La Crosse CityLast Updated: Reporting For:
5/7/2021 **2020**

Influent Flow and Loading

- 1. Monthly Average Flows and BOD Loadings
- 1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and BOD loadings to your facility.

Influent No. 701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	х	Influent Monthly Average BOD Concentration mg/L	х	8.34	=	Influent Monthly Average BOD Loading, lbs/day
January	5.3156	Х	255	Х	8.34	=	11,292
February	9.2729	Х	270	Х	8.34	=	20,891
March	9.9397	Х	230	Х	8.34	=	19,032
April	11.4769	Х	184	Х	8.34	=	17,606
May	9.9918	Χ	204	Х	8.34	=	17,010
June	11.0281	Χ	216	Х	8.34	=	19,827
July	10.2970	Χ	247	Х	8.34	=	21,195
August	9.8135	Χ	236	Х	8.34	=	19,321
September	9.5610	Χ	254	Х	8.34	=	20,230
October	9.4955	Х	263	Х	8.34	=	20,856
November	8.7457	Х	263	Х	8.34	=	19,178
December	8.8558	Х	328	Х	8.34	=	24,199

- 2. Maximum Monthly Design Flow and Design BOD Loading
- 2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	х	%	=	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	20	х	90	=	18
		Х	100	=	20
Design BOD, lbs/day	29793	х	90	=	26813.7
		Х	100	=	29793

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

	Months	Number of times	Number of times	Number of times	Number of times
	of		flow was greater		BOD was greater
	Influent		than 100% of		than 100% of design
1					
January	1	0	0	0	0
February	1	0	0	0	0
March	1	0	0	0	0
April	1	0	0	0	0
May	1	0	0	0	0
June	1	0	0	0	0
July	1	0	0	0	0
August	1	0	0	0	0
September	1	0	0	0	0
October	1	0	0	0	0
November	1	0	0	0	0
December	1	0	0	0	0
Points per e	ach	2	1	3	2
Exceedances	5	0	0	0	0
Points		0	0	0	0
Total Numl	per of Po	oints			0

No

La Crosse City Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/7/2021 2020 3. Flow Meter 3.1 Was the influent flow meter calibrated in the last year? Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY) Yes 2020-09-14 O No If No, please explain: 4. Sewer Use Ordinance 4.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited or prohibited the discharge of excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances to the sewer from industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences? Yes o No If No, please explain: 4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance? Yes o No If Yes, please explain: We used step enforcement on City Brewery, Great Lakes Cheese, S&S Cycle, and Metallics to maintain compliance. 5. Septage Receiving 5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility? Septic Tanks Holding Tanks **Grease Traps** Yes Yes Yes O No o No o No 5.2 Did you receive septage at your facility? If yes, indicate volume in gallons. Septic Tanks Yes 2,154,931 gallons O No Holding Tanks 1,838,435 gallons Yes O No Grease Traps Yes gallons 1,101,067 O No 5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected when receiving any of these wastes. We sampled trucked waste at random on a quarterly basis to maintain baselines for those waste streams. We also require sampling for any new waste stream to be hauled in. The current grease trap receiving area is not the best and can cause some periodic operational issues; however, this will be resolved in the upcoming facility upgrade process. 6. Pretreatment 6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns, or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to commercial or industrial discharges in the last year? o Yes

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/7/2021 **2020**

If yes, describe the situation and your community's response.

6.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, etc.?

