



Fire Prevention and Building Safety

400 La Crosse St., La Crosse, WI 54601 · (608) 789-7530 · Fax: (608) 789-7589

Ken Gilliam, Fire Chief

http://www.citvoflacrosse.org

Inspection@citvoflacrosse.org



7/30/2020

Paul Limberg Wieser Brothers General Contractor 200 Twilite St La Crescent, MN 55947

RE: An appeal to allow a solid fence over ten feet in height located on a heavy industrial zoned property at 1813 Kramer St.

Dear Paul Limberg:

We have received your building permit application to construct a fence that does not meet the minimum requirements set forth in the Municipal Code of Ordinances of the City of La Crosse (Code) regarding fences and barbed wire.

The project as proposed is in direct violation of the following subparagraph of the Code:

115-398 (d)

Fences on nonresidential property. Fences are permitted on the property lines in all commercial zoning districts but shall not exceed eight feet in height in commercial zoning districts and ten feet in height for property zoned light or heavy industrial and shall be of an open type similar to woven wire, chainlink or wrought iron fencing. Fences regulated under Section 10-49(2)(b) as part of an approved Beer Garden License are not subject to these restrictions. Solid vinyl or composite fences are only allowed on property lines abutting a residential property, but cannot be taller than six feet. The fence can be up to eight feet tall by a conditional use permit.

Therefore, if upon consideration of all of the facts surrounding this appeal in a public hearing, the Board of Zoning Appeals determines that this appeal meets all of the criteria established by the Legislature of the State of Wisconsin, as interpreted by the Supreme Court of the State of Wisconsin for the granting of variances, the Board of Zoning Appeals would have to grant two variances one to allow a solid non-open style fence and one to allow 1.5 feet above the maximum of 10 feet allowed.

Sincerely

Matthew Diehi **Building Inspector** 7/30/2020 View Parcel



Home | Help | Links



Parcel Search |

Permit Search

1813 KRAMER ST LA CROSSE

Parcel: Municipality: 17-10365-11 City of La Crosse Internal ID: Record Status: 72310 Current



Parcel Information:

Parcel: Internal ID:

17-10365-11 72310

Municipality:

City of La Crosse

Record Status: On Current Tax Roll: Current Yes

Total Acreage:

4.810 16

Township: Range: 0

07 21

Section: 0

Legal Description:

CERTIFIED SURVEY MAP NO. 9 VOL 18 LOT 1 DOC NO. 1721790

Property Addresses:

Street Address 1813 KRAMER ST City(Postal) LA CROSSE

Owners/Associations:

<u>Name</u> KWIK TRIP INC Relation Owner

Malling Address 1626 OAK ST

Zip Code

LA CROSSE WI 54603

Districts:

<u>Code</u> Description Taxation District

2849 LA CROSSE SCHOOL Book 1

N

0033 La Crosse TIF 13

Additional Information

Category

Description

2012+ VOTING SUPERVISOR 2012 + VOTING WARDS

2012+ Supervisor District 2 2012+ Ward 3

POSTAL DISTRICT

LACROSSE POSTAL DISTRICT 54603

WAREHSE/STOR

Lottery Tax Information 0

Lottery Credits Claimed: Lottery Credit Application Date: 0

La Crosse County Land Records Information (Ver. 2019,6,24.0)

Site Disclaimer

Parcel

Taxes

Outstanding Taxes

Assessments

Deeds

Permits

History

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

STANDARDS FOR AREA VARIANCE

secu effec	The proposed variance is not contrary to the public interest. The purpose ement of the ordinance and related statutes must be reviewed in order to tify the public interest. Variances must observe the spirit of the ordinance, are public safety and welfare and do substantial justice. In considering cts of a variance on public interests, broad community and even statewide ests should be examined; the public interest standard is not confined to tiny of impacts on neighbors or residents in the vicinity of a project.
2. The property has a special or unique condition. The property must have unique or physical features which prevent compliance with the ordinance. The circumstances of an applicant, such as growing family or need for a larger garage, are not legitimate factors in meeting this standard. Property limitations that prevent ordinance compliance and that are not unique but common to a number of properties should be addressed by amendment of the ordinance.	
3.	The special condition of the property creates an unnecessary hardship:
	 A. Unnecessary hardship means unnecessarily burdensome, considering the purpose of the ordinance.
	B. Unnecessary hardship may not be self created. An applicant may not claim hardship because of conditions which are self-imposed. Examples include claiming hardship for a substandard lot after having sold off portions that would have allowed building in compliance and claiming hardship where construction was commenced without required permits in violation of ordinance standards.
	C. Financial hardship is not a deciding factor. Economic loss or financial hardship does not justify a variance.