
July	1	0.4	1	0
August	1	0.4	1	0
September	1	0.1	1	0
October	1	0.2	1	0
November	1	0.3	1	0
December	1	0.2	1	0
Months of Discharg	12			
Points per each e	ge:	10		
Exceedances		0		
Total Number of F	Points			0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

N/A

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

compliance	Hamicemanice	Ailliaai	izchou
La Crosse City			

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/4/2017 **2016**

Biosolids Quality and Management

1. Biosolids Use/Disposal 1.1 How did you use or dispose of your biosolids? (Check all that apply)									
2. Land Application Site 2.1 Last Year's Approved and Active Land Application Sites 2.1.1 How many acres did you have? 6416.30 acres 2.1.2 How many acres did you use? [1,369.80] acres 2.2 If you did not have enough acres for your land application needs, what action was taken? 2.3 Did you overapply nitrogen on any of your approved land application sites you used last year? • Yes (30 points) • No 2.4 Have all the sites you used last year for land application been soil tested in the previous 4 years? • Yes • No (10 points)								o	
3. Biosolids Metals Number of biosolids outfalls in your WPDES permit: 3.1 For each outfall tested, verify the biosolids metal quality values for your facility during the last calendar year. Outfall No. 003 - LIQUID SLUDGE Parameter 80% H.Q. Ceiling Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec 80% High Ceiling Value Quality Value Value									

La Crosse City

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/4/2017

2016

Outfall No	o. 00	2 - C	AKE S	SLUD	GE													
Parameter	80% of Limit	H.Q. Limit	Ceiling Limit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic		41	75	0		0		0			5.86	0		0			0	0
Cadmium		39	85	0		0		0			3.57	0		0			0	0
Copper		1500	4300	0		0		0			502	0		0			0	0
Lead		300	840	0		0		0			27.6	0		0			0	0
Mercury		17	57	0		0		0			.389	0		0			0	0
Molybdenum	60		75	0		0		0			17.1	0		0		0		0
Nickel	336		420	0		0		0			14.7	0		0		0		0
Selenium	80		100	0		0		0			3.33	0		0		0		0
Zinc		2800	7500	0		0		0			1070	0		0			0	0

3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel, or selenium = 0

Exceedence Points

- 0 (0 Points)
- 0 1-2 (10 Points)
- 0 > 2 (15 Points)
- 3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loading at each land application site? (check applicable box)
- o Yes
- O No (10 points)
- N/A Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)
- O N/A Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)
- 3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0**Exceedence Points**
- 0 (0 Points)
- 01 (10 Points)
- \circ > 1 (15 Points)
- 3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit?
- Yes (20 Points)
- No (0 Points)
- 3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified?
- 4. Pathogen Control (per outfall):
- 4.1 Verify the following information. If any information is incorrect, use the Report Issue button under the Options header in the left-side menu.

Outfall Number:	002
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	01/01/2016 - 02/29/2016
Density:	44,500
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is mixed and heated to 95 degrees in the Anaerobic Digestion process.

0

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/4/2017 2016

Outfall Number:	002
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	09/01/2016 - 10/31/2016
Density:	22,900
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is mixed and heated to 95 degrees in the Anaerobic Digestion process.

Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	01/01/2016 - 12/31/2016
Density:	22,400
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge anaerobically Digested for 20 days @ 95 degrees

Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	01/01/2016 - 12/31/2016
Density:	68,300
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge anaerobically Digested for 20 days @ 95 degrees

Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	03/01/2016 - 04/30/2016
Density:	31,500
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is mixed and heated to 95 degrees in the Anaerobic Digestion process.

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/4/2017 2016

Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	05/01/2016 - 06/30/2016
Density:	63,000
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is mixed and heated to 95 degrees in the Anaerobic Digestion process.

Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	07/01/2016 - 08/31/2016
Density:	22,400
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is mixed and heated to 95 degrees in the Anaerobic Digestion process.

Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	07/01/2016 - 08/31/2016
Density:	68,300
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Process:	Aerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is mixed and heated to 95 degrees in the Anaerobic Digestion process.

Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	11/01/2016 - 12/31/2016
Density:	4,190
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is mixed and heated to 95 degrees in the Anaerobic Digestion process.

La Crosse City

Last Updated: Reporting For: 2016

5/4/2017

4.2 If exceeded	Class B limit or	did not mee	t the process	criteria at the	time of land a	application.
121 Was the	limit exceeded	or the proces	c critoria not	mot at the tin	no of land anni	lication?

O Yes (40 Points)

No

If yes, what action was taken?

0

5. Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfall):

5.1 Verify the following information. If any of the information is incorrect, use the Report Issue button under the Options header in the left-side menu.

Outfall Number:	002
Method Date:	01/08/2017
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Injection when land apply
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Limit (if applicable):	
Results (if applicable):	46.50

002
10/31/2016
Injection when land apply
Yes
Yes

Outfall Number:	003
Method Date:	09/01/2016
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	43.60

Outfall Number:	003
Method Date:	07/01/2016
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	43.40

6. Biosolids Storage

Last Updated: Reporting For:

Outfall Number:	003	
Method Date:	04/30/2016	\dashv
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Injection when land apply	-
Requirement Met:	Yes	\dashv
_and Applied:	Yes	-
_imit (if applicable):		-
Results (if applicable):		
Outfall Number:	003	7
Method Date:	06/30/2016	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Injection when land apply	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
and Applied:	Yes	
imit (if applicable):		
Results (if applicable):		
Outfall Number:	003	7
Method Date:	08/31/2016	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Incorporation when land apply	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
and Applied:	No	
imit (if applicable):		
Results (if applicable):]
Outfall Number:	003	7
1ethod Date:	08/31/2016	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Injection when land apply	
Requirement Met:	Yes	1
and Applied:	No	1
imit (if applicable):		7
lesults (if applicable):		
Outfall Number:	003	7
lethod Date:	12/31/2016]
ption Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Injection when land apply]
equirement Met:	Yes]
and Applied:	Yes]
imit (if applicable):]
2 11 2		¬

La Crosse City

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/4/2017

2016

6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site?

- >= 180 days (0 Points)
- o 150 179 days (10 Points)
- o 120 149 days (20 Points)
- o 90 119 days (30 Points)
- 0 < 90 days (40 Points)</p>
- O N/A (0 Points)
- 6.2 If you checked N/A above, explain why.

7. Issues

7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overall management:

We have good Biosolids management plan. We currently contract with Synagro to help manage La Crosse Biosolids program. In 2018 La Crosse has budget to look into expanding Biosolids storage.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

0

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/4/2017 2016

Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)

 Plant Staffing Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year? 	
Yes	
o No	
If No, please explain:	
Could use more help/staff for:	
edula ase more neipystam for:	
1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and	
fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping? • Yes	
o No	
If No, please explain:	
Trito, piedse explain.	
2. Preventative Maintenance	
2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on	
major equipment items? • You (Continue with question 3)	
Yes (Continue with question 2)No (40 points)	
If No, please explain, then go to question 3:	
2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication,	
and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?	
• Yes	0
○ No (10 points)	
2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and	
filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?	
• Yes	
o Paper file system	
o Computer system	
Both paper and computer system	
o No (10 points)	
3. O&M Manual	
3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed?	
Yes	
o No	
1. Overall Maintenance /Repairs	
4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.	
o Excellent	
O Very good	
● Good	
O Fair	
o Poor	
Describe your rating:	

La Crosse City

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/4/2017

2016

Even though the La Crosse WWTP is an older plant it still performs well, we continue to up grade equipment, have recently replaced upgraded all PLC and SCADA software upgrades to build in safe guard and instruments to make sure we maintain equipment.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