Yes

o No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

Any hauled industrial waste is regulated by our pre-treatment program. The source industries are permitted and are required to comply with the sanitary sewer ordinance. We receive leachate from the La Crosse County Landfill through the sewer collection system, they are also regulated. We also accept hauled leachate from Dairyland Power which is regulated by pre-treatment.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/7/2021 **2020**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

- 1. Effluent (C)BOD Results
- 1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or CBOD

Outfall No.	Monthly	90% of	Effluent Monthly		Permit Limit		
001	Average Limit (mg/L)	Permit Limit > 10 (mg/L)	Average (mg/L)	Discharge with a Limit	Exceedance	Limit Exceedance	
January	25	22.5	4	1	0	0	
February	25	22.5	4	1	0	0	
March	25	22.5	3	1	0	0	
April	25	22.5	3	1	0	0	
May	25	22.5	3	1	0	0	
June	25	22.5	3	1	0	0	
July	25	22.5	3	1	0	0	
August	25	22.5	3	1	0	0	
September	25	22.5	3	1	0	0	
October	25	22.5	3	1	0	0	0
November	25	22.5	3	1	0	0	
December	25	22.5	5	1	0	0	
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	<= 10			
Months of di	ischarge/yr			12			
Points per e	ach exceedand	7	3				
Exceedances	s	0	0				
Points	Points 0						
Total numb	per of points				•	0	

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

~	_		Mete	^_	1:1-	: _	
,	HΙ	MM.	IVIATE	rıa	\mathbf{H}	rarıc	۱n

2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

Yes

Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

2020-09-14

o No

If No, please explain:

2	Treatment	Drob	lama

3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

None

- 4. Other Monitoring and Limits
- 4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?
- o Yes
- No

5,7,2521 2020	
If Yes, please explain:	
4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute or chronic whole effluent	
toxicity (WET) test?	
o Yes	
● No	
If Yes, please explain:	
4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce	
source(s) of toxicity?	
o Yes	
○ No	
● N/A	
Please explain unless not applicable:	
	1

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/7/2021 **2020**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)

1. Effluent Total Suspended Solids Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for TSS:

Outfall No.	Monthly	90% of	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit	90% Permit	
001	Average	Permit Limit	Average (mg/L)	Discharge	Exceedance	Limit	
	Limit (mg/L)	>10 (mg/L)		with a Limit		Exceedance	
January	30	27	8	1	0	0	
February	30	27	7	1	0	0	
March	30	27	6	1	0	0	
April	30	27	6	1	0	0	
May	30	27	8	1	0	0	
June	30	27	7	1	0	0	
July	30	27	7	1	0	0	
August	30	27	6	1	0	0	
September	30	27	6	1	0	0	
October	30	27	6	1	0	0	
November	30	27	7	1	0	0	
December	30	27	6	1	0	0	
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	<= 10			
Months of D	ischarge/yr			12			
Points per	7	3					
Exceedances 0						0	
Points	Points 0						
Total Num	ber of Points					0	
			_		_		

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

La Crosse CityLast Updated: Reporting For:
5/7/2021 **2020**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)

1. Effluent Phosphorus Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for Phosphorus

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit
	phosphorus Limit	Average phosphorus	Discharge with a	Exceedance
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	Limit	
January	1	0.520	1	0
February	1	0.551	1	0
March	1	0.364	1	0
April	1	0.596	1	0
May	1	0.549	1	0
June	1	0.690	1	0
July	1	0.855	1	0
August	1	0.529	1	0
September	1	0.601	1	0
October	1	0.537	1	0
November	1	0.532	1	0
December	1	0.401	1	0
Months of Discharg				
Points per each e	10			
Exceedances	0			
Total Number of	Points			0

0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated					
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)					
Section Grade	Α				

