

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALSLa Crosse, WI
DECISION UPON APPEAL

Derek Clark having appealed from an order of the Building Inspector denying a permit with regard to the requirement to provide a 15-foot, 1-inch setback from the front property line

at a property known as: 1711 Weston St., La Crosse, Wisconsin

and described as:

WILLING & LACHERS ADDN LOT 22 BLOCK 1 LOT SZ: 50 X 130 +/- >ALC702/24 LC668/58 665/898

and due notice having been given by mail to all City of La Crosse property owners and lessees within 100 feet of the property which is the subject of this appeal, and similar notice having been published in the La Crosse Tribune more than five (5) days prior to the time of the hearing hereon, and testimony having been received and heard by said Board in respect thereto, and having been duly considered, and being fully advised in the premises,

WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED: That the decision of the Building Inspector be: Affirmed ☒ Reversed ☐

(See attached)

Dated this: 21st of June, 2021

Date Filed: June 22, 2021

ATTEST

Nikki Elsen
Nikki Elsen, Secretary

James Cherf
James Cherf, Chair

Concurring:

Jim Jankel

Chris

Christina Henry

Dissenting:

GEORGE KIMMET - video

Sam

The decision of the Board may be appealed to circuit court within 30 days of the decision being filed pursuant to Wisconsin Statute sec. 62.23(7)(e)10.

NOTE: WORK SHALL BEGIN WITHIN 180 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF THIS DETERMINATION

DECISION UPON APPEAL

2657 – Derek Clerk - An appeal regarding the requirement to provide a 15-foot, 1-inch setback from the front property line at 1711 Weston St., La Crosse, Wisconsin.

Gentry: I make a motion that we approve it. For the unnecessary hardship, I don't think he's asking for that much with extra square feet, a roof is necessary in Wisconsin and it is not even a four-season room, it is only a porch. It would be an unnecessary hardship not to have it. The hardship due to unique property limitations is the way the house is situated too far forward on the lot.

Webb: There is no harm to the public interest as was stated by the City Inspection Department.

Seconder: Webb.

Concurring: Anastasia Gentry
 James Cherf
 Jim Webb

Dissenting: George Kimmet
 Samuel Deetz

The motion failed to receive the four required concurring votes in order to grant the appeal, therefore, the appeal was denied.

Date Filed: June 22, 2021

Attest: Nikki Elsen, City Clerk