La Crosse City	Last Updated:	Reporting For
	5/4/2017	2016

0	perato	r Certification and Educa	ition				
	1.1 Did y ● Yes (0 ○ No (2 Name:	0 points) RED R GREENO	n-charge during the	report year?		,	0
1	2.1 In acc and subcl	ation Requirements cordance with Chapter NR 114.5 ass(es) were required for the op t plant and what level and subcla	erator-in-charge (O	IC) to operate	te the waste	water	
lг	Sub	SubClass Description	WWTP	T	OIC		
П	Class	Substitute Description	Advanced	OIT	Basic	Advanced	
H	A1	Suspended Growth Processes	X	OIT	Dasic	X	
H	A2	Attached Growth Processes	^			 ^	
1 1	A3	Recirculating Media Filters					
1 1	A4	Ponds, Lagoons and Natural					
۱ŀ	A5	Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid					
1 1	В	Solids Separation	X			X	
l	C	Biological Solids/Sludges	X			X	0
Ιŀ	P	Total Phosphorus	X		-	X	
1 -	N	Total Nitrogen	^				
lŀ	D	Disinfection	Х			 	
lŀ	L	Laboratory	X			X	
╽┝	U	Unique Treatment Systems	^			 	
l	SS	Sanitary Sewage Collection	Х	NA	NA	NA	
L	an and another than						
c	 2.2 Was the operator-in-charge certified at the appropriate level and subclass(es) to operate this plant? (Note: Certification in subclass SS, N and A5 not required in 2016; subclass SS is basic level only.) Yes (0 points) No (20 points) 						
3. Succession Planning 3.1 In the event of the loss of your designated operator-in-charge, did you have a contingency plan to ensure the continued proper operation and maintenance of the plant that includes one or more of the following options (check all that apply)? ☑ One or more additional certified operators on staff ☐ An arrangement with another certified operator ☐ An arrangement with another community with a certified operator ☐ An operator on staff who has an operator-in-training certificate for your plant and is expected to be certified within one year ☐ A consultant to serve as your certified operator ☐ None of the above (20 points) If "None of the above" is selected, please explain:						0	
4.	Continui	ng Education Credits					

La Crosse City

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/4/2017

2016

4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning Continuing Education Credits at the following rates?

OIT and Basic Certification:

O Averaging 6 or more CECs per year.

o Averaging less than 6 CECs per year.

Advanced Certification:

• Averaging 8 or more CECs per year.

• Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

La Crosse City		Last Updated: 5/4/2017	Reporting Fo
Financial Managem	ent	27 17 23 27	
 Provider of Financial Name: Telephone: E-Mail Address (optional): 	Information Jared Greeno 608-789-7322 greenoja@cityoflacrosse.org	(XXX) XXX-XXXX	<
 2. Treatment Works Ope 2.1 Are User Charges of treatment plant AND/OI Yes (0 points) No (40 points) If No, please explain: 	or other revenues sufficient to co	ver O&M expenses for your wastewa	ater
2.2 When was the User Year: 2015 0-2 years ago (0 poir o 3 or more years ago o N/A (private facility)	nts)	e source(s) last reviewed and/or re	vised?
financial resources avail plant and/or collection s • Yes (0 points)	able for repairing or replacing ed	d segregated Replacement Fund, etc quipment for your wastewater treatr	c.) or ment
O No (40 points)	DUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES	CHALL COMPLETE OLICCTION 21	
3. Equipment Replaceme		SHALL COMPLETE QUESTION 3] viewed and/or revised?	

• 1-2 years ago (0 points)

o 3 or more years ago (20 points)

O N/A

If N/A, please explain:

3.2 Equipment Replacement Fund Activity

3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR

2,401,604.08 3,510.41

3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.)

2,405,114.49

3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance 3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee,

424,764.29

earned interest, etc.)