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/7/2021 2020

Biosolids Quality and Management

57

75

420

100

7500 4210

<1.5

31.5

30

<24.1

17

2800

60

336

80

Mercury

Molybdenum

Nickel

Selenium

Zinc

.209

9.59

16.5

.517

864

• •	
1. Biosolids Use/Disposal 1.1 How did you use or dispose of your biosolids? (Check all that apply) ☑ Land applied under your permit ☐ Publicly Distributed Exceptional Quality Biosolids ☑ Hauled to another permitted facility ☐ Landfilled ☐ Incinerated ☐ Other NOTE: If you did not remove biosolids from your system, please describe your system type such as lagoons, reed beds, recirculating sand filters, etc. 1.1.1 If you checked Other, please describe:	
2. Land Application Site	
2.1 Last Year's Approved and Active Land Application Sites 2.1.1 How many acres did you have? 6442 acres 2.1.2 How many acres did you use? 1002.3 acres 2.2 If you did not have enough acres for your land application needs, what action was taken?	
2.3 Did you overapply nitrogen on any of your approved land application sites you used last year?○ Yes (30 points)● No	0
 2.4 Have all the sites you used last year for land application been soil tested in the previous 4 years? Yes No (10 points) N/A 	
3. Biosolids Metals Number of biosolids outfalls in your WPDES permit:	
3.1 For each outfall tested, verify the biosolids metal quality values for your facility during the last calendar year.	
Outfall No. 003 - LIQUID SLUDGE	
Parameter 80% H.Q. Ceiling Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec 80% High Ceilir Of Limit Limit Limit Limit Rough Sep Oct Nov Dec Rough Parameter Ro	g
Arsenic 41 75 22.8 2.34 .689 < .072 3.4 2.77 0 0]
Cadmium 39 85 12.1 2.12 .382 2.93 2.87 1.48 0 0	\perp
Copper 1500 4300 2740 540 536 640 659 621 1 0 Lead 300 840 <60.9	\dashv
Lead 300 840 <60.9 13.4 3.25 13.5 15.3 11.9 0 0	

<.086<.084

3.17 13.8

4.32 18.8

.638 < .276

1060 1110

.103

27.7

17.8

2.14

1150

<.086

18.3

19.3

2.7

1020

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/7/2021 **2020**

Outfall No	Outfall No. 002 - CAKE SLUDGE																	
Parameter	80% of Limit	Limit	Ceiling Limit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic		41	75	0		2.43		0		0		0		0			0	0
Cadmium		39	85	0		2.28		0		0		0		0			0	0
Copper		1500	4300	0		482		0		0		0		0			0	0
Lead		300	840	0		13		0		0		0		0			0	0
Mercury		17	57	0		.235		0		0		0		0			0	0
Molybdenum	60		75	0		8.3		0		0		0		0		0		0
Nickel	336		420	0		16.6		0		0		0		0		0		0
Selenium	80		100	0		<1.38		0		0		0		0		0		0
Zinc		2800	7500	0		858		0		0		0		0			0	0

3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel, or selenium = 2

Exceedence Points

- 0 (0 Points)
- 1-2 (10 Points)
- \circ > 2 (15 Points)
- 3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loading at each land application site? (check applicable box)
- Yes
- O No (10 points)
- N/A Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)
- N/A Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)
- 3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0 Exceedence Points
- 0 (0 Points)
- 0 1 (10 Points)
- 0 > 1 (15 Points)
- 3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit?
- O Yes (20 Points)
- No (0 Points)
- 3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified?

When the high quality limit was exceeded sludge was resampled. Before sludge was land applied. Note sludge was not land applied exceeding high quality limit.

- 4. Pathogen Control (per outfall):
- 4.1 Verify the following information. If any information is incorrect, use the Report Issue button under the Options header in the left-side menu.

	5/7/2021
Outfall Number:	002
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	03/01/2020 - 04/30/2020
Density:	297,000
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is mixed and heated to 95 degrees in the anaerobic digestion process
Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	01/01/2020 - 02/29/2020
Density:	46,500
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is mixed and heated to 95 degrees in the anaerobic digestion process
	· .
Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	B
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	03/01/2020 - 04/30/2020
Density:	38,300
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is mixed and heated to 95 degrees in the anaerobic digestion process
Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	05/01/2020 - 06/30/2020
Density:	17,500
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is mixed and heated to 95 degrees in the
,	anaerobic digestion process

La Crosse CityLast Updated: Reporting For:
5/7/2021 **2020**

Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	07/01/2020 - 08/31/2020
Density:	0
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is mixed and heated to 95 degrees in the anaerobic digestion process

Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	09/01/2020 - 10/31/2020
Density:	116,000
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is mixed and heated to 95 degrees in the anaerobic digestion process

Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	11/01/2020 - 12/31/2020
Density:	267,000
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Sludge is mixed and heated to 95 degrees in the anaerobic digestion process

- 4.2 If exceeded Class B limit or did not meet the process criteria at the time of land application.
- 4.2.1 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application?Yes (40 Points)
- No

If yes, what action was taken?

5. Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfall):

5.1 Verify the following information. If any of the information is incorrect, use the Report Issue button under the Options header in the left-side menu.

Outfall Number:	002
Method Date:	02/12/2020
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	44.40
Outfall Number:	002
Method Date:	05/17/2020
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	44.60
Outfall Number:	002
Method Date:	08/03/2020
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	43.50
Outfall Number:	002
Method Date:	08/03/2020
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	43.50
Outfall Number:	002
Method Date:	10/06/2020
	Volatile Solids Reduction
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	48.20

La Crosse CityLast Updated: Reporting For:
5/7/2021 **2020**

Outfall Number:	002
Method Date:	11/10/2020
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	49.90

5.2 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application? • Yes (40 Points)

No

If yes, what action was taken?

- 6. Biosolids Storage
- 6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site?
- >= 180 days (0 Points)
- o 150 179 days (10 Points)
- 0 120 149 days (20 Points)
- 90 119 days (30 Points)
- 0 < 90 days (40 Points)</p>
- O N/A (0 Points)
- 6.2 If you checked N/A above, explain why.
- 7. Issues
- 7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overall management:

Total Points Generated					
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)					
Section Grade	В				

c

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/7/2021 2020

Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)

1. Plant Staffing	
1.1 Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year?	
● Yes ○ No	
If No, please explain:	
Could use more help/staff for:	
1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and	
fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping?	
• Yes	
o No	
If No, please explain:	
2. Preventative Maintenance	
2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items?	
Yes (Continue with question 2) □□	
○ No (40 points)□□	
If No, please explain, then go to question 3:	
1 No, pieuse explain, then go to question 5.	
2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication,	
and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?	_
• Yes	0
o No (10 points)	
2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and	
filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly? ● Yes	
O Paper file system	
O Computer system	
Both paper and computer system No. (10 points)	
o No (10 points)	
 O&M Manual Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used 	
as a reference when needed?	
• Yes	
o No	
4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs	
4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.	
o Excellent	
○ Very good	
● Good	
o Fair	
o Poor	
Describe your rating:	

La Crosse CityLast Updated: Reporting For:
5/7/2021 **2020**

The La Crosse WWTP is an older facility and we continue to upgrade to ensure reliability. We'll go through a major upgrade starting Summer of 2021 which will touch most of the WWTP over several years. Included will be Biosolids management by installing a biosolids heat dryer and storage silo, low level phosphorus compliance through the addition of disc filters, and dewatering equipment to gain capacity within our digestion process which will give us the ability to handle more solids. We will also add gas collection and a methane engine for energy production with the goal of being energy neutral.

Total Points Generated					
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100				
Section Grade	Α				

Last Updated: Reporting For: **La Crosse City** 5/7/2021 2020

Operato	r Certification and Educa	tion				
1.1 Did y • Yes (0 • No (2 Name:	0 points) RED R GREENO	n-charge during the	report year?			0
2.1 In accand subcl	ation Requirements cordance with Chapter NR 114.5 ass(es) were required for the op t plant and what level and subcla	erator-in-charge (O ass(es) were held by	IC) to operat	e the waste r-in-charge?	water	
Sub Class	SubClass Description	WWTP	OIT	OIC	A d d	
	Cuspended Crewth Dressess	Advanced	OIT	Basic	Advanced	
A1	Suspended Growth Processes Attached Growth Processes	X			X	
A2 A3	Recirculating Media Filters					
A4	Ponds, Lagoons and Natural					
A5	Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid					
В	Solids Separation	X			X	
С	Biological Solids/Sludges	X			X	0
P	Total Phosphorus	X			X	
N	Total Nitrogen	<u> </u>				
D	Disinfection	X			X	
L	Laboratory	X			X	
U	Unique Treatment Systems					
SS	Sanitary Sewage Collection	X	NA	Х	NA	
plant? (N level only ● Yes (0						
3.1 In the to ensure of the foll	sion Planning e event of the loss of your design the continued proper operation owing options (check all that ap r more additional certified opera rangement with another certified rangement with another commun erator on staff who has an opera tified within one year sultant to serve as your certified of the above (20 points) of the above" is selected, please	and maintenance or ply)? tors on staff operator nity with a certified tor-in-training certified operator	f the plant th	at includes o	one or more	o
4. Continu	ing Education Credits					

La Crosse CityLast Updated: Reporting For:
5/7/2021 **2020**

4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning Continuing Education Credits at the following rates?

OIT and Basic Certification:

- Averaging 6 or more CECs per year.
- Averaging less than 6 CECs per year.

Advanced Certification:

- Averaging 8 or more CECs per year.
- Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

_	nan	CIDI	Management
	Hall	cıaı	Manadelliell

1. Provider of Financial Information	
Name: Jared Greeno	
Telephone: 608-789-7322 (XXX) XXX-XXXX	
E-Mail Address	
(optional): greenoja@cityoflacrosse.org	
2. Treatment Warle Organism Revenue	_
 2. Treatment Works Operating Revenues 2.1 Are User Charges or other revenues sufficient to cover O&M expenses for your wastewater treatment plant AND/OR collection system ? ◆ Yes (0 points) □□ ○ No (40 points) 	
If No, please explain:	
ir No, pieuse explain.	
2.2 When was the User Charge System or other revenue source(s) last reviewed and/or revised? Year: 2019	0
 2.3 Did you have a special account (e.g., CWFP required segregated Replacement Fund, etc.) or financial resources available for repairing or replacing equipment for your wastewater treatment plant and/or collection system? Yes (0 points) 	
O No (40 points)	
REPLACEMENT FUNDS [PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHALL COMPLETE QUESTION 3]	
 3. Equipment Replacement Funds 3.1 When was the Equipment Replacement Fund last reviewed and/or revised? Year: 2020 1-2 years ago (0 points)□□ 0 3 or more years ago (20 points)□□ N/A If N/A, please explain: 	
3.2 Equipment Replacement Fund Activity	
3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR \$ 1,401,126.97	
3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, \$ 0.00 audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.)	
3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance \$ 1,401,126.97	
3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee, earned interest, etc.) + \$483,224.22	

La Crosse CityLast Updated: Reporting For:
5/7/2021 **2020**

3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box 3.2.6.1 below*)

\$ 896,561.49

3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year

\$ 987,789.70

All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.

3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major repairs from 3.2.5 above.

New jetter/camera truck, new utility vehicles, Pump repair or replacements.

3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund?

1,664,916.95

Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally based on the Financial Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as needed. Further calculation instructions and an example can be found by clicking the SectionInstructions link under Info header in the left-side menu.

3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund above, (#3.2.6) equal to, or greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)?

o Yes

No

If No, please explain.

We have continued to improve accuracy of our ERF list and have added many missing items to the list resulting in a larger minimum balance. We have budgeted for the necessary contribution to return the fund over the minimum in 2021.

- 4. Future Planning
- 4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for upgrading, rehabilitating, or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system?
- Yes If Yes, please provide major project information, if not already listed below. □□
 No

Projec #	t Project Description		Approximate Construction
1	Repair/rehab sanitary collection system	1,953,000	Year 2021
1			
3	Sanitary lift station electrical and control upgrades Repair/rehab sanitary sewer collection system	733500 365,000	
4	Sanitary lift station rehabilitation	1,370,000	
5	Treatment plant facility upgrades for low level phosphorus removal, biosolids	62,000,000	
	management, methane production/energy capture.		
6	Repair/rehab sanitary sewer collection system	1,370,000	2022
7	Sanitary lift station rehabilitation	100,000	2024