6.1 Energy Usage

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report		
La Crosse City	Last Update 5/4/2017	ed: Reporting Fo
3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box 3.2.6.1 below*) 3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR	\$ 0	0.00
Reporting Year All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a	\$ 2,829,878	3.78
bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc. 3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major re	pairs from 3.2.5	above.
3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund? \$ 2,8	29,878.78	0
Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally base Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as need instructions and an example can be found by clicking the SectionInst header in the left-side menu. 3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)? • Yes • No If No, please explain.	ded. Further calc ructions link und	ulation er Info
 4. Future Planning 4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system? Yes - If Yes, please provide major project information, if not alread No Project Project Description 	ly listed below.	Approximate Construction
		Year
1 Replace Sludge heating Boiler system.	750000	
2 Rehab Digester # 2	750000	
3 Rehab Digester # 1 4 Replace Pumps and Controls in Bluff Slough Lift station	2,000,000	
5 Add more mixing to Digester 2 and 3	48,000 500,000	15.547,0007
6 Rehab and replacement of sewer main	594,000	
5. Financial Management General Comments	7 33 1,7000	2010
La Crosse hires a rate consultant every 5 years to look into sanitary se report there is years with suggested rate increases.	ewer rates. Within	n rate
ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND USE		
6. Collection System		

26

6.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

COLLECTION SYSTEM PUMPAGE: Total Power Consumed

Number of Municipally Owned Pump/Lift Stations:

La Crosse City

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/4/2017

2016

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	72,489	1,102
February	67,726	1,122
March	61,354	772
April	53,323	487
May	53,223	183
June	54,421	79
July	60,216	54
August	67,798	45
September	60,594	49
October	77,842	67
November	57,279	143
December	63,607	623
Total	749,872	4,726
Average	62,489	394

6.1.2 Comments:
6.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment
6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that apply):
☐ Comminution or Screening
☑ Extended Shaft Pumps
☐ Flow Metering and Recording
☐ Pneumatic Pumping
☑ SCADA System
☐ Self-Priming Pumps
☑ Variable Speed Drives
☐ Other:
6.2.2 Comments:
6.2.2 Comments:
6.3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations?
• No
o Yes
Year:
2013
By Whom:
MSA
Describe and Comment:
City council hire a consulting firm to look at power consumption as a City whole, but specific to each locations.

La Crosse City

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/4/2017

2016

6.4 Future Energy Related Equipment

6.4.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your pump/lift stations?

None for lift stations, in the past VFD's have been installed at lift stations to conserve power. When we do a project we use an electrical consultant to look into electrical design and upgrades that maybe more energy efficient.

- 7. Treatment Facility
- 7.1 Energy Usage
- 7.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

TREATMENT PLANT: Total Power Consumed/Month

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Total Influent Flow (MG)	Electricity Consumed/ Flow (kWh/MG)	Total Influent BOD (1000 lbs)	Electricity Consumed/ Total Influent BOD (kWh/1000lbs)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	479,640	305.66	1,569	898.38	534	11,039
February	440,080	281.95	1,561	763.34	577	8,779
March	438,960	314.07	1,398	817.75	537	5,828
April	402,200	305.37	1,317	819.03	491	3,408
May	461,960	300.00	1,540	730.98	632	368
June	469,480	311.96	1,505	768.54	611	2,569
July	516,760	332.04	1,556	783.06	660	791
August	493,760	339.90	1,453	763.75	646	742
September	469,720	350.52	1,340	717.00	655	910
October	466,240	331.20	1,408	684.51	681	821
November	415,800	279.68	1,487	672.39	618	3,175
December	467,760	289.82	1,614	733.55	638	10,390
Total	5,522,360	3,742.17		9,152.28		48,820
Average	460,197	311.85	1,479	762.69	607	4,068

7.1.2 Comments:

☑ Variable Speed Drives

7.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment	
7.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your treatment facility (Check all that apply):	
☐ Aerobic Digestion	
Anaerobic Digestion	
□ Biological Phosphorus Removal	
☐ Coarse Bubble Diffusers	
☑ Dissolved O2 Monitoring and Aeration Control	
☐ Effluent Pumping	
☐ Fine Bubble Diffusers	
☑ SCADA System	
□ UV Disinfection □ UV DISINFec	