5. Financial Management General Comments

ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND USE

- 6. Collection System
- 6.1 Energy Usage
- 6.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

COLLECTION SYSTEM PUMPAGE: Total Power Consumed

Number of Municipally Owned Pump/Lift Stations: 27

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	81,543	899
February	74,868	879
March	69,252	587
April	72,267	454
May	64,146	225
June	61,750	62
July	61,733	45
August	54,081	41
September	56,370	45
October	53,723	75
November	57,245	325
December	64,898	576
Total	771,876	4,213
Average	64,323	351

Average	64,323	351	
6.1.2.6			•
6.1.2 Comme	ents:		
C 2 En augus Da	lated Ducasass and Fault		
	lated Processes and Equipment and practice		stations (Check all that apply):
	tion or Screening	s utilized at your pump/ilit	stations (check all that apply).
	Shaft Pumps		
	ering and Recording		
☑ Pneumati	_		
⊠ SCADA S			
☑ Self-Prim			
Submersi Submersi			
	Speed Drives		
☐ Other:	5peca 2111es		
6.2.2 Comme	ents:		
6 3 Hac an En	oray Study boon porform	ed for your pump/lift statio	ns?
• No	ergy Study been perform	ed for your partipy int statio	113:
o Yes			
Year:			
By Whom:			
Describe and	d Comment:		

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/7/2021 **2020**

- 6.4 Future Energy Related Equipment
- 6.4.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your pump/lift stations?

Energy saving equipment is considered when planning projects or replacements.

- 7. Treatment Facility
- 7.1 Energy Usage
- 7.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

TREATMENT PLANT: Total Power Consumed/Month

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Total Influent Flow (MG)	Electricity Consumed/ Flow (kWh/MG)	Total Influent BOD (1000 lbs)	Electricity Consumed/ Total Influent BOD (kWh/1000lbs)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	487,920	164.78	2,961	350.05	1,394	8,498
February	435,920	268.91	1,621	605.84	720	7,380
March	235,720	308.13	765	589.99	400	8,519
April	400,200	344.31	1,162	528.18	758	5,718
May	429,240	309.75	1,386	527.31	814	4,112
June	449,000	330.84	1,357	594.81	755	2,747
July	496,160	319.21	1,554	657.05	755	629
August	490,880	304.22	1,614	598.95	820	391
September	474,440	286.83	1,654	606.90	782	780
October	471,360	294.36	1,601	646.54	729	940
November	411,960	262.37	1,570	575.34	716	3,350
December	439,800	274.53	1,602	750.17	586	4,245
Total	5,222,600	3,468.24		7,031.13		47,309
Average	435,217	289.02	1,571	585.93	769	3,942

	Co			

7.2 Energy	Related Processes	and	Equipment		

- 7.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your treatment facility (Check all that apply):
- ☐ Aerobic Digestion
- □ Anaerobic Digestion
- ☑ Biological Phosphorus Removal
- ☐ Coarse Bubble Diffusers
- ☑ Dissolved O2 Monitoring and Aeration Control
- □ Effluent Pumping
- ☑ Influent Pumping

- ☐ Other:

La Crosse City	5/7/2021	2020
7.2.2 Comments:		
7.3 Future Energy Related Equipment		
7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planne treatment facility?	ed for the future for	· your
Enhanced methane gas production to use as energy replacement. Energy the BNR system.	ergy efficiency upgi	rades to
8. Biogas Generation		
8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility?NoYes		
If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): $\ oxtimes$ Flared Off		
☐ Building Heat☑ Process Heat☐ Generate Electricity		
Other:		
9. Energy Efficiency Study		
9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility? ● No		
○ Yes☐ Entire facilityYear:		
By Whom:		
Describe and Comment:		
☐ Part of the facility		
Year:		
By Whom:		
Describe and Comment:		