La Crosse City	Last Updated: 5/4/2017	Reporting For 2016
Other:		
7.2.2 Comments:		
7.2.2 Comments.		
7.3 Future Energy Related Equipment		
7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned treatment facility?	I for the future for	your
We have in the past upgraded equipment at the plant to be more energy mixing in Bio P system to conserve energy. In 2012 we installed new a high speed turbine blower which are more energy efficient.	gy efficient VFD's I eration diffuser ald	ess ong with
8. Biogas Generation		
8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? O No		
YesIf Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply):		
☑ Process Heat		
☐ Generate Electricity ☐ Other:		
O. Francy Efficiency Study		
9. Energy Efficiency Study		
9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility?No		
o Yes		
☐ Entire facility		
Year:		
By Whom:		
Describe and Comment:		
☐ Part of the facility		
Year:		
By Whom:		
Decaribe and Comments		
Describe and Comment:		

La Crosse City	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
	5/4/2017	2016

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/4/2017 2016

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems

 Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) Program Do you have a CMOM program that is being implemented?
Yes
o No
If No, explain:
1.2. Do you have a CMOM program that contains all the analizable and a life
1.2 Do you have a CMOM program that contains all the applicable components and items according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 210.23 (4)?
• Yes
○ No (30 points)
o N/A
If No or N/A, explain:
1.3 Does your CMOM program contain the following components and items? (check the components and items that apply)☑ Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)]
Describe the major goals you had for your collection system last year:
Clean 40% of sewer at least clean all sewers every 3 years continue lining sewer every other year and address collection system issues.
Did you accomplish them? ● Yes
o No
If No, explain:
☑ Organization [NR 210.23 (4) (b)]
Does this chapter of your CMOM include:
☐ Organizational structure and positions (eg. organizational chart and position descriptions)
☑ Internal and external lines of communication responsibilities
☑ Person(s) responsible for reporting overflow events to the department and the public
□ Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4) (c)]
What is the legally binding document that regulates the use of your sewer system?
City code/Ordinance
If you have a Sewer Use Ordinance or other similar document, when was it last reviewed and revised? (MM/DD/YYYY) 05/08/2015
Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document address the following: ☐ Private property inflow and infiltration
☑ New sewer and building sewer design, construction, installation, testing and inspection
☑ Rehabilitated sewer and lift station installation, testing and inspection
Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are monitored and controlled, as necessary
☐ Fat, oil and grease control
☑ Enforcement procedures for sewer use non-compliance
☑ Operation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)]
Does your operation and maintenance program and equipment include the following: ☐ Equipment and replacement part inventories
☑ Up-to-date sewer system map

La Crosse City

5/4/2017 2016 ☑A management system (computer database and/or file system) for collection system information for O&M activities, investigation and rehabilitation A description of routine operation and maintenance activities (see question 2 below) ☐ Capacity assessment program □ Basement back assessment and correction ☒ Regular O&M training ☑ Design and Performance Provisions [NR 210.23 (4) (e)] What standards and procedures are established for the design, construction, and inspection of the sewer collection system, including building sewers and interceptor sewers on private property? ☑ State Plumbing Code, DNR NR 110 Standards and/or local Municipal Code Requirements □ Construction, Inspection, and Testing ☐ Others: ☑ Overflow Emergency Response Plan [NR 210.23 (4) (f)] 0 Does your emergency response capability include: ☑ Responsible personnel communication procedures ☑ Response order, timing and clean-up ☑ Public notification protocols ☑ Emergency operation protocols and implementation procedures. ☑ Annual Self-Auditing of your CMOM Program [NR 210.23 (5)] ☑ Special Studies Last Year (check only those that apply): ☐ Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis ■ Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES) □ Lift Station Evaluation Report ☐ Others: 2. Operation and Maintenance 2.1 Did your sanitary sewer collection system maintenance program include the following maintenance activities? Complete all that apply and indicate the amount maintained. Cleaning 35.36 % of system/year Root removal % of system/year 1.8 Flow monitoring 0 % of system/year Smoke testing % of system/year Sewer line % of system/year televising 4.53 Manhole % of system/year inspections 40.4 Lift station O&M # per L.S./year 114 Manhole % of manholes rehabbed 4.05 rehabilitation Mainline % of sewer lines rehabbed rehabilitation .68 Private sewer inspections % of system/year