La Crosse City	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
	5/7/2021	2020

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

La Crosse CityLast Updated:Reporting For:5/7/20212020

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems

 Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) Program Do you have a CMOM program that is being implemented?
Yes
o No
If No, explain:
1.2 Do you have a CMOM program that contains all the applicable components and items
according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 210.23 (4)?
• Yes
No (30 points)N/A
If No or N/A, explain:
The of Nyry explain.
1.2. Door your CMOM management countries the following common outs and items 2. (shoot) the
1.3 Does your CMOM program contain the following components and items? (check the components and items that apply) ☑ Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)]
Describe the major goals you had for your collection system last year:
Goal is to clean 33% of the collection system annually.
Did you accomplish them?
• Yes
o No
If No, explain:
☑ Organization [NR 210.23 (4) (b)]□□
Does this chapter of your CMOM include:
☑ Organizational structure and positions (eg. organizational chart and position descriptions)
☐ Internal and external lines of communication responsibilities
☑ Person(s) responsible for reporting overflow events to the department and the public
☐ Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4) (c)]
What is the legally binding document that regulates the use of your sewer system? Sewer Use Ordinance
If you have a Sewer Use Ordinance or other similar document, when was it last reviewed and
revised? (MM/DD/YYYY) 2017-07-22
Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document address the following: ☑ Private property inflow and infiltration
☑ New sewer and building sewer design, construction, installation, testing and inspection
☐ Rehabilitated sewer and lift station installation, testing and inspection
☑Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are monitored and controlled, as
necessary
☑ Fat, oil and grease control☑ Enforcement procedures for sewer use non-compliance
☑ Operation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)]
Does your operation and maintenance program and equipment include the following:
☐ Equipment and replacement part inventories
☑ Up-to-date sewer system map
☑A management system (computer database and/or file system) for collection system information for O&M activities, investigation and rehabilitation

•	•	tenance activities (see question 2 below)	
☐ Capacity assessment p	_		
□ Basement back assess □ Basement b	sment and correction		
☒ Regular O&M training☒ Design and Performance	Provisions [NR 210 1	23 (4) (6)]□□	
_	=	d for the design, construction, and inspection of	
•		sewers and interceptor sewers on private	
property?			
_ ·		ds and/or local Municipal Code Requirements	
☐ Construction, Inspection, and Testing			
☐ Others:			
☑ Overflow Emergency Re	· -		
Does your emergency res			0
☒ Responsible personnel☒ Response order, timin	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	edures	
□ Response order, timin □ Public notification prot	•		
☐ Training	.00013		
□ Framing □ Emergency operation □ Emergency operation	protocols and implem	entation procedures	
□ Intersection, operation □ Annual Self-Auditing of visit in the section in the section in the section in the section.	•	•	
☐ Special Studies Last Yea	,	. /-	
☐ Infiltration/Inflow (I/I)	•	,	
☐ Sewer System Evaluat	tion Survey (SSES)		
☐ Sewer Evaluation and	☐ Sewer Evaluation and Capacity Managment Plan (SECAP)		
☐ Lift Station Evaluation Report			
☐ Others:			
2. Operation and Maintenan	CE		
		aintenance program include the following	
	-	and indicate the amount maintained.	
Cleaning	40.32	2 % of system/year	
Root removal	1.32	% of system/year	
Flow monitoring	.01	% of system/year	
Smoke testing	0	% of system/year	
Sewer line	4.44	% of system/year	
televising	4.44	% or system/year	
Manhole inspections	40.32	% of system/year	
Lift station O&M	108	# per L.S./year	
Manhole	100	,, per 2.3., year	
rehabilitation	1.47	% of manholes rehabbed	
Mainline			
rehabilitation	1.21	% of sewer lines rehabbed	
Private sewer			
inspections	0	% of system/year	
Private sewer I/I			
removal	.01	% of private services	

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/7/2021 **2020**

Ri	ver or water		
cr	ossings	.02 % of pipe crossings evaluated or maintained	
	Please include additi	ional comments about your sanitary sewer collection system below:	
	We have allocated	money to begin/hire a collection system analysis.	
3.	Performance Indicat	tors	
3.		ying collection system and flow information for the past year.	
		Total actual amount of precipitation last year in inches	
	33.5	Annual average precipitation (for your location)	
	202.44	Miles of sanitary sewer	
	27	Number of lift stations	
	0	Number of lift station failures	
	2	Number of sewer pipe failures	
	10	Number of basement backup occurrences	
	99	Number of complaints	
	9.88	Average daily flow in MGD (if available)	
	11.68	Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available)	
	20.68	Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available)	
3.	2 Performance ration		
	0.00	Lift station failures (failures/year)	
	0.01	Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/yr)	
	0.00	Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile/yr)	
	0.05	Basement backups (number/sewer mile)	
	0.49	Complaints (number/sewer mile)	
	1.2	Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly:Annual Daily Avg)	
	2.1	Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly:Annual Daily Avg)	

4. Overflows

LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OVERFLOWS REPORTED **			
Date	Location	Cause	Estimated Volume
 1 ' '	4422 Mormon Coulee RD La Crossee WI 54601 south bound lane of Mormon Coulee Rd	Broken Sewer, Broken Sewer	500

^{**} If there were any SSOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please contact the DNR and stop work on this section until corrected.

What actions were taken, or are underway, to reduce or eliminate SSO or TFO occurences in the future?

The 2020 SSO occurred on the same 4422 Mormon Coulee Rd section as the breaks in 2019. We have found that the soils in this area are corrosive and are eating the pipe from the outside in. The forcemain is 2.5 miles long and is not cost effective to replace the entire forcemain. We have actively been working on a solution and have hired a company (very hard technology to find) from Canada to inspect the pipeline from the inside to determine wall thickness of the entire forcemain. A launch structure is needed to get the inspection tool into the forcemain. We also have to excavate in two places to replace "T's" with a "Y" and an elbow as the tool will not go past a "T". In December of 2020 we were able to install the underground fittings and the launching structure needed. Cleaning and inspection is to take place April 6th, 2021. Once complete we will receive analyzed data reports and plan a repair for the areas in poor condition.

- 5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I)
- 5.1 Was infiltration/inflow (I/I) significant in your community last year?
- Yes

La Crosse CityLast Updated: Reporting For:
5/7/2021 **2020**

o No

If Yes, please describe:

Higher River stage levels in the spring and periodic rises contribute to our I&I.

- 5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or created problems in your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year?

 o Yes
- No

If Yes, please describe:

5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous years:

We replaced and/or lined a total of 12,905 feet of Sanitary sewer in 2020.

5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection system?

We line and replace sewer mains where ground water is an issue and rehab the manholes. We also conduct flow monitoring to identify the areas to focus our efforts for reducing I&I.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/7/2021 **2020**

Grading Summary

WPDES No: 0029581

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS
Influent	Α	4	3	12
BOD/CBOD	Α	4	10	40
TSS	A	4	5	20
Phosphorus	A	4	3	12
Biosolids	В	3	5	15
Staffing/PM	A	4	1	4
OpCert	A	4	1	4
Financial	A	4	1	4
Collection	A	4	3	12
TOTALS			32	123
GRADE POINT AVEI	RAGE (GPA) = 3.84			

Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

Resolution or Owner's Statement	5/1/	
	5/7/2021	2020
La Crosse City	Last Updated:	Reporting For:

Resolution or Owner's Statement
Name of Governing Body or Owner:
Date of Resolution or Action Taken:
Resolution Number:
Date of Submittal:
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO SPECIFIC CMAR SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F): Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = A
Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade = A
Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = A
Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade = A
Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade = B
Staffing: Grade = A
Operator Certification: Grade = A
Financial Management: Grade = A
Collection Systems: Grade = A (Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems if SSOs were reported)
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO THE OVERALL GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS (Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less than 3.00) G.P.A. = 3.84