Last Updated: Reporting For:

La Crosse City			Last Upda 5/4/2017	ted: Reporting For 2016
Private sewer I/I removal	4	% of private con	ulcos.	
	4	% of private serv	rices	
River or water crossings	0	% of pipe crossin	ngs evaluated or ma	intained
1.50 miles	ional comments about your		768	
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
3. Performance Indicat				
	ving collection system and f Total actual amount of pre			
	Annual average precipitation			
	Miles of sanitary sewer	on (for your location	011)	
	Number of lift stations			
20	Number of lift station failur	roc		
1	Number of sewer pipe failu			
	Number of basement back	up occurrences		
	Number of complaints	(if overlights)		
	Average daily flow in MGD			
	Peak monthly flow in MGD			
	Peak hourly flow in MGD (i	r available)		
3.2 Performance ratios 0.04	Lift station failures (failures	s/year)		
0.00	Sewer pipe failures (pipe fa	ailures/sewer mile	/yr)	
0.00	Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mil	le/yr)	
0.03	Basement backups (number	er/sewer mile)		
0.20	Complaints (number/sewer	r mile)		
1.3	Peaking factor ratio (Peak I	Monthly:Annual Da	aily Avg)	
4.2	Peaking factor ratio (Peak I	Hourly:Annual Dai	ly Avg)	
4. Overflows				
LIST OF SANITARY	SEWER (SSO) AND TREATM	MENT FACILITY (TR	O) OFERFLOWS RE	PORTED **
Date	Location	ו	Cause	Estimated Volume (MG)
0 07/05/2016 10:45:00 PN - 07/05/2016 11:00:00 PM	4 Lift station at 302 Hager Street		Rain, Equipment Failure	0.0020 - 0.0020
98	r TFOs that are not listed above, p	please contact the DNR	R and stop work on this s	ection until
	are underway, to reduce or elimin	nate SSO or TFO occur	ences in the future?	
Rebuilt New Hagar pump ar	nd budgeted for a new replacemer	nt pump.		
 5. Infiltration / Inflow (5.1 Was infiltration/inf Yes No If Yes, please describ 	flow (I/I) significant in your	community last y	ear?	

La Crosse City

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/	4/2017	2016
5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or creyour collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past yo Yes	ated problems in ear?	n
• No		
If Yes, please describe:		
5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous years:		
The II has decreased because of continued collection system maintenance bas of annual rain fall.	sed on the amou	unt
5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection system?		
Rehabilitation of Manholes and Lining projects scheduled		

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

La Crosse City

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/4/2017

2016

Grading Summary

WPDES No: 0029581

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS
Influent	Α	4	3	12
BOD/CBOD	Α	4	10	40
TSS	Α	4	5	20
Phosphorus	Α	4	3	12
Biosolids	Α	4	5	20
Staffing/PM	Α	4	1	4
OpCert	Α	4	1	4
Financial	Α	4	1	4
Collection	Α	4	3	12
TOTALS		32	128	
GRADE POINT AVER	RAGE (GPA) = 4.00			

Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

La Crosse City	Last Updated: 5/4/2017	Reporting For 2016
Resolution or Owner's Statement		
Name of Governing Body or Owner:		
Date of Resolution or Action Taken:		
Accion raken.		
Resolution Number:		i i
Date of Submittal:		
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY	OR OWNER RELATING TO SPECIFIC	C CMAR
SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = A	for grade C, D, or F):	
Initident Flow and Loadings. Grade – A		
Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade = A		
Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = A		
Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade = A		
Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade = A		
Staffing: Grade = A		
Operator Certification: Grade = A		
Financial Management: Grade = A		
Collection Systems: Grade = A		
(Regardless of grade, response required for Collection SSO occurred at 302 Hagar street on 7/5/2016. The		dla
Crosse Tribune. Our staff rebuilt this pump and cre structure. We budgeted to replace this pump in 20	ated a system so sewage should not lea	ve the
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL CO		RALL
(Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, re		
G.P.A. = 4.00		——————————————————————————————